Table III.C. 3. Percent of total premi uns contributed by employees enrolledin single coverage through State and local government obs by government type and size and census division: United States, 2000

| Di vi si on | All State and I ocal gover nments | St at e gover nment s | Local governments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Less than 250 empl oyees | $\begin{array}{r} 250-999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000-4,999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000-9,999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | 10,000 or more empl oyees |
| United St at es | 8. $8 \%$ | 9. $4 \%$ | 7. $5 \%$ | 8. $0 \%$ | 8. $0 \%$ | 9. 8\% | 10. $5 \%$ |
| Census di vi si on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Engl and | 11. 6\% | 9. $9 \%$ | 8. 1\% | 14. $2 \%$ | 13. 9\% | 10. $0 \%$ | 14. $5 \%$ |
| M ddle Atlantic | 8. $8 \%$ | 9. $8 \%$ | 2. $5 \%$ * | 9. $8 \%$ * | 15. $6 \%$ * | 5. $3 \%$ | 3. $3 \%$ |
| East North Central | 6. 3\% | 7. $8 \%$ | 4. $6 \%$ * | 4. $9 \%$ | 4. $2 \%$ | 6. $7 \%$ | 10. $7 \%$ |
| West North Central | 5. 5\% | 6. $6 \%$ | 6. $4 \%$ | 5. $4 \%$ | 3. $2 \%$ * | 2. $4 \%$ | 5. $8 \%$ |
| South Atlantic | 10.7\% | 10. $7 \%$ | 5. $9 \%$ | 10. 3\% | 5. 1\% | 9. $2 \%$ | 19. 0\% |
| East South Central | 9. 1\% | 8. $0 \%$ | 5. $0 \%$ * | 5. $0 \%$ | 15. 5\% | 13. $5 \%$ | 18. $2 \%$ |
| West South Central | 13. $9 \%$ | 12. 6\% | 18. $2 \%$ | 12. $5 \%$ | 12. $2 \%$ | 20.0\% | 10.1\% |
| Mbunt ai n | 7. 3\% | 11. 2\% | 8. $5 \%$ * | 4. $8 \%$ | 4. $4 \%$ * | 5. 8\% | 5. 9\% |
| Pacific | 4. $6 \%$ | 6. 5\% | 1. $9 \%$ * | 8. $7 \%$ * | 4. $8 \%$ * | 4. 1\% | 0. $6 \%$ |

Standard error for percent of total premi uns contributed by employees enrolled in single coverage through State and local government jobs by government type and size and census division: United States, 2000

| Di vi si on | All State and Iocal gover nments | St at e gover nments | Local governments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Less than 250 empl oyees | $\begin{array}{r} 250-999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000-4,999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5,000-9,999 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | 10,000 or more empl oyees |
| United St ates | 0. $30 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 1. $11 \%$ | 0. $64 \%$ | 1. $39 \%$ | 0. $51 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| Census di vi sion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Engl and | 0. 50\% | 0. $00 \%$ | 1. $79 \%$ | 2. $18 \%$ | 2. $67 \%$ | 0. $81 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| M ddle Atlantic | 2. $47 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 1. $04 \%$ * | 3. $54 \%$ * | 8. $38 \%$ * | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| East North Central | 0. $35 \%$ | 0.00\% | 1. $42 \%$ * | 0.78\% | 1. $11 \%$ | 0. $49 \%$ | 0.00\% |
| West North Central | 0. $40 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0. $79 \%$ | 1. $43 \%$ | 1. $32 \%$ * | 0. $03 \%$ | 0.00\% |
| South Atlantic | 0. 54\% | 0. $00 \%$ | 1. $24 \%$ | 2. $61 \%$ | 1. $22 \%$ | 0. $36 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| East South Central | 1. $49 \%$ | 0.00\% | 2. $24 \%$ * | 1. $39 \%$ | 4. $29 \%$ | 0. $28 \%$ | 0.00\% |
| West South Central | 0. 91\% | 0. $00 \%$ | 3. $22 \%$ | 1. $92 \%$ | 3. $48 \%$ | 0. $90 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| Mbunt ai n | 0. $55 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ | 3. $76 \%$ * | 1. $13 \%$ | 2. $48 \%$ * | 0. $00 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |
| Pacific | 1. 04\% | 0.00\% | 1. $27 \%$ * | 5. $50 \%$ * | 5. $97 \%$ * | 0. $22 \%$ | 0. $00 \%$ |

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey -- Insurance Component.
Note: Standard errors of zero indicate that all governments in that category are in the survey. Definitions and descriptions of the method used for this survey can be found in the Techni cal Appendix.

* Fi gure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

