 di vi si on and government type and size: United St ates, 2000

| Census di vi si on/ government type and size | 2 or more heal th pl ans | Conventional i ndemit y | Any managed care | Excl usi ve provi der | Pref erred provi der | I nsurance to retirees under 65 | I nsurance to retirees 65 and ol der | $\begin{array}{r} \text { With } \\ \text { waiting } \\ \text { period } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United St ates | 27. $3 \%$ | 23. $0 \%$ | 85. 8\% | 29.0\% | 67. 7\% | 29. $9 \%$ | 21. 6\% | 53. 2\% |
| Census di vi si on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Engl and | 41. 5\% | 37. 6\% | 88. 6\% | 36. 9\% | 59. $4 \%$ | 37. 1\% | 36. 7\% | 60. 0\% |
| M ddl e Atlantic | 39. 2\% | 47. 7\% | 71. 9\% | 39. 9\% | 46. 3\% | 39. 2\% | 33. $7 \%$ | 50. $4 \%$ |
| East North Central | 21. 1\% | 17. 3\% | 88. 6\% | 20. 9\% | 74. 0\% | 20.7\% | 13. $4 \%$ | 44. 1\% |
| West North Central | 17. 8\% | 16. 8\% | 86. 4\% | 17. 8\% | 72. 6\% | 32. 9\% | 15. 8\% | 44. 8\% |
| South Atlantic | 25. 6\% | 12. 0\% | 93. 8\% | 29. 3\% | 78. $6 \%$ | 38. 9\% | 24. 3\% | 70. $4 \%$ |
| East South Central | 27. 5\% | 15. 3\% | 91. 5\% | 33. 6\% | 73. $6 \%$ | 23. $4 \%$ | 16. 1\% | 55. 6\% |
| West South Central | 23. 9\% | 10. 6\% | 96. 1\% | 25. 0\% | 82. 5\% | 22.0\% | 18. 3\% | 63. 6\% |
| Mbunt ain | 16. 7\% | 38. $3 \%$ | 65. 5\% | 21. $4 \%$ | 50.6\% | 23. $6 \%$ | 20. 9\% | 53. 9\% |
| Pacific | 44. 9\% | 18. $9 \%$ | 88. 9\% | 52. 5\% | 62. 8\% | 38. $4 \%$ | 28. 9\% | 57. 8\% |
| Government type and size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St at e gover nments | 76. 0\% | 31. 1\% | 97. $4 \%$ | 69. 0\% | 77. 8\% | 87. 1\% | 80. 0\% | ** |
| Local governments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 250 empl oyees | 20. $5 \%$ | 22. 8\% | 84. 3\% | 26. $2 \%$ | 64. 6\% | 22. 1\% | 16. $7 \%$ | 52. 3\% |
| 250-999 empl oyees | 46. 1\% | 24. 3\% | 89. 5\% | 32. 1\% | 77. 9\% | 53. 6\% | 33. 7\% | 56. 1\% |
| 1, 000-4,999 empl oyees | 63. 2\% | 20. 5\% | 94. 6\% | 51. 8\% | 81. 5\% | 65. 6\% | 48. 5\% | 69. 0\% |
| 5, 000-9, 999 empl oyees | 78. 7\% | 21. 5\% | 97. 7\% | 73. $6 \%$ | 78. 5\% | 92. 8\% | 76. 0\% | 20. 6\% |
| 10,000 or more empl oyees | 86. 9\% | 21. 5\% | 99. $2 \%$ | 85. 8\% | 80. 2\% | 87. 9\% | 81. 5\% | ** |

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey -- Insurance Component.
Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Techni cal Appendix.
** No estimate available. Data on waiting periods were not collected fromthese governmental units.

Table III.A.2.e. Standard error for percent of State and local governments offering health insurance by plan options and insurance offerings to retirees by census di vi si on and government type and size: United States, 2000

| Census di vi si on/ gover nment type and size | 2 or more heal th pl ans | Convent i onal i ndemity | Any managed care | Excl usi ve provi der | Pref erred provi der | Insurance to retirees under 65 | I nsurance to retirees 65 and ol der | With waiting period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 0. $93 \%$ | 1. $87 \%$ | 1. $97 \%$ | 1. $70 \%$ | 2. $18 \%$ | 1. $22 \%$ | 1. $07 \%$ | 2. $40 \%$ |
| Census di vi sion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Engl and | 5. $33 \%$ | 5. $00 \%$ | 4. $34 \%$ | 8. $59 \%$ | 8. $45 \%$ | 5. $28 \%$ | 5. $56 \%$ | 8. $26 \%$ |
| M ddle Atlantic | 6. $86 \%$ | 4. $71 \%$ | 5. $04 \%$ | 5. $61 \%$ | 3. $67 \%$ | 4. $91 \%$ | 6. $18 \%$ | 6. $79 \%$ |
| East North Central | 3. $85 \%$ | 2. $12 \%$ | 2. $04 \%$ | 5. $40 \%$ | 5. $02 \%$ | 5. $28 \%$ | 4. $13 \%$ | 7. $36 \%$ |
| West North Central | 1. $82 \%$ | 5. $22 \%$ | 4. 09\% | 4. $14 \%$ | 3. $72 \%$ | 4. $75 \%$ | 3. $07 \%$ | 3. $44 \%$ |
| South Atlantic | 2. $92 \%$ | 2. $72 \%$ | 1. $90 \%$ | 3. $47 \%$ | 3. $96 \%$ | 3. $34 \%$ | 1. $35 \%$ | 3. $80 \%$ |
| East South Central | 4. 13\% | 3. $43 \%$ | 3. $70 \%$ | 5. $57 \%$ | 3. $60 \%$ | 5. $27 \%$ | 3. $04 \%$ | 7. $62 \%$ |
| West South Central | 1. $79 \%$ | 2. $76 \%$ | 1. $81 \%$ | 4. $34 \%$ | 4. $30 \%$ | 2. $37 \%$ | 2. $88 \%$ | 3. $29 \%$ |
| Mbunt ain | 2. 19\% | 8. $41 \%$ | 8. $65 \%$ | 5. $46 \%$ | 7. $13 \%$ | 3. $06 \%$ | 4. $60 \%$ | 8. $10 \%$ |
| Pacific | 4. $25 \%$ | 3. $34 \%$ | 3. $55 \%$ | 5. $98 \%$ | 6. 09\% | 4. $63 \%$ | 5. $79 \%$ | 5. 12\% |
| Government type and size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State governments | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | ** |
| Local governments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 250 empl oyees | 0. $81 \%$ | 2. $40 \%$ | 2. $49 \%$ | 2. $00 \%$ | 2. $73 \%$ | 1. $23 \%$ | 1. $13 \%$ | 3. $44 \%$ |
| 250-999 empl oyees | 3. $37 \%$ | 1. $65 \%$ | 1. $29 \%$ | 3. $04 \%$ | 1. $65 \%$ | 3. $55 \%$ | 3. $90 \%$ | 3. $23 \%$ |
| 1, 000-4,999 empl oyees | 3. $51 \%$ | 1. $83 \%$ | 0. $93 \%$ | 2. $05 \%$ | 2. $35 \%$ | 2. $79 \%$ | 2. $56 \%$ | 4. $73 \%$ |
| 5, 000-9,999 empl oyees | 2. $74 \%$ | 1. $28 \%$ | 0. $21 \%$ | 3. $28 \%$ | 2. 13\% | 0.69\% | 3. $67 \%$ | 5. $49 \%$ |
| 10,000 or more empl oyees | 0. $00 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0. $00 \%$ | ** |

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey -- Insurance Component.
Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Techni cal Appendix.
** No estimate available. Data on waiting periods were not collected fromthese governmental units.

