Table II.C. 3. c(2000) Percent of tot al premi uns for any-provi der plans contributed by employees enrolled in single cover age at private-sector establ i shments that offer heal th insurance by firmsize and State: Uni ted States, 2000 ( 40 St ates are shown separ at el y)

| Di vision and State | Tot al | Less than 10 empl oyees | $10-24$ $25-99$ $100-999$ <br> empl oyees empl oyees empl oyees | 1000 or more empl oyees | Less than 50 empl oyees | 50 or more empl oyees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uni ted States | 13. 6\% | 9. $5 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ 21.1\% 15.5\% | 13. 8\% | 11. 7\% | 14. 8\% |
| New Engl and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 17. 5\% * |  |  |  | 19. 9\% | 16. $7 \%$ * |
| New Hampshi re | 11. 1\% * |  |  |  | 8. $5 \%$ * | 12. 1\% |
| Connect i cut | 8. $4 \%$ * |  |  |  | 5. 5\% * | 14. 8\% * |
| M ddl e Atl antic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 16. 1\% |  | These cell estimates have been suppressed |  | 18. 1\% | 14. 2\% |
| New J ersey | 8. $9 \%$ * |  | because the size of their standard errors makes |  | 15. $4 \%$ * | 6. $9 \%$ * |
| Pennsyl vani a | 11. 0\% |  | them extremel y unreliable. Col um or row |  | 6. 3\% | 17. $6 \%$ |
| East North Central: themextremel y unreliable. Col um or row |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oni O | 14. $4 \%$ 15. $3 \%$ |  | estimates should be usedin pl ace of these estimates. |  | 12. $2 \%$ * 11. $3 \%$ * | $16.0 \% *$ $16.8 \% *$ |
| Illi noi s | 11. 4\% |  |  |  | 4. $2 \%$ * | 15. $4 \%$ * |
| M chi gan | 9. 3\% |  |  |  | 7. 1\% * | 12.0\% |
| W sconsin | 13. 8\% |  |  |  | 15. $0 \%$ * | 13. 5\% |
| West North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M nnesot a | 13. $0 \%$ |  |  |  | 7. $3 \%$ * | 17. 0\% |
| I owa | 24. 1\% |  |  |  | 29.0\% | 21. 8\% |
| M ssour i | 17. 7\% * |  |  |  | 17. 2\% * | 18.7\% |
| Nebr aska | 16. 3\% |  |  |  | 19. 8\% * | 12. 5\% * |
| Kansas | 14. 7\% |  |  |  | 10. 5\% * | 17. 8\% |
| North Dakota | 12. $7 \%$ |  |  |  | 7. 1\% * | 17. $3 \%$ * |
| South Dakota | 25. 8\% |  |  |  | 28. 8\% | 24. 5\% |
| South AtIantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryl and | 8. $8 \%$ * |  |  |  | 3. $5 \%$ * | 13. 3\% |
| Vir gi ni a | 18. $2 \%$ * |  |  |  | 13. $9 \%$ * | 20. $2 \%$ |
| West Virgi ni a | 32. 5\% |  |  |  | 42.0\% | 18. $5 \%$ * |
| North Carol ina | 14. 7\% * |  |  |  | 1. $2 \%$ * | 24. 0\% * |
| South Carol ina | 21. 5\% * |  |  |  | 23. $7 \%$ * | 19. $4 \%$ * |
| Geor gi a | 19.7\% |  |  |  | 9. $2 \%$ * | 22.5\% |
| Fl orida | 9. $4 \%$ |  |  |  | 14. 3\% * | 9. $0 \%$ |
| East South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kent ucky | 11. $5 \%$ |  |  |  | 10. $4 \%$ * | 12. 6\% |
| Tennessee | 17. 3\% |  |  |  | 33. 0\% * | 10. 6\% * |
| Al abama | 23. $0 \%$ |  |  |  | 27. 1\% | 17. 5\% * |
| M ssi ssi ppi | 10. 6\% * |  |  |  | 10. 3\% * | 10. 9\% |
| West South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ar kansas | 15. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 18. $2 \%$ * | 13. 8\% |
| Loui si ana | 13. 7\% * |  |  |  | 4. $6 \%$ * | 17. $4 \%$ * |
| OKl ahoma | 29. 1\% * |  |  |  | 8. $5 \%$ * | 47. 6\% |
| Texas | 14. 3\% * |  |  |  | 21. 1\% * | 11. 5\% * |
| Mbunt ai n : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Col or ado | 9. $0 \%$ * |  |  |  | 18. 8\% * | 7. 9\% * |
| New Mexi co | 21. 2\% |  |  |  | 15. 8\% * | 23. $4 \%$ |
| Arizona | 10. 2\% |  |  |  | ***** | 13. 8\% |
| Ut ah | 19. $9 \%$ |  |  |  | 5. $3 \%$ * | 21. 6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washi ngt on | 8. $2 \%$ |  |  |  | 5. $8 \%$ * | 10. $6 \%$ * |
| Oregon | 10. 2\% |  |  |  | 8. 5\% | 10. 7\% |
| Cal if or ni a | 12. 7\% * |  |  |  | 9. $6 \%$ * | 14. 4\% |
| States not shown separatel y | 14. 2\% |  |  |  | 9. $0 \%$ * | 16. 9\% |


Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Techni cal Appendix.
*Figure does not reet standard of reliability or precision
***** No estimate available. No reported val ues in cell.
 private-sector establ i shments that of fer heal th i nsurance by firmsize and State: United St at es, 2000 ( 40 States are shown separ at el y)

| Di vision and State | Tot al | Less than 10 empl oyees | $\begin{array}{r} 10-24 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25-99 \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 100-999 } \\ \text { empl oyees } \end{array}$ | 1000 or more empl oyees | Less than 50 empl oyees | 50 or more empl oyees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uni ted States | 0. $61 \%$ | 1. $12 \%$ | 1. $54 \%$ | 3. $30 \%$ | 2. $74 \%$ | 1. $04 \%$ | 1. $03 \%$ | 0. $84 \%$ |
| New Engl and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 8. $04 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $91 \%$ | 8. $39 \%$ * |
| New Hampshi re | 3. $61 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $67 \%$ * | 3. 06\% |
| Connect i cut | 3. $81 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $85 \%$ * | 10. $01 \%$ * |
| M ddl e Atl antic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 2. $75 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $83 \%$ | 2. $46 \%$ |
| New J ersey | 2. $76 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $02 \%$ * | 2. $49 \%$ * |
| Pennsyl vani a | 2. $78 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1. $70 \%$ | 4. $23 \%$ |
| East North Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohi o | 2. $80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $81 \%$ * | 6. $67 \%$ * |
| I ndi ana | 5. $35 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $62 \%$ * | 5. $09 \%$ * |
| Illi noi s | 2. 33\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $20 \%$ * | 7. $41 \%$ * |
| M chi gan | 2. $70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 7. 15\% * | 3. $23 \%$ |
| W sconsin | 2. $73 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $03 \%$ * | 2. $45 \%$ |
| West North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M nnesota | 2. $66 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $70 \%$ * | 4. $85 \%$ |
| I owa | 5. $46 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 7. $95 \%$ | 5. $93 \%$ |
| M ssouri | 8. $94 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 10. $26 \%$ * | 4. $65 \%$ |
| Nebr aska | 4. $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $64 \%$ * | 4. $41 \%$ * |
| Kansas | 3. $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $61 \%$ * | 2. $88 \%$ |
| North Dakota | 3. 16\% |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $05 \%$ * | 5. $74 \%$ * |
| South Dakota | 5. $12 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $92 \%$ | 5. 60\% |
| South AtI antic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryl and | 9. $89 \%^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 10. $28 \%$ * | 3. $49 \%$ |
| Vi rgi ni a | 5. $68 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 7. $41 \%$ * | 3. $60 \%$ |
| West Virgi nia | 6. $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 10. 53\% | 6. $94 \%$ * |
| North Carol ina | 5. $47 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $21 \%$ * | 7. $85 \%$ * |
| South Carol ina | 9. $16 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 10. 33\% * | 9. $12 \%$ * |
| Geor gi a | 4. $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. 58\% * | 4. $51 \%$ |
| Fl orida | 2. $28 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $50 \%$ * | 2. $41 \%$ |
| East South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kent ucky | 2. $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4. $29 \%$ * | 2. $81 \%$ |
| Tennessee | 4. $44 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 10. $44 \%$ * | 3. $74 \%$ * |
| Al abama | 4. 11\% |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $27 \%$ | 5. $57 \%$ * |
| M ssi ssi ppi | 3. $78 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $69 \%$ * | 3. $07 \%$ |
| West South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 2. $55 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $55 \%$ * | 2. $82 \%$ |
| Loui si ana | 8. $45 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $73 \%$ * | 8. $62 \%$ * |
| Okl ahoma | 8. $91 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $65 \%$ * | 10. 86\% |
| Texas | 6. $89 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 9. $80 \%$ * | 4. $26 \%$ * |
| Mbunt ai n: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Col or ado | 3. $13 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 6. $01 \%$ * | 4. $17 \%$ * |
| New Mexi co | 5. 51\% |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $85 \%$ * | 7.02\% |
| Arizona | 2. 81\% |  |  |  |  |  | ***** | 3. 02\% |
| Ut ah | 4. $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $89 \%$ * | 5. $45 \%$ |
| Pacific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washi ngt on | 2. $39 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $77 \%$ * | 4. $61 \%$ * |
| Oregon | 1. $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2. $41 \%$ | 2. $42 \%$ |
| Cal i f or ni a | 4. $76 \%$ * |  |  |  |  |  | 5. $92 \%$ * | 2. $62 \%$ |
| States not shown separately | 1. $72 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. $00 \%$ * | 3. $23 \%$ |

 Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Techni cal Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision
***** No esti mate available. No reported val ues in cell.

