Table V.A.2.b. (1) (2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for single coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

				Retail,			
Division and State	Total	Agri, fish., forestry and construction	Mining and manufacturing	other services and unknown	Professi onal servi ces	All others	
United States	15. 6%	19. 0%	16. 5%	13. 4%	19. 5%	14. 2%	
New England:							
Massachusetts	25. 8%	36. 1% *	31. 8%	20. 3%	31. 7%	21.1% *	
New Hampshire	25. 2%	42. 3%	22. 0% *	26. 4%	27. 0%	11. 3% *	
Connecticut	19. 0%	24 . 5% *	20. 5% *	17. 7%	23.0%	14.0% *	
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	25. 5%	26. 4%	21. 8% *	29. 7%	27. 2%	14.8% *	
New Jersey	14. 3%	5. 2% *	13. 1% *	16. 7%	21. 9%	3. 7% *	
Pennsyl vani a	14. 5%	14.4% *	30. 8%	10. 1%	16.6%	16. 1% *	
East North Central:							
Ohi o	13. 2%	17. 1% *	8. 4% *	10. 2%	20. 1% *	12. 8% *	
I ndi ana	10. 2%	21.2% *	8. 1% *	7. 2%	9. 7% *	11. 3% *	
Illinois	8. 6%	7. 3% *	13. 7% *	7. 9% *	3. 9% *	13. 1%	
Mi chi gan	11. 4%	15. 1%	24. 2%	5. 6%	15. 6%	11. 3% *	
Wi sconsi n	9. 1%	20. 5%	4. 7% *	6. 3% *	8. 9% *	7. 4% *	
West North Central:	0. 1.0	20.0%	2	3. 3.0	3. 5.0		
Mi nnesota	10. 3%	8.4% *	6. 2% *	11. 1% *	8. 2%	14.4% *	
I owa	8. 1%	11. 1% *	3. 5% *	7. 3%	7. 6% *	10. 2% *	
Mi ssouri	10. 3%	16. 1% *	4. 9% *	9. 6%	14. 3% *	7. 0% *	
Nebraska	3. 7% *	3. 6% *	5. 0% *	4. 5% *	5. 7% *	****	
Kansas	9. 4%	8. 8% *	2. 4% *	11. 3% *	12. 1% *	6. 2% *	
North Dakota	7. 6%	13. 2% *	****	7. 3% *	7. 6% *	5. 0% *	
South Dakota	6. 0%	10. 7% *	****	4. 7% *	4. 1% *	8. 4% *	
South Atlantic:	0. 0/0	10. 7/0		4. 770	4. 1/0	O. 470	
Maryl and	15. 4%	14.1% *	****	15. 2%	8. 7% *	24.8% *	
Vi rgi ni a	11. 9%	21. 7% *	8. 2% *	7. 7%	23. 1% *	5. 9% *	
West Virginia	8. 6%	21. 7/0 *****	6. 2% *	11. 2% *	7. 4% *	6. 8% *	
North Carolina	9. 7%	10. 2% *	9. 7% *	10. 2%	10. 5% *	7. 2% *	
South Carolina	2. 7% *	9. 7% *	5. 3% *	10. 2%	4. 3% *	1. 3% *	
Georgi a	8. 6%	****	17. 2% *	5. 9% *	7. 4% *	12. 9%	
Flori da	16. 8%	15. 9% *	18. 9% *	13. 4%	22. 8%	12. 9% 16. 5% *	
	10. 8%	13. 9%	18. 9%	13. 4%	22. 670	10. 3%	
East South Central:	7. 8%	3.6% *	9. 2% *	8. 4%	12. 3% *	3. 3% *	
Kentucky		14. 7% *	6. 9% *	5. 5% *	7. 9% *		
Tennessee	11. 3%	14. /% * ****	6. 9% * 3. 6% *			25. 3%	
Al abama	10. 9%	****		17. 5% *	4. 3% *	9. 7% *	
Mississippi	5. 7% *	* * * * *	6. 6 % *	6. 2% *	2. 7% *	7. 5% *	
West South Central:	0 70/	11 00/ *	0 70/ +	0.00/	7 ON 4	4 00/ 4	
Arkansas	6. 7%	11. 0% *	3. 7% *	6. 9%	7. 2% *	4. 8% *	
Loui si ana	8. 1% *	3. 3% *	4. 6% *	10. 7% *	12. 3% *	2. 6% *	
0kl ahoma	6. 1%	13. 8% *	6. 2% *	3. 5% *	7. 7% *	7. 1% *	
Texas	8. 3%	1.4% *	13. 8% *	6. 2%	11. 2%	9. 6%	
Mountai n:	00.00	40.0%	22 22	10.1%	00.40	4.4 600/ 11	
Colorado	23. 8%	46. 0%	30. 9%	18. 1%	30. 4%	14. 7% *	
New Mexico	19. 3%	23. 5% *	27. 6% *	14. 5%	25. 5%	19. 9% *	
Ari zona	16. 7%	23. 0% *	20. 8% *	18. 5%	15. 6% *	11. 4% *	
Utah	11. 9%	16. 8% *	28. 3% *	4. 5% *	13. 7%	17. 0% *	
Pacific:							
Washington	15. 4%	26. 3%	1. 2% *	11.8%	16. 7%	15. 1%	
0regon	24. 7%	16. 6% *	27. 6%	30. 2%	35. 1%	9. 1% *	
Cal i forni a	30. 2%	44. 8%	31. 4%	21.6%	36. 4%	32. 4%	
States not shown separately	17. 2%	21. 2%	7. 5% *	16. 6%	23. 9%	11. 7%	

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table V. A. 2. b. (1) (2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for single coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Retail,

separatery)		A	30	retaii,	D C . 1	
District Louis	m . 1	Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	411 .1
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	0. 48%	2. 07%	1. 35%	0. 58%	1. 07%	0.89%
New England:						
Massachusetts	2. 73%	11.46% *	8. 72%	3. 40%	4. 59%	7. 06% *
New Hampshi re	3. 02%	9. 56%	7. 53% *	4. 28%	4. 60%	6. 05% *
Connecticut	1. 97%	12. 03% *	6. 65% *	3. 31%	6. 79%	6. 23% *
Mi ddl e Atlanti c:	2.0.70	12, 00%	0. 00%	3. 31,0	0	0.20%
New York	2. 21%	6. 87%	8. 49% *	3. 65%	3. 50%	4. 74% *
New Jersey	2. 43%	2. 46% *	5. 74% *	3. 35%	4. 59%	2. 25% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 39%	5. 81% *	6. 07%	1. 61%	4. 13%	5. 56% *
East North Central:	1.00%	0.01%	0.07%	1.01%	1. 10.0	0.00%
Ohi o	2. 72%	11. 23% *	4. 26% *	2. 75%	7. 06% *	5. 69% *
I ndi ana	2. 50%	8. 48% *	3. 71% *	1. 98%	5. 10% *	4. 84% *
Illinois	1. 37%	3. 29% *	5. 31% *	2. 63% *	1. 71% *	2. 42%
Mi chi gan	1. 35%	4. 38%	4. 72%	1. 53%	4. 53%	7. 13% *
Wi sconsi n	1. 75%	3. 19%	2. 08% *	2. 20% *	3. 29% *	4. 54% *
West North Central:	1. 73/0	3. 13%	2.00%	2. 20%	3. 29%	4. 54/0
Mi nnesota	2. 82%	4. 20% *	4. 07% *	3. 96% *	2. 39%	4. 95% *
I owa	1. 41%	7. 23% *	3. 41% *	2. 00%	3. 00% *	6. 67% *
Mi ssouri	1. 07%	5. 72% *	2. 51% *	2. 31%	5. 15% *	3. 07% *
Nebraska	1. 51% *	4. 37% *	6. 22% *	2. 31% 2. 29% *	3. 14% *	****
Kansas	1. 77%	4. 26% *	1. 33% *	4. 75% *	5. 04% *	3. 05% *
North Dakota	1. 77%	9. 71% *	1. 33% · ****	2. 31% *	2. 99% *	2. 76% *
South Dakota	1. 63%	5. 22% *	****	1. 75% *	4. 38% *	4. 17% *
South Atlantic:	1. 03%	3. 22%		1. 73%	4. 36%	4. 17%
Maryl and	3. 10%	6. 08% *	****	4. 38%	4. 79% *	9. 38% *
	3. 10% 2. 72%	9. 58% *	4. 76% *	4. 38% 2. 02%	4. 79% * 6. 99% *	9. 38% * 3. 42% *
Vi rgi ni a		****				
West Virginia	1. 92% 2. 16%		4. 39% *	3. 62% *	2. 43% *	3. 12% * 3. 04% *
North Carolina		5. 44% *	4. 43% *	2. 70%	4. 76% *	
South Carolina	1. 06% *	6. 19% * ****	4.84% *	1. 14% *	2. 23% * 10. 32% *	1. 45% *
Georgi a	2. 46%		9. 65% *	2. 26% *		3. 44%
Florida	2. 41%	7. 01% *	5. 95% *	2. 79%	6. 53%	5. 51% *
East South Central:	1 000/	F 00% *	7 010/ 4	2 22%	4 00%	0.010/ *
Kentucky	1. 66%	5. 96% *	5. 01% *	2. 09%	4. 98% *	2. 61% *
Tennessee	1. 97%	6.36% * *****	2. 79% *	2. 51% *	3. 37% *	6. 67%
Al abama	2. 95%	****	2. 64% *	5. 94% *	2. 48% *	3. 77% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 23% *	ጥጥጥጥ	6. 26% *	3. 26% *	2. 34% *	10. 20% *
West South Central:	0.00%	7 00W *	9 90% *	1 100/	0 710/ *	0.05% *
Arkansas	0. 83%	5. 32% *	2. 80% *	1. 18%	3. 51% *	2. 25% *
Loui si ana	3. 02% *	1. 60% *	8. 50% *	3. 69% *	5. 31% *	2. 05% *
0kl ahoma	1. 61%	10. 64% *	5. 96% *	1. 99% *	3. 88% *	3. 64% *
Texas	0. 94%	1. 79% *	5. 70 % *	1. 39%	3. 27%	2. 35%
Mountai n:						
Colorado	1. 90%	10. 22%	8. 46%	4. 40%	7. 74%	4. 67% *
New Mexico	2. 61%	11. 80% *	13. 16% *	3. 66%	4. 15%	8. 72% *
Arizona	3. 53%	9. 08% *	11. 53% *	5. 07%	8. 62% *	4. 65% *
Utah	1. 69%	9. 21% *	11. 12% *	3. 33% *	3. 78%	7. 32% *
Pacific:						
Washington	1. 59%	5. 71%	1. 40% *	3. 09%	4. 46%	4. 19%
Oregon	2. 91%	5. 22% *	7. 64%	4. 77%	6. 66%	3. 47% *
Cal i forni a	1. 71%	6. 23%	6. 12%	1. 54%	3. 51%	2. 96%
States not shown separately	1. 41%	4. 35%	3. 64% *	2. 66%	4. 09%	2.81%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.