| Di vi si on | All State and I ocal gover nment s | State gover nment s | Local governnents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Less than 250 empl oyees | $\begin{array}{r} 250-999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1, 000-4, } 999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,000-9,999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,000 \text { or nore } \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ |
| United States | 17, 465, 475 | 27. $6 \%$ | 12. $7 \%$ | 18. 3\% | 20.6\% | 7. $2 \%$ | 13. $7 \%$ |
| Census di vi sion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Engl and | 867, 524 | 30.7\% | 11. $5 \%$ | 23. $4 \%$ | 26. $7 \%$ | 5. 5\% | 2. 3\% |
| M ddle Atlantic | 2, 423, 931 | 24. 8\% | 14. 3\% | 19. $7 \%$ | 12. 1\% * | 4. $8 \%$ * | 24. $2 \%$ |
| East North Central | 2, 802, 835 | 24. 9\% | 18.6\% | 25. 1\% | 18. $8 \%$ | 4. $0 \%$ | 8. $7 \%$ |
| West North Central | 1, 361, 297 | 27.7\% | 30.1\% | 20.0\% | 15. $6 \%$ * | 4. $9 \%$ | 1. $7 \%$ |
| South Atlantic | 3, 100, 619 | 28.7\% | 5. 5\% | 10. 5\% | 23. $7 \%$ | 12. $6 \%$ | 19.0\% |
| East South Central | 1, 095, 896 | 30.7\% | 10. 8\% | 22. 4\% | 24. $2 \%$ | 4. 5\% * | 7. 4\% |
| West South Central | 2, 013, 528 | 28.2\% | 12. $6 \%$ | 18. $6 \%$ | 19. 3\% | 9. $7 \%$ | 11. $7 \%$ |
| Mbunt ai n | 1, 126, 218 | 30. 8\% | 10.7\% | 15. $4 \%$ | 25.5\% | 6. $8 \%$ | 10. 9\% |
| Pacific | 2, 673, 626 | 27. $4 \%$ | 6. 5\% | 15. 9\% | 24. $4 \%$ | 7. 5\% | 18. $3 \%$ |

Standard error for percent of number of State and Iocal government employees by government type and size and census di vision: United St ates, 1999

Di vi si on

| United States | 174, 288 | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $33 \%$ | 0. $54 \%$ | 0. $96 \%$ | 0. $58 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census di vi si on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Engl and | 57,248 | 0. $00 \%$ | 1. $36 \%$ | 4. 06\% | 5. $22 \%$ | 1. $03 \%$ | 0. 00\% |
| M ddle Atlantic | 97, 105 | 0.00\% | 2. $64 \%$ | 2. $63 \%$ | 3. $84 \%$ * | 1. $69 \%$ * | 0. 00\% |
| East North Central | 81, 026 | 0.00\% | 0. $80 \%$ | 2. $64 \%$ | 3. $00 \%$ | 0. $98 \%$ | 0. 00\% |
| West North Central | 66, 434 | 0.00\% | 1. $98 \%$ | 2. $36 \%$ | 5. $62 \%$ * | 0.00\% | 0. 00\% |
| South Atlantic | 55, 235 | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $51 \%$ | 0. $93 \%$ | 1. $39 \%$ | 1. $03 \%$ | 0. 00\% |
| East South Central | 36, 356 | 0.00\% | 0. 93\% | 1. $70 \%$ | 3. $84 \%$ | 2. $48 \%$ * | 0. 00\% |
| West South Central | 58, 639 | 0. 00\% | 1. $06 \%$ | 2. $62 \%$ | 2. $18 \%$ | 1. $91 \%$ | 0. 00\% |
| Mbuntain | 51, 185 | 0.00\% | 0. $74 \%$ | 2. $27 \%$ | 2. $62 \%$ | 1. $34 \%$ | 0. 00\% |
| Pacific | 100, 872 | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $58 \%$ | 2. $25 \%$ | 4. $49 \%$ | 1. $26 \%$ | 0. 00\% |

Source: Agency for Heal thcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studi es. 1999 Medi cal Expenditure Panel Survey -- Insurance Component.
Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
Note: Standard errors of zero indicate that all governnents in that category are in the survey.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

