Table II.B. 1. a(2000) Percent of number of private-sector employees by firm size and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States New England:	112, 021, 085	12. 9%	8. 9%	14.0%	17. 9%	46. 2%	28. 9%	71. 1%
Massachusetts	3, 150, 773	14. 9%	6. 7%	12. 2%	18. 6%	47. 7%	27. 2%	72.8%
New Hampshire	558, 756	13. 7%	9. 7%	15. 5%	16. 2%	44.9%	31. 7%	68. 3%
Connecti cut	1, 543, 201	17. 3%	8. 6%	12. 5%	16. 3%	45. 3%	32.3%	67. 7%
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	7, 432, 561	16. 9%	9. 3%	16.6%	17. 3%	39. 9%	35. 3%	64. 7%
New Jersey	3, 446, 967	13. 1%	8.9%	12. 7%	16. 9%	48. 4%	29. 0%	71.0%
Pennsyl vani a	4, 947, 466	12.9%	8. 9%	13. 9%	17. 7%	46. 5%	29. 0%	71.0%
East North Central:								
0hi o	4, 905, 479	12. 4%	7. 9%	12. 5%	18. 7%	48. 5%	27. 0%	73.0%
I ndi ana	2, 543, 202	11. 1%	11.5%	13. 7%	15. 1%	48.6%	29. 5%	70. 5%
Illinois	5, 487, 418	9. 9%	9.0%	15. 2%	21. 2%	44. 7%	26. 5%	73. 5%
Mi chi gan	3, 908, 060	11.6%	8.8%	13. 7%	19. 5%	46. 5%	27. 2%	72. 8%
Wi sconsi n	2, 394, 241	13. 3%	10. 1%	13. 9%	20. 1%	42.6%	30. 9%	69. 1%
West North Central:								
Minnesota	2, 362, 976	10. 3%	8. 9%	15. 4%	17. 9%	47.6%	25. 9%	74. 1%
Iowa	1, 215, 648	13. 4%	8. 1%	17.0%	16. 9%	44. 7%	29. 2%	70. 8%
Missouri	2, 387, 801	13. 4%	7. 2%	14. 2%	15.0%	50. 2%	28.0%	72.0%
Nebraska	721, 957	15. 5%	10.0%	12. 5%	17. 1%	45. 0%	32. 3%	67. 7%
Kansas	1, 116, 055	13. 9%	9. 8%	15. 5%	11.6%	49. 2%	30. 7%	69. 3%
North Dakota	251, 569	21. 9%	11.1%	16. 2%	18. 6%	32. 2%	42. 7%	57. 3%
South Dakota	305, 550	17. 9%	11. 7%	16. 9%	18. 4%	35. 1%	38. 1%	61.9%
South Atlantic:								
Maryl and	2, 124, 183	11. 8%	11. 7%	14. 1%	18. 0%	44. 4%	30. 3%	69. 7%
Vi rgi ni a	2, 887, 461	11. 7%	9. 3%	14. 2%	15. 8%	49. 0%	28. 6%	71. 4%
West Virginia	534, 533	14. 3%	9. 3%	16. 1%	16. 2%	44. 1%	33. 5%	66. 5%
North Carolina	3, 339, 847	11. 6%	8. 1%	12. 7%	20. 1%	47. 5%	26. 4%	73. 6%
South Carolina	1, 492, 084	10. 5%	8. 4%	11.8%	18. 4%	50. 8%	24. 7%	75. 3%
Georgia	3, 412, 381	9. 9%	6. 5%	11. 1%	16. 8%	55. 7%	20. 9%	79. 1%
Flori da	6, 049, 166	14. 4%	7. 7%	10.0%	14.6%	53. 3%	27.6%	72. 4%
East South Central:	1 470 400	11 00/	0.00/	10.00/	10.0%	40 10/	07 08/	74 00/
Kentucky	1, 478, 433	11. 8%	8.3%	13.8%	16. 9%	49. 1%	25. 8%	74. 2%
Tennessee	2, 331, 775	8. 9%	7. 7%	12.0%	21. 7%	49. 7%	23. 3%	76. 7% 71. 2%
Al abama Mi ssi ssi ppi	1, 586, 606 918, 733	13. 7% 13. 5%	8. 3% 7. 0%	12. 6% 12. 3%	16. 5% 16. 1%	48. 9% 51. 1%	28. 8% 28. 1%	71. 2% 71. 9%
West South Central:	916, 733	13. 3%	7.070	12. J/0	10. 1/0	31. 1/0	28. 1/0	71. 9/0
Arkansas	964, 683	14. 9%	7. 7%	12.5%	15. 9%	49. 0%	29.9%	70. 1%
Loui si ana	1, 504, 254	14. 8%	7. 7% 7. 1%	16. 7%	20. 2%	41. 3%	29. 7%	70. 1% 70. 3%
Okl ahoma	1, 117, 095	14. 2%	9. 1%	14.6%	16. 9%	41. 3% 45. 2%	30. 2%	69. 8%
Texas	7, 553, 118	11. 0%	8. 5%	13. 1%	17. 4%	50. 0%	26. 1%	73. 9%
Mountain:	7, 555, 116	11.0%	0. 3/0	13. 1/0	17. 4/0	30. 0/0	20. 170	73.3%
Col orado	1, 944, 525	11.8%	8. 7%	12.4%	15. 3%	51. 8%	27.4%	72.6%
New Mexico	539, 131	14. 3%	11. 7%	16. 9%	15. 4%	41. 7%	34.0%	66. 0%
Ari zona	1, 925, 667	11.6%	8. 4%	11. 7%	18. 0%	50. 3%	25. 8%	74. 2%
Utah	907, 515	13. 8%	11.4% *	10. 5%	16. 3%	48. 1%	30. 5%	69. 5%
Paci fi c:	,		==- =					
Washi ngton	2, 156, 980	15. 4%	10.6%	18.6%	19. 7%	35. 7%	35. 5%	64. 5%
0regon	1, 343, 772	15. 7%	9. 7%	16. 4%	21. 7%	36. 4%	33. 4%	66. 6%
Cal i forni a	12, 762, 278	13. 1%	9. 6%	16. 8%	19. 1%	41. 4%	30. 4%	69. 6%
States not shown separately	4, 467, 182	13. 9%	11.0%	15. 1%	20. 2%	39. 8%	32.0%	68.0%
	, ,							

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

Percents may not add to 100% because of rounding.

^{*}Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

Table II.B. 1. a(2000) Standard error for percent of number of private-sector employees by firm size and State: United States, 2000: (40 States are shown separately)

separately)		I	10 04	07 00	100.000	1000	I	50
Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24	25 - 99	100-999	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
Division and State	Total	empi oyees	employees	employees	employees	empi oyees	empi oyees	empi oyees
United States	1, 750, 278	0. 35%	0. 13%	0. 30%	0. 54%	0. 99%	0. 45%	0. 45%
New England:	1, 100, 210	0.00%	0. 10%	0.00%	0.01/	0.00%	0. 10%	0. 10%
Massachusetts	202, 477	3. 01%	1. 30%	1. 88%	2. 54%	3. 84%	2. 23%	2. 23%
New Hampshire	52, 128	1. 58%	1. 21%	1. 89%	2.89%	5. 12%	2. 73%	2. 73%
Connecticut	109, 202	2. 73%	1. 31%	2. 06%	3. 24%	3. 49%	2. 22%	2. 22%
Middle Atlantic:	100, 202	2	1.01/	2.00%	0.21	0. 10.0	2. 22.0	2.22.0
New York	508, 623	2. 60%	0. 74%	1. 98%	2. 29%	3. 56%	2. 52%	2. 52%
New Jersey	231, 863	1. 27%	1. 75%	1. 43%	2. 61%	4. 52%	2. 79%	2. 79%
Pennsyl vani a	351, 249	1. 36%	1. 17%	1. 31%	2. 40%	2. 35%	1. 51%	1. 51%
East North Central:	,						_,,	
Ohi o	270, 258	1. 32%	0. 73%	0. 91%	1. 94%	2. 36%	1. 56%	1. 56%
Indi ana	165, 959	0. 80%	2. 48%	1. 99%	2. 09%	3. 37%	2. 11%	2. 11%
Illinois	454, 733	0. 76%	0. 92%	1. 82%	2. 58%	3. 80%	1. 99%	1. 99%
Mi chi gan	228, 243	1. 50%	0. 66%	1. 65%	3. 09%	4. 21%	1. 51%	1. 51%
Wi sconsi n	137, 811	1. 07%	0. 55%	0. 90%	2. 55%	2. 89%	1. 40%	1. 40%
West North Central:	101, 011	1.0.%	0.00%	0.00%	2.00%	21 00%	1. 10.0	1, 10,0
Minnesota	207. 598	0. 96%	0. 99%	2. 02%	2. 91%	4. 18%	2. 08%	2. 08%
Iowa	69, 020	0. 84%	0. 80%	2. 09%	1. 72%	2. 28%	2. 28%	2. 28%
Mi ssouri	174, 366	2. 07%	0. 98%	1. 44%	1. 38%	2. 95%	2. 35%	2. 35%
Nebraska	99, 979	1. 96%	1. 76%	1. 62%	2. 54%	5. 01%	3. 73%	3. 73%
Kansas	151, 742	2. 42%	1. 65%	2. 15%	1. 92%	5. 62%	3. 36%	3. 36%
North Dakota	9, 243	3. 59%	0. 87%	1. 90%	2. 15%	3. 05%	3. 39%	3. 39%
South Dakota	16, 192	1. 43%	1. 13%	1. 70%	3. 23%	3. 54%	1. 65%	1. 65%
South Atlantic:	10, 102	1. 40%	1. 10%	1. 70%	0. 20/0	J. J. 1/10	1. 00%	1.00%
Maryl and	231, 483	1. 93%	2.84%	2. 42%	2. 50%	4. 75%	3. 66%	3. 66%
Vi rgi ni a	133, 381	1. 34%	0. 95%	1. 69%	2. 66%	2. 82%	2. 00%	2. 00%
West Virginia	77, 042	1. 84%	1. 16%	2. 55%	1. 60%	4. 66%	3. 84%	3. 84%
North Carolina	285, 164	1. 35%	0. 93%	1. 62%	1. 87%	3. 33%	2. 39%	2. 39%
South Carolina	234, 632	2. 02%	1. 64%	1. 41%	3. 49%	4. 93%	3. 25%	3. 25%
Georgi a	370, 322	1. 47%	1. 40%	2. 19%	2. 80%	4. 87%	2. 43%	2. 43%
Fl ori da	406, 322	2. 47%	1. 07%	1. 18%	1. 78%	3. 78%	3. 16%	3. 16%
East South Central:	100, 022	₩. 41 70	1.07/0	1. 10/0	1. 70%	3. 7 G/A	3. 10%	0. 10/0
Kentucky	120, 238	1. 24%	0. 91%	1. 91%	1. 92%	4. 36%	2. 34%	2. 34%
Tennessee	158, 489	0. 83%	0. 78%	1. 28%	2. 99%	2. 33%	1. 68%	1. 68%
Al abama	81, 682	1. 44%	1. 97%	1. 21%	2. 57%	3. 37%	2. 47%	2. 47%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	106, 895	1. 77%	1. 45%	2. 19%	1. 94%	5. 36%	3. 75%	3. 75%
West South Central:	100, 000	1	1. 10%	2. 10%	1.01/0	0. 00 ⁷ 0	0.70%	0. 1070
Arkansas	45, 724	1. 75%	0. 76%	1. 62%	0. 79%	2. 28%	1. 91%	1. 91%
Loui si ana	126, 466	2. 02%	1. 03%	2. 76%	3. 04%	3. 56%	2. 18%	2. 18%
0kl ahoma	94, 252	1. 65%	1. 55%	1. 62%	2. 39%	4. 90%	2. 54%	2. 54%
Texas	345, 441	0. 59%	0. 81%	1. 12%	2. 00%	1. 21%	1. 49%	1. 49%
Mountain:	010, 111	0. 00%	0.01/0	1. 12/0	2.00%	1. 21/0	1. 40%	1. 10/0
Col orado	193, 729	1. 81%	1. 32%	1. 45%	2. 13%	3. 87%	2. 40%	2. 40%
New Mexico	75, 255	2. 28%	2. 27%	2. 83%	2. 23%	6. 07%	4. 40%	4. 40%
Ari zona	203, 981	1. 47%	1. 47%	1. 31%	3. 63%	5. 00%	2. 38%	2. 38%
Utah	94, 871	2. 42%	3. 97% *	1. 60%	1. 54%	3. 46%	4. 05%	4. 05%
Paci fi c:	01, 071	≈. ±≈/0	0.0770	1. 00%	1.04/0	J. 40%	4. 00%	4. 00%
Washi ngton	178, 431	1. 47%	1. 54%	2. 12%	3. 38%	3. 09%	2. 95%	2. 95%
Oregon	93, 296	1. 05%	0. 74%	1. 97%	2. 88%	3. 53%	1. 33%	1. 33%
Cal i forni a	537, 022	0. 68%	0. 74%	1. 17%	1. 34%	1. 30%	1. 11%	1. 11%
States not shown separately	198, 035	0. 63%	1. 55%	2. 00%	3. 59%	4. 17%	1. 38%	1. 11%
States not shown separatery	100, 000	0. 00/0	1. 55/0	≈. 00/0	J. JJ/II	7. 17/0	1. 30/0	1. 56/0

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.