Table VI.A. 1. a(2000) Percent of number of private-sector establishments by ownership type and age of firm and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

			Ownership T	Age of Firm				
		For profit	For profit	J 1		Less than	5 or more	
Division and State	Total	Incorporated	Uni ncorporated	Nonprofi t	Unknown	5 years	years	Unknown
United States	6, 256, 044	63. 7%	24. 1%	10. 3%	2. 0%	19. 7%	71.8%	8. 5%
New Engl and:								
Massachusetts	160, 628	65. 3%	22. 1%	11.8%	0.8% *	18. 6%	73. 5%	7. 9%
New Hampshi re	34, 072	62. 5%	25. 8%	9. 8%	2. 0% *	21. 2%	70. 7%	8. 2%
Connecticut	83, 596	57. 0%	30. 6%	10. 3%	2. 1% *	18. 9%	71. 2%	9. 9%
Mi ddl e Atlanti c:	,							
New York	422, 460	68.0%	19. 5%	11. 1%	1. 5% *	18. 4%	<b>75. 7</b> %	5. 9%
New Jersey	204, 255	72. 5%	18. 5%	6. 8%	2. 1% *	21. 2%	68. 9%	9. 9%
Pennsyl vani a	270, 970	55. 0%	26. 4%	15. 5%	3. 1% *	14. 9%	74. 4%	10. 7%
East North Central:	210,010	33. 3/0	20. 1/0	10.070	0. 1/0	11.070	7 1. 170	10.770
Ohi o	251, 549	63.0%	23. 9%	10. 6%	2. 5% *	17. 6%	70. 6%	11.8%
I ndi ana	130, 379	68. 9%	18. 8%	9. 8%	2. 5%	17. 0% 16. 4%	75. 9%	7. 7%
	275, 581		17. 4%	7. 7%	2. 5% 1. 8% *	17. 9%	73. 9% 74. 4%	7. 7% 7. 7%
Illinois		73. 2% 68. 9%	18. 7%			17. 9% 16. 0%	74. 4% 74. 8%	7. 7% 9. 1%
Mi chi gan	205, 093			12. 3%	0. 1% *			
Wi sconsi n	130, 614	57. 6%	30. 8%	10. 6%	1.0%	18. 6%	<b>75.</b> 1%	6. 3%
West North Central:	400 707	00	00.00	4.4 ~~0.	4	22 22	<b>~</b> 0.00/	o ====
Mi nnesota	128, 765	62. 7%	23. 8%	11. 7%	1. 7%	20. 3%	73. 0%	6. 7%
Iowa	79, 315	57. 8%	29. 1%	12. 0%	1. 1% *	13. 5%	78. 8%	7. 8%
Mi ssouri	128, 597	66. 6%	24. 7%	7. 8%	0.9% *	17. 1%	<b>75. 0</b> %	7. 9%
Nebraska	52, 712	<b>57. 6</b> %	29. 4%	11.8%	1. 2% *	19. 3%	<b>74</b> . 5%	6. 2%
Kansas	68, 374	<b>56</b> . 1%	29. 5%	12.6%	1. 8%	15. 8%	77. 7%	6. 6%
North Dakota	23, 164	44.0%	35. 4%	19. 7%	0. 9% *	23. 7%	71. 5%	4. 8%
South Dakota	24, 553	50. 8%	34. 3%	13. 8%	1.1% *	24. 1%	69. 9%	6.0%
South Atlantic:								
Maryl and	115, 055	68. 8%	18. 0%	10. 4%	2. 9% *	17. 3%	71. 1%	11. 5%
Vi rgi ni a	155, 182	73. 8%	15. 9%	7. 6%	2. 7% *	18. 1%	72.4%	9. 5%
West Virginia	35, 797	55. 5%	27. 6%	15. 4%	1. 5% *	16. 0%	72. 7%	11. 4%
North Carolina	179, 893	60. 9%	26. 4%	11. 2%	1. 4% *	20. 0%	68. 3%	11. 7%
South Carolina	83, 129	65. 1%	24. 3%	8. 7%	1. 9%	19. 2%	72. 1%	8. 7%
Georgi a	174, 998	68. 1%	19. 5%	8. 8%	3. 6% *	23. 9%	65. 7%	10. 4%
Flori da	359, 503	78. 1%	9. 9%	9. 8%	2. 2% *	19. 5%	72. 3%	8. 2%
East South Central:	333, 303	76. 170	3. 3/0	3. 0/0	۵. ۵/0	13. 3/0	72. 3/0	O. 2/0
Kentucky	78, 927	60. 0%	26. 7%	11.0%	2. 4%	20. 9%	68. 2%	10. 9%
Tennessee	114, 642	59. 1%	26. 7% 26. 9%	10. 3%	2. 4% 3. 7%	20. 9% 21. 0%	65. 1%	13. 8%
	86, 395		20. 9% 23. 7%	10. 5% 10. 5%	3. 7% 0. 2% *	21. 0% 22. 5%	68. 1%	
Al abama		65. 6%						9. 4%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	55, 309	53. 3%	30. 1%	14. 8%	1. 9% *	20. 9%	70. 9%	8. 2%
West South Central:	T# 00T	04 00/	00.00	10.00/	4 50/	04 00/	00.00/	0.00/
Arkansas	57, 385	61. 3%	26. 3%	10. 9%	1. 5%	21. 0%	69. 8%	9. 2%
Loui și ana	92, 563	67. 7%	19. 1%	11.6%	1. 7% *	18. 2%	74. 2%	7. 6%
0kl ahoma	<b>78</b> , 130	62.4%	27. 1%	7. 8%	2. 7% *	20. 4%	<b>68</b> . 3%	11. 4%
Texas	412, 368	<b>59. 6</b> %	29. 5%	8. 4%	2. 5%	20. 7%	70. 6%	8. 7%
Mountai n:								
Col orado	113, 014	68. 5%	19. 0%	8. 1%	4. 5% *	22.3%	<b>65.</b> 3%	12. 3%
New Mexico	37, 220	<b>63. 4</b> %	22. 3%	12. 2%	2. 2% *	22. 7%	<b>68</b> . <b>7</b> %	8. 6%
Ari zona	98, 193	66. 4%	18. 0%	13.6%	2. 0% *	24. 7%	69. 2%	6. 1%
Utah	46, 351	70. 7%	22. 7%	4. 9% *	1.6% *	25. 5%	62. 3%	12. 2%
Pacific:								
Washi ngton	145, 740	63. 3%	24. 6%	11.0%	1. 2% *	21.8%	72. 2%	6. 0%
0regon	87, 819	62.0%	23. 6%	13. 9%	0.6% *	25. 8%	69. 1%	5. 1%
Cal i forni a	687, 979	52. 2%	37. 3%	8. 6%	2. 0%	23. 3%	69. 8%	6. 9%
States not shown separately	285, 777	62. 4%	24. 2%	11. 3%	2. 1% *	18. 3%	74. 7%	7. 1%
1	*							

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix. Percents may not add to 100% because of rounding.
\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

Table VI.A.1.a(2000) Standard error for percent of number of private-sector establishments by ownership type and age of firm and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

		Ownership Type				Age of Firm		
		For profit	For profit			Less than	5 or more	
Division and State	Total	Incorporated	Uni ncorporated	Nonprofit	Unknown	5 years	years	Unknown
United States	35, 234	0. 50%	0. 32%	0. 21%	0. 16%	0. 41%	0. 46%	0. 28%
New Engl and:								
Massachusetts	6, 345	2. 03%	1. 67%	1. 23%	0. 41% *	2. 11%	2. 18%	1. 90%
New Hampshi re	2, 023	1. 84%	2. 46%	1. 39%	0. 82% *	3. 16%	3. 84%	1. 37%
Connecticut	3, 695	3. 03%	2. 48%	0. 97%	1. 13% *	2. 75%	3. 37%	1. 29%
Middle Atlantic:	-,							
New York	8, 891	1. 56%	1. 53%	1. 01%	0. 59% *	0. 91%	1. 68%	1. 00%
New Jersey	8, 178	3. 29%	3. 08%	0. 85%	0. 84% *	2. 02%	2. 29%	2. 42%
Pennsyl vani a	8, 806	2. 16%	1. 19%	0. 69%	1. 45% *	1. 30%	2. 50%	2. 03%
East North Central:	0, 000	2. 10/0	1. 19/0	0. 03/0	1. 45/0	1. 30/0	2. 30%	۵. 03/0
	0.010	1 00%	1 070/	1 010/	0.000/ *	1 700/	1 700/	1 00%
Ohi o	6, 218	1. 99%	1. 25%	1. 01%	0. 86% *	1. 59%	1. 73%	1. 86%
Indi ana	4, 923	1. 60%	1. 59%	1. 22%	0. 64%	1. 91%	2. 45%	1. 17%
Illinois	6, 850	2. 13%	1. 64%	1. 05%	0. 66% *	1. 85%	1. 90%	1. 08%
Mi chi gan	6, 777	1. 30%	1. 55%	1. 10%	0. 06% *	2. 49%	2. 43%	1. 62%
Wi sconsi n	3, 957	1. 41%	1. 36%	0. 69%	0. 22%	1. 93%	2. 06%	0. 56%
West North Central:								
Mi nnesota	5, 822	3. 10%	3. 57%	1. 09%	0. 51%	2. 83%	3. 53%	1. 25%
Iowa	3, 800	2. 24%	2. 43%	1. 34%	0. 60% *	1. 64%	2. 42%	1. 84%
Mi ssouri	4, 103	2. 92%	2. 50%	1. 45%	0. 32% *	1. 61%	1. 62%	1. 73%
Nebraska	1, 963	1. 96%	1. 78%	1. 53%	0. 51% *	3. 12%	3. 02%	1. 23%
Kansas	1, 161	1. 98%	2. 39%	1. 07%	0. 48%	1. 67%	2. 20%	1. 16%
North Dakota	2, 265	2. 21%	3. 16%	4. 39%	0. 33% *	4. 67%	4. 78%	0. 72%
South Dakota	1, 545	3. 03%	2. 68%	1. 74%	0. 35% * 0. 45% *	3. 47%	3. 94%	1. 01%
South Atlantic:	1, 343	3. 03%	2. 06/0	1. 74/0	0. 45/0	3. 47/0	3. 94/0	1. 01/0
	F 704	0.000/	9.00%	1 050/	1 410/ *	0.700/	0 570/	1 100/
Maryl and	5, 764	3. 08%	2. 39%	1. 95%	1. 41% *	2. 76%	2. 57%	1. 12%
Vi rgi ni a	5, 668	1. 76%	1. 37%	0. 67%	1. 13% *	2. 34%	2. 64%	1. 42%
West Virginia	1, 151	2. 06%	1. 59%	1. 98%	0. 60% *	1. 63%	2. 77%	2. 21%
North Carolina	5, 188	1. 47%	2. 18%	1. 13%	0. 51% *	1. 79%	3. 44%	2. 84%
South Carolina	3, 085	2. 91%	2. 15%	1. 18%	0. 33%	1. 79%	1. 89%	0. 92%
Georgi a	7, 107	2. 15%	2. 32%	2. 26%	1. 63% *	2. 51%	2. 91%	1. 60%
Fl ori da	7, 797	1. 20%	1. 25%	0. 97%	0. 94% *	1. 01%	1. 65%	1. 14%
East South Central:								
Kentucky	3, 141	2. 98%	2. 42%	1. 13%	0. 49%	2. 60%	1. 83%	1. 43%
Tennessee	5, 862	3. 75%	3. 10%	1. 44%	0. 96%	1. 84%	1. 34%	1. 01%
Al abama	2, 367	2. 24%	2. 49%	1. 28%	0. 09% *	2. 84%	2. 46%	0. 89%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2, 341	2. 44%	2. 50%	2. 00%	0. 67% *	2. 70%	3. 21%	1. 04%
West South Central:	۵, 011	₽. 11/0	2. 56%	<b>≈.</b> 00/0	0. 07 /0	2. 70%	J. 2170	1. 01/0
Arkansas	2, 230	2. 09%	1. 88%	1. 09%	0. 39%	2. 05%	1. 72%	0. 67%
Loui si ana	3, 048	3. 66%	2. 74%	1. 84%	0. 97% *	2. 03% 1. 90%	1. 42%	1. 35%
			2. 74% 2. 64%		0. 92% *			
0kl ahoma	2, 318	2. 78%		1. 16%		2. 72%	3. 07%	1. 88%
Texas	10, 261	1. 85%	1. 94%	0. 86%	0. 36%	1. 26%	1. 96%	1. 31%
Mountai n:								
Col orado	3, 001	3. 24%	2. 27%	1. 09%	2. 23% *	1. 99%	2. 35%	2. 58%
New Mexico	2, 490	2. 55%	1. 96%	1. 42%	0. 65% *	3. 81%	2. 92%	1. 78%
Ari zona	4, 089	3. 23%	2. 11%	2. 29%	0. 73% *	2. 87%	2. 83%	1. 82%
Utah	2, 934	3. 39%	2. 65%	1. 56% *	0. 74% *	4. 47%	4. 11%	2. 31%
Paci fi c:	,							
Washi ngton	6, 273	1. 94%	2. 61%	1. 34%	0. 52% *	3. 04%	3. 34%	1. 33%
Oregon	3, 670	2. 20%	1. 28%	2. 09%	0. 31% *	1. 46%	1. 45%	0. 71%
Cal i forni a	15, 119	1. 23%	1. 23%	0. 37%	0. 37%	1. 44%	1. 63%	0. 75%
States not shown separately	6, 731	1. 89%	1. 25%	1. 00%	0. 37% 0. 76% *	2. 10%	2. 17%	1. 45%
scaces not shown separatery	0, 731	1. 03/0	1. 25/0	1. 00/0	0. 70/0	ω. 1U/0	ω. 11/U	1. 40/0

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.