Table II.D. 3(1999) Percent of total premiums contributed by employees enrolled in family coverage at private-sector establishments that offer health insurance by firm size and State: United States, 1999 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	23. 7%	23. 0%	29. 9%	30. 7%	26. 5%	21. 0%	27. 3%	22. 9%
New Engl and:								
Mai ne Č	29. 5%	22. 9%	52.8%	38. 5%	31. 9%	22.5% *	33. 3%	28. 5%
Massachusetts	22.4%	21. 7%	24. 1%	30. 1%	23.6%	19. 9%	24. 5%	21. 8%
Connecticut	24. 4%	20. 8%	21.4%	25. 2%	34.9%	22. 3%	23.0%	24.8%
Rhode Island	18. 4%	3. 1% *	28. 7%	35. 5%	23. 3%	23. 5%	10. 7% *	24. 2%
Vermont	20. 9%	14. 5% *	18. 3%	38. 8%	19. 9%	16. 4%	22. 6%	20. 2%
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	21. 3%	17. 8%	26. 0%	24.0%	21. 7%	20. 3%	21.4%	21. 2%
New Jersey	18. 1%	18. 7%	12. 5% *	25. 6%	18. 0%	17. 9%	18. 1%	18. 1%
Pennsyl vani a	19. 1%	16. 3%	23. 5%	19. 2% *	21. 6%	18. 1%	17. 2%	19. 5%
East North Central:	10. 170	10.0%	20.070	10. 2.0	21.0%	10. 170	17.2%	10.0%
Ohi o	19. 0%	14. 2% *	31. 7%	23. 8%	20. 4%	16. 5%	25. 2%	17. 9%
I ndi ana	19. 6%	26. 8% *	28. 4%	24. 3%	26. 8%	15.6%	27. 9%	18. 0%
Illinois	24. 9%	17. 9%	30. 5%	24. 5%	23. 5%	25. 3%	22. 7%	25. 4%
Mi chi gan	15. 3%	21. 4%	14. 0% *	20. 6%	18. 2%	12. 5%	20. 0%	14. 3%
Wi sconsi n	21. 4%	15. 4%	26. 7%	22. 9%	19. 9%	21. 8%	19. 2%	21. 9%
West North Central:	21.4/0	13. 4/0	20. 7/0	££. 370	13. 3/0	21. 6/0	19. 2/0	21. 3/0
Minnesota	23. 6%	15. 3% *	19. 9%	24. 5%	27. 7%	23. 1%	19.8%	24. 5%
I owa	24. 1%	16. 1%	37. 6%	43. 2%	31. 3%	19. 0%	29. 1%	23. 1%
Mi ssouri	24. 1%	13. 3% *	37. 0% 32. 1%	43. 2% 37. 4%	23. 9%	21. 7%	30. 2%	23. 4%
Nebraska	24. 4% 24. 5%	10. 7%	28. 0% *	37. 4% 34. 7%	23. 9% 35. 0%	20. 9%	24. 8%	23. 4% 24. 4%
Kansas	23. 3%	18.0% *	21. 3% *	28. 6%	32. 5%	20. 1%	19. 6%	24. 3%
South Atlantic:	00 00/	01 10	07 10	00 40/ *	20.00/	10.00/	91 70	00 00/
Maryl and	20. 8%	21. 1%	25. 1%	20. 4% *	30. 9%	18. 3%	21. 5%	20.6%
Vi rgi ni a	25. 3%	28. 4%	34. 0%	34. 3%	36. 4%	20. 0%	33. 1%	24. 0%
North Carolina	29. 1%	25. 8%	43. 7%	47. 3%	36. 6%	23. 7%	40. 8%	26. 9%
South Carol i na	28. 9%	20. 6%	45. 9%	49. 5%	36. 2%	25. 4%	35. 5%	28. 1%
Georgi a	27. 6%	26. 5%	39. 0%	30. 3%	41. 9%	23. 0%	33. 0%	26. 7%
Florida	30. 2%	32. 7%	42. 2%	46. 7%	38. 5%	25. 2%	41. 3%	28. 1%
East South Central:	00 70	0.4 0.4	0.4 00v st	00 ====	00 =0	04 00	27 20	00 00
Kentucky	22. 5%	21. 7%	31. 6% *	22. 7%	23. 7%	21. 3%	25. 3%	22. 0%
Tennessee	26. 2%	23. 9% *	33. 5%	46. 1%	42. 9%	19. 0%	33. 2%	24. 9%
Al abama	28. 8%	40. 5%	32.0%	33.0%	31. 7%	25. 8%	35. 9%	27. 6%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	29. 1%	41. 5%	38. 4%	46. 2%	29. 3%	23. 8%	43. 3%	25. 3%
West South Central:								
Arkansas	25. 9%	33. 0%	22.0%	42. 2%	48. 2%	20.0%	28. 8%	25. 5%
Loui si ana	29. 7%	38. 1%	31. 6%	35. 3%	36.0%	24. 5%	37. 9%	27. 5%
0kl ahoma	26. 2%	15.8% *	32.4%	29. 1%	32. 1%	23. 1%	25. 2%	26. 5%
Texas	29.0%	35. 8%	45. 9%	48. 4%	29.0%	23. 9%	42. 1%	26.6%
Mountai n:								
Col orado	23. 3%	17. 6% *	41. 1%	36. 7%	25.0%	20. 0%	28. 0%	22.0%
Ari zona	27. 8%	19. 8% *	42.4%	44. 4%	26. 2%	25. 7%	33. 4%	26. 7%
Nevada	17. 4%	37. 5%	47. 9%	35. 4%	5. 6 % *	21. 1%	37. 6%	14.8%
Montana	26. 3%	24. 6%	27.4% *	46. 3%	28. 1%	19. 2%	27. 5%	25. 7%
Pacific:								
Washi ngton	23.6%	30. 8%	30. 8%	36. 7%	22.0% *	18. 2%	30. 3%	21.8%
0regon	27. 2%	26. 9%	48. 5%	24. 1%	41.8%	21. 1%	27. 3%	27. 1%
Cal i forni a	25. 2%	25. 0%	25. 7%	34. 2%	25. 4%	23. 5%	28. 1%	24. 6%
Hawai i	23. 6%	22. 5%	31. 6%	34. 4%	25. 2%	18. 9%	24. 8%	23. 2%
States not shown separately	22. 3%	25. 9%	35. 9%	40. 4%	29. 3%	15. 9%	33. 1%	19. 8%
States not shown separately	~~. U/0	20.070	30.070	10. 1/0	20.070	10.070	33. 1/0	10.0/0

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

Table II.D. 3(1999) Standard error for percent of total premiums contributed by employees enrolled in family coverage at private-sector establishments that offer health insurance by firm size and State: United States, 1999 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	0. 48%	1. 29%	1. 28%	1. 03%	0. 68%	0. 63%	0. 73%	0. 54%
New Engl and:	0.010/	0.70%	0.070/	7 050/	4 740/	7 100/ *	0.000/	4 070/
Mai ne	3. 91%	2. 76%	3. 87%	7. 05%	4. 74%	7. 10% *	3. 82%	4. 27%
Massachusetts	0. 79%	2. 64%	2. 89%	2. 03%	2. 11%	0. 87%	1. 28%	0. 88%
Connecticut	1. 57%	5. 16%	4. 44%	3. 72%	7. 27%	2. 25%	3. 24%	2. 04%
Rhode Island	2. 25%	2. 36% *	6. 79%	3. 93%	4. 12%	2. 57%	5. 22% *	1. 88%
Vermont	1. 61%	5. 22% *	5. 17%	4. 61%	2. 37%	2. 60%	3. 66%	1. 72%
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	1. 17%	3. 14%	4. 65%	4. 72%	2. 37%	1. 67%	2. 22%	1. 41%
New Jersey	1. 26%	5. 48%	6. 98% *	5. 46%	2. 86%	2. 03%	3. 48%	1. 49%
Pennsyl vani a	1. 20%	2. 64%	5. 06%	6. 67% *	2. 71%	1. 26%	2. 39%	1. 43%
East North Central:								
0hi o	1. 56%	7. 26% *	5. 39%	2. 35%	2. 30%	1. 41%	3. 36%	1. 44%
I ndi ana	1. 31%	8. 37% *	6. 63%	4. 58%	6. 82%	1. 74%	2. 99%	1. 64%
Illinois	1. 78%	4. 45%	8. 93%	5. 23%	3. 05%	2. 06%	3. 89%	1. 85%
Mi chi gan	1. 50%	5. 87%	6. 18% *	5. 40 %	2. 98%	1. 54%	3. 05%	1. 31%
Wi sconsi n	1. 26%	3. 46%	6. 04%	5. 49%	2. 68%	2. 36%	3. 32%	1. 59%
West North Central:								
Mi nnesota	0.89%	5. 52% *	4. 96%	4. 41%	2. 06%	1. 13%	3. 10%	0. 85%
Iowa	1. 79%	4. 42%	6. 61%	5. 35%	3. 63%	1. 97%	3. 78%	1. 87%
Mi ssouri	2. 55%	6. 22% *	5. 96%	5. 66%	4. 34%	3. 48%	4. 17%	2. 76%
Nebraska	1. 99%	2. 50%	8. 85% *	3. 83%	3. 95%	1. 57%	3. 23%	1. 87%
Kansas	2. 28%	5. 61% *	7. 89% *	7. 62%	3. 30%	3. 82%	3. 08%	3. 14%
South Atlantic:								
Maryl and	0. 92%	4. 04%	5. 90%	6. 25% *	4. 31%	1. 23%	3. 16%	1. 02%
Vi rgi ni a	1. 56%	4. 57%	6. 78%	5. 92%	3. 24%	3. 18%	2. 07%	1. 96%
North Carolina	1. 49%	4. 81%	8. 15%	5. 49%	4. 34%	1. 78%	4. 77%	1. 37%
South Carolina	1. 61%	6. 10%	9. 13%	4. 64%	4. 99%	1. 60%	5. 79%	1. 93%
Georgi a	2. 49%	5. 61%	7. 71%	7. 90%	5. 22%	3. 72%	3. 07%	2. 82%
Flori da	1. 66%	5. 97%	4. 75%	3. 85%	3. 13%	1. 87%	2. 21%	2. 09%
East South Central:	1. 00%	3. 3. 7.	1. 1070	0. 0070	0. 10%	1.07/0	2. 21/0	2. 00%
Kentucky	2. 45%	5. 90%	9. 90% *	4. 99%	3. 84%	3. 19%	3. 54%	2. 83%
Tennessee	2. 82%	8. 56% *	8. 55%	5. 68%	2. 99%	2. 46%	5. 26%	2. 63%
Al abama	2. 76%	5. 83%	7. 46 %	7. 47%	4. 32%	3. 79%	2. 98%	3. 15%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 01%	6. 82%	9. 89%	8. 03%	4. 91%	2. 24%	5. 87%	1. 62%
West South Central:	2. 01/0	0. 02/0	J. 0J/0	0. 03/0	4. 51/0	ω. ωπ/0	3. 07 %	1. 02/0
Arkansas	2. 19%	7. 47%	4. 39%	2. 28%	5. 53%	1. 60%	5. 49%	2. 52%
Loui si ana	2. 03%	6. 35%	4. 35% 8. 25%	7. 86%	5. 05%	3. 08%	3. 00%	2. 61%
Okl ahoma	2. 59%	5. 07% *	8. 25%	5. 53%	4. 94%	3. 37%	4. 11%	2. 46%
Texas	1. 49%	4. 28%	5. 96%	3. 39%	5. 20%	2. 34%	2. 72%	1. 59%
Mountain:	1.49%	4. 20%	3. 90%	3. 39%	3. 20%	2. 34%	2. 1270	1. 39%
	1 610/	6. 41% *	E 0.40/	7 520/	2 020/	9 60%	F 200/	9 050/
Col orado	1. 61% 2. 64%	6. 57% *	5. 84%	7. 53%	3. 82%	2. 60%	5. 20%	2. 05%
Ari zona			4. 99%	6. 33%	7. 68%	3. 93%	3. 62%	3. 09%
Nevada	3. 98%	9. 21%	7. 74%	9. 75%	11. 65% *	1. 21%	7. 13%	3. 99%
Montana	2. 43%	5. 89%	9. 16% *	5. 95%	2. 40%	4. 08%	4. 64%	2. 42%
Pacific:	4 000	~ 4.00	0.070	0 4 70/	0 7 00/ 1	0 70%	0.00%	0.400
Washi ngton	1. 66%	7. 14%	6. 87%	6. 15%	6. 73% *	2. 79%	3. 90%	2. 13%
0regon	2. 55%	6. 65%	8. 74%	6. 29%	3. 58%	2. 81%	5. 71%	2. 49%
Cal i forni a	1. 56%	3. 07%	4. 86%	3. 58%	2. 52%	2. 08%	2. 27%	1. 88%
Hawai i	1. 75%	3. 55%	4. 45%	4. 98%	2. 45%	2. 56%	1. 97%	1. 98%
States not shown separately	3. 02%	4. 12%	5. 45%	3. 18%	1. 99%	3. 92%	3. 24%	3. 31%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.