Table II. D. 3. b(1999) Percent of tot al premins for mix provider plans contributed by enployees enrolledin family coverage at private sector establ ishments that offer heal th insurance by firmsize and State: United States, 1999 ( 40 States are shown separately)

| Di vi si on and State | Tot al | Less than 10 empl oyees | $10-24$ $25-99$ 100-999 <br> empl oyees empl oyees empl oyees | 1000 or nore empl oyees | Less than 50 empl oyees | 50 or more enpl oyees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uni ted St at es | 23. $9 \%$ | 21. $9 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ 30.7\% 26.3\% | 21. $2 \%$ | 26. 9\% | 23. $2 \%$ |
| New Engl and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mai ne | 29. $2 \%$ |  |  |  | 25. 8\% * | 29. 7\% |
| Massachusetts | 21. $2 \%$ |  |  |  | 23. 6\% | 20. 6\% |
| Connecti cut | 24.7\% |  |  |  | 16. 5\% | 26. 9\% |
| Rhode I sl and | 16.7\% |  | These cell estimates have been suppressed |  | 8. $3 \%$ * | 24. 0\% |
| Ver mont | 23. $5 \%$ |  | because the size of their standard errors |  | 26. $4 \%$ | 22. 7\% |
| M ddl e Atl antic: |  |  | makes them extremel y unrel i abl e. Col umm or |  |  |  |
| New York | 21. $6 \%$ |  | row esti mates should be used in place of |  | 21. 5\% | 21. $6 \%$ |
| New J ersey | 17. $6 \%$ |  | these esti mates. |  | 17. 0\% | 17. 7\% |
| Pennsyl vani a | 18. $8 \%$ |  |  |  | 19. $4 \%$ | 18. 6\% |
| East North Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohi o | 17.5\% |  |  |  | 25. 0\% | 16. 2\% |
| I ndi ana | 20. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 29.0\% | 19.0\% |
| III i noi s | 24. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 23. 6\% | 24. 9\% |
| M chi gan | 17. $3 \%$ |  |  |  | 24. $4 \%$ | 15. $6 \%$ |
| W sconsi n | 22.1\% |  |  |  | 20. $2 \%$ | 22. 6\% |
| West North Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M nnesota | 24. $8 \%$ |  |  |  | 23. 0\% | 25. 1\% |
| I owa | 25. $9 \%$ |  |  |  | 32. 3\% | 24.7\% |
| M ssouri | 24. 3\% |  |  |  | 29. $2 \%$ | 23. 3\% |
| Nebr aska | 23. $7 \%$ |  |  |  | 28. 5\% | 22. 7\% |
| Kansas | 23. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 23. 5\% | 23. 6\% |
| South Atl antic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryl and | 22. $2 \%$ |  |  |  | 21. 1\% | 22. 6\% |
| Vir gi ni a | 24.7\% |  |  |  | 30. 1\% | 24. 0\% |
| North Carol i na | 28. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 29. 0\% | 28. 5\% |
| South Carol i na | 29.1\% |  |  |  | 35. 6\% | 28. 1\% |
| Georgi a | 29.5\% |  |  |  | 37. 5\% | 28.1\% |
| Fl ori da | 30.1\% |  |  |  | 41. 6\% | 28. 0\% |
| East South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kent ucky | 23. $3 \%$ |  |  |  | 25. $7 \%$ | 22. 8\% |
| Tennessee | 26.9\% |  |  |  | 28.7\% | 26. 5\% |
| Al abama | 28.1\% |  |  |  | 37. 0\% | 26. 8\% |
| M ssi ssi ppi | 29.7\% |  |  |  | 45. 9\% | 25. 6\% |
| West South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 26.9\% |  |  |  | 32. 1\% | 26. 3\% |
| Loui si ana | 30. $2 \%$ |  |  |  | 35. 0\% | 29. 1\% |
| OKl ahoma | 26. $0 \%$ |  |  |  | 24. 3\% | 26. $4 \%$ |
| Texas | 28.0\% |  |  |  | 40. 3\% | 25. 7\% |
| Mbunt ai n : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Col or ado | 19. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 24. 5\% * | 18. $4 \%$ |
| Ari zona | 28. $3 \%$ |  |  |  | 26. 2\% | 28. 8\% |
| Nevada | 19.1\% |  |  |  | 39. 6\% | 15. 7\% |
| Mbnt ana | 28. $2 \%$ |  |  |  | 38. 2\% | 23. 8\% |
| Pacific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washi ngt on | 24. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 28. $7 \%$ | 23. $2 \%$ |
| Oregon | 27. $4 \%$ |  |  |  | 26. $4 \%$ | 27.7\% |
| Cal i f or ni a | 25. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 24. 8\% | 25. 8\% |
| Hawai i | 23. 1\% |  |  |  | 25. 6\% | 22. 1\% |
| States not shown separatel y | 20.5\% |  |  |  | 30. 5\% | 18. 3\% |

 Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Techni cal Appendi $x$.
*Fi gure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

Table II.D. 3. b( 1999) Standard error for percent of total preminm for mixed provider plans contribut by employees enrolledinfanily coverage at private-sect or establ ishments that offer heal th insurance by firmsize and State: United States, 1999 ( 40 States are shown separatel y)

| Di vi si on and State | Tot al | Less than 10 empl oyees | 10-24 empl oyees | $\begin{array}{r} 25-99 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 100-999 } \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | 1000 or nore empl oyees | Less than 50 empl oyees | 50 or more empl oyees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uni ted St at es | 0.73\% | 1. $34 \%$ | 1. $62 \%$ | 1. $27 \%$ | 0.71\% | 0. $92 \%$ | 0. $85 \%$ | 0.75\% |
| New Engl and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mai ne | 4. $23 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8. $87 \%$ * | 4. $08 \%$ |
| Massachusetts | 1. $76 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $06 \%$ | 2. $30 \%$ |
| Connecti cut | 2. $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $84 \%$ | 3. $17 \%$ |
| Rhode I sl and | 2. $80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $72 \%$ * | 2. $47 \%$ |
| Ver nont | 1. $74 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $86 \%$ | 2. $16 \%$ |
| Mddl e Atl antic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 1. $72 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $03 \%$ | 1. $75 \%$ |
| New J ersey | 1. $80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $18 \%$ | 1. $82 \%$ |
| Pennsyl vani a | 1. $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $92 \%$ | 1. $62 \%$ |
| East North Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohi o | 1. $53 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $29 \%$ | 1. $43 \%$ |
| I ndi ana | 1. $67 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6. 69\% | 2. $23 \%$ |
| III i nois | 1. $91 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $72 \%$ | 2. 12\% |
| M chi gan | 1. $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $10 \%$ | 1. $39 \%$ |
| W sconsi $n$ | 1. $74 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $57 \%$ | 1. $99 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M nnesota | 1. $45 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $07 \%$ | 1. $83 \%$ |
| I owa | 2. $32 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $93 \%$ | 2. $33 \%$ |
| M ssouri | 2. $74 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $93 \%$ | 3. 06\% |
| Nebr aska | 2. $63 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $71 \%$ | 2. 00\% |
| Kansas | 2. $89 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $30 \%$ | 3. $45 \%$ |
| South Atl antic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryl and | 1. $09 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $04 \%$ | 1. $40 \%$ |
| Virgi ni a | 2. $76 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. 14\% | 3. $27 \%$ |
| North Carol i na | 1. $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $55 \%$ | 2. $21 \%$ |
| South Car ol i na | 1. $51 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6. 11\% | 2. 06\% |
| Georgi a | 3. $11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $98 \%$ | 3. $29 \%$ |
| Fl ori da | 1. $70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $96 \%$ | 2. $10 \%$ |
| East South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kent ucky | 1. $86 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $97 \%$ | 1. $92 \%$ |
| Tennessee | 2. $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $54 \%$ | 2. $81 \%$ |
| Al abama | 3. $32 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $63 \%$ | 3. $45 \%$ |
| M ssi ssi ppi | 2. $28 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $85 \%$ | 2. $11 \%$ |
| West South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 2. $09 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $68 \%$ | 2. $47 \%$ |
| Loui si ana | 2. 16\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $33 \%$ | 2. $47 \%$ |
| OKl ahoma | 2. $93 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $65 \%$ | 3. 13\% |
| Texas | 1. $48 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $25 \%$ | 1. $27 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Col or ado | 2. $33 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8. $46 \%$ * | 1. $97 \%$ |
| Ari zona | 3. $59 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $52 \%$ | 4. $75 \%$ |
| Nevada | 3. $93 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8. $04 \%$ | 4. 00\% |
| Montana | 2. $78 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $97 \%$ | 4. 11\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vashi ngt on | 3. $00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $96 \%$ | 4. $65 \%$ |
| Oregon | 2. $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 7. $65 \%$ | 2. $74 \%$ |
| Cal i forni a | 2. $75 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $30 \%$ | 2. $88 \%$ |
| Hawai i | 2. $00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $54 \%$ | 2. $12 \%$ |
| States not shown separatel y | 3. $55 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $95 \%$ | 3. $41 \%$ |

Source: Agency for Heal thcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Fi nancing Studi es. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Conponent. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

