Table II.A. 2. c. (3) (2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	5. 4%	10. 0%	5. 5%	2. 7%	1. 7%	0. 9%	8. 1%	1. 3%
New England:								
Massachusetts	3.9% *						5.4% *	0. 9% *
New Hampshi re	4.0% *						5. 6% *	0. 8% *
Connecticut	3. 4%						4. 5%	0. 9% *
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	4.7%						6.0% *	1. 7%
New Jersey	3. 5%		These cell es	stimates have be	en sunnressed		4.8%	0. 8% *
Pennsyl vani a	13. 0%		because the size		• •		18. 9%	3. 3%
East North Central:	20.0%					1	10.0%	0.070
Ohi o	7. 0%		them extremel	y unreliable. (Column or row		10. 9%	2.0% *
Indi ana	4. 6%		estimates sho	uld be used in p	lace of these		7. 3%	1. 2% *
Illinois	6. 3%			estimates.			9. 2%	1. 4% *
Mi chi gan	14. 8%			eser maces.			21. 0%	3. 6% *
Wi sconsi n	5. 8%						8. 9%	0. 3% *
West North Central:	J. 6/0						8. 9/0	0. 3/0
Mi nnesota	9. 2%						13. 6%	2. 4% *
I owa	6. 0%						8. 8% *	2. 0% *
	8. 0%							
Mi ssouri							12. 7%	1. 0% *
Nebraska	4. 6%						7. 3%	0. 4% *
Kansas	8. 5%						12. 4%	1. 4% *
North Dakota	17. 3%						23. 1%	4. 4% *
South Dakota	12.9%						19. 3%	1.8% *
South Atlantic:								
Maryl and	4. 2%						7. 2%	0. 1% *
Vi rgi ni a	5. 7% *						8.9%	0. 9% *
West Virginia	6. 5%						8.6%	3. 5% *
North Carolina	3.9%						6. 6%	0. 7% *
South Carolina	3.4% *						6.6% *	0. 2% *
Georgi a	3.9% *						7. 3% *	0.4% *
Fl ori da	3. 1%						4. 3%	1. 3% *
East South Central:								
Kentucky	6. 7%						9. 6%	2.0% *
Tennessee	4. 7%						8.4% *	1.3% *
Al abama	4.7%						7. 8%	0. 2% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2.0% *						1. 9% *	2. 2% *
West South Central:								
Arkansas	3. 5%						6. 1%	0.5% *
Loui si ana	3. 5% *						6. 9% *	0.1% *
0kl ahoma	4. 2% *						7.8% *	0. 2% *
Texas	2. 3% *						3. 0% *	1. 6% *
Mountai n:								
Col orado	1.6% *						1.3% *	2. 1% *
New Mexico	4. 3%						7. 7%	0. 1% *
Arizona	6. 3% *						11.0% *	****
Utah	4. 3%						6. 9%	1. 3% *
Paci fi c:	4. 3/0						0. 9%	1. 3/0
Washi ngton	6. 0%						9. 3%	0. 1% *
Oregon	4. 3%						5. 3%	2. 6% *
• •							3. 7% *	0. 6% *
California	2. 5% * 6. 7%						3. 7% * 9. 7%	0. 6% * 1. 7% *
States not shown separately	U. 770						9. 770	1. / 70

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{*****} No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table II.A. 2. c. (3) (2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	0. 16%	0. 38%	0. 53%	0. 31%	0. 29%	0. 12%	0. 29%	0. 11%
New Engl and:								
Massachusetts	1. 34% *						1. 97% *	0.48% *
New Hampshire	1. 42% *						2. 28% *	0. 35% *
Connecticut	0. 93%						1. 21%	0. 80% *
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	1. 30%						1. 87% *	0. 46%
New Jersey	0. 82%						1. 14%	0. 44% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 78%						2. 38%	0. 94%
East North Central:	1. 70%						2. 30%	0. 0470
Ohi o	1. 76%						2. 69%	0.74% *
I ndi ana	1. 01%						1. 36%	1. 00% *
Illinois	1. 10%						1. 73%	0. 58% *
	1. 10%						2. 16%	1. 45% *
Mi chi gan	1. 15%						2. 16% 1. 65%	0. 27% *
Wi sconsi n	1. 15%						1. 63%	U. 27% *
West North Central:	1 400/						9. 96%	1 070/ *
Mi nnesota	1. 43%						2. 36%	1. 05% *
Iowa	1. 58%						2. 78% *	0. 99% *
Missouri	2. 03%						2. 99%	1. 07% *
Nebraska	1. 34%						1. 97%	0. 32% *
Kansas	1. 59%						2. 19%	1.86% *
North Dakota	2. 81%						3. 90%	1.62% *
South Dakota	2. 58%						3. 58%	1. 76% *
South Atlantic:								
Maryl and	0. 68%						1. 34%	0. 08% *
Vi rgi ni a	1. 73% *						2. 55%	0.59% *
West Virginia	1. 43%						2. 08%	1. 78% *
North Carolina	0.85%						1. 61%	0.47% *
South Carolina	1.14% *						2. 13% *	0.18% *
Georgi a	1. 37% *						2. 61% *	0. 36% *
Fl ori da	0. 68%						1. 12%	0.56% *
East South Central:								
Kentucky	0. 98%						1. 72%	0.83% *
Tennessee	1. 29%						2. 67% *	0. 63% *
Al abama	0. 91%						1. 65%	0. 15% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	1. 23% *						1. 49% *	1. 28% *
West South Central:								
Arkansas	0. 75%						1. 58%	0. 22% *
Loui si ana	1. 25% *						2. 85% *	0. 10% *
0kl ahoma	1. 72% *						3. 07% *	0. 27% *
Texas	0. 82% *						1. 23% *	0. 90% *
Mountain:	0.0270						1. 20%	0.0070
Col orado	0. 53% *						0. 94% *	1. 06% *
New Mexico	1. 08%						2. 25%	0. 14% *
Ari zona	2. 61% *						2. 25% 3. 49% *	V. 14/0 ****
Utah	0. 99%						1. 79%	0.65% *
	0. 99%						1. 79%	0. 03%
Pacific:	1 450/						9 05%	0.050 *
Washi ngton	1. 45%						2. 05%	0. 05% * 1. 27% *
Oregon	1. 03%						1. 56%	
California	0. 79% *						1. 14% *	0. 32% *
States not shown separately	0. 88%						1. 69%	0. 90% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{*****} No estimate available. No reported values in cell.