Table II.A. 2. c. (1) (2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	8. 7%	14. 5%	10. 0%	6. 0%	2. 7%	2. 3%	12.5%	2. 8%
New England:								
Massachusetts	21. 9%						30.0%	6.6% *
New Hampshire	15. 0%						22. 1%	1. 0% *
Connecticut	12. 9%						17. 8%	1. 9% *
Middle Atlantic:	12.070						11.0%	1.0%
New York	15. 5%		These cell es	timates have been	en sunnressed		20. 3%	4. 9%
New Jersey	9. 3%				• •		13. 2%	0. 9% *
Pennsyl vani a	8. 9%		because the size			•	12. 1%	3. 5%
East North Central:	0.0%			y unreliable. (12. 170	3. 370
Ohi o	9. 5%		estimates shou	ıld be used in p	lace of these		11.0%	7. 6% *
I ndi ana	7. 0% *			estimates.			8. 5% *	5. 0% *
Illi noi s	5. 0 %			esermetes.			7. 0%	1. 7% *
Mi chi gan	7. 6%						10. 1%	3. 0%
Wi sconsi n	8. 4%						11. 6%	2. 7% *
West North Central:	O. 470						11.0%	₩. 170
Minnesota	6. 7%						9. 8%	1. 9% *
I owa	5. 7%						7. 9%	2. 5% *
Mi ssouri	4. 5%						6. 5%	1. 4% *
Nebraska	1. 8% *						1. 8% *	1. 8% *
Nebraska Kansas	4. 9% *						7. 4% *	0.5% *
	3. 9%							
North Dakota South Dakota	3. 9% 3. 8% *						4. 7% * 3. 1% *	2. 0% * 5. 1% *
	3. 6%						3. 1%	3. 1%
South Atlantic:	C 00/						11 20/	0 00/ *
Maryl and	6. 9%						11. 3%	0. 8% *
Vi rgi ni a	5. 6%						7. 7% *	2. 6% *
West Virginia	5. 0%						7. 1%	2. 1% *
North Carolina	4. 1% *						6. 6% * 2. 7% *	1.0% * ****
South Carolina	1. 4% *						~. · /·	
Georgi a	3. 1%						5. 7% *	0. 5% *
Florida	8. 7%						14.0%	0.4% *
East South Central:	0 00/ *						4 770/ +	0.70/
Kentucky	3. 9% *						4. 7% *	2. 7%
Tennessee	5. 4%						9. 1%	2.0 % * *****
Al abama	3. 2% *						5. 4% *	
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2.8% *						4.9% *	0.6% *
West South Central:	2 204						0.00	4 00/ 11
Arkansas	3. 9%						6. 2%	1. 3% *
Loui și ana	2. 7% *						5. 1% *	0. 4% *
0kl ahoma	5. 0% *						8. 0% *	1. 6% *
Texas	2.5% *						3. 5% *	1. 5% *
Mountain:	4.0 400							
Colorado	13. 4%						20.0%	3. 1% *
New Mexico	8. 9%						14. 7%	1. 9% *
Ari zona	4. 9% *						7. 1% *	2. 0% *
Utah	7. 3%						9.6%	4.6% *
Pacific:								
Washi ngton	8. 7%						11. 9%	2. 9% *
0regon	14. 3%						20. 5%	3. 1% *
Cal i forni a	14.0%						19.0%	5. 8%
States not shown separately	9. 9%						14. 3%	2. 6% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{*****} No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table II.A. 2. c. (1) (2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	0. 24%	0. 42%	0. 75%	0. 29%	0. 43%	0. 45%	0. 35%	0. 31%
New Engl and:								
Massachusetts	3. 36%						5. 03%	3. 03% *
New Hampshire	2. 05%						2. 95%	0. 68% *
Connecticut	1. 35%						2. 85%	0. 70% *
Middle Atlantic:	21.00%						2. 55%	00%
New York	1. 50%						1. 99%	1. 07%
New Jersey	1. 02%						1. 42%	0. 33% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 46%						2. 15%	0. 94%
East North Central:	1. 40%						2. 10%	0. 04/0
Ohi o	2. 31%						2. 05%	3. 47% *
I ndi ana	2. 49% *						2. 98% *	3. 59% *
Illinois	1. 08%						1. 84%	0. 82% *
	1. 24%						2. 04%	0. 84%
Mi chi gan Wi sconsi n	1. 59%						2. 04% 1. 91%	2. 41% *
West North Central:	1. 33/0						1. 91/0	2. 41%
	1. 48%						2. 47%	1. 10% *
Mi nnesota							1. 63%	1. 33% *
I owa Mi ssouri	0. 97% 0. 96%						1. 63% 1. 48%	0. 57% *
	0. 90%						0. 90% *	1. 21% *
Nebraska								
Kansas	1. 90% * 1. 02%						3. 10% * 1. 76% *	0. 45% * 1. 25% *
North Dakota	1. 02% 1. 67% *							
South Dakota	1.07%						1. 32% *	3. 23% *
South Atlantic:	1. 83%						3. 17%	0. 73% *
Maryl and								
Vi rgi ni a	1. 63%						2. 75% *	2. 16% *
West Virginia	0. 81%						1. 62%	1. 46% *
North Carolina	1. 61% *						3. 17% *	0. 52% * ****
South Carolina	0. 77% *						1. 67% * 1. 78% *	0. 68% *
Georgia	0. 91%							
Florida	2. 01%						3. 01%	0. 32% *
East South Central:	1. 72% *						2. 96% *	0. 79%
Kentucky								0. 79% 1. 19% *
Tennessee	1. 17% 1. 05% *						2. 14% 1. 90% *	1.19% *****
Al abama								
Mississippi	0. 98% *						1. 97% *	1. 04% *
West South Central: Arkansas	0. 620/						1. 28%	0. 73% *
	0. 63%						1. 28% 2. 46% *	
Loui si ana	1. 77% * 1. 56% *						2. 46% * 2. 75% *	0. 75% * 1. 23% *
0kl ahoma	0. 80% *						2. 75% * 1. 35% *	
Texas	0.80%						1. 35%	0. 79% *
Mountain:	0. 99%						2. 04%	1. 18% *
Col orado								
New Mexico	1. 56%						2. 52%	1. 28% *
Ari zona	1. 72% *						3. 15% *	1. 12% *
Utah	1. 44%						2. 36%	2.06% *
Pacific:	1 770/						0 000/	1 050/ *
Washi ngton	1. 77%						2. 23%	1. 95% *
Oregon	2. 57%						4. 24%	1. 18% *
California	0. 85%						1. 61%	1. 26%
States not shown separately	1. 19%						1. 97%	1. 35% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{*****} No estimate available. No reported values in cell.