Table V.A.2.c.(1)(2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	8. 7%	13. 3%	9. 5%	7. 9%	10. 2%	6. 4%
New England:						
Massachusetts	21. 9%	21. 6% *	16. 7% *	16. 4%	29. 6%	23. 3%
New Hampshire	15.0%	14. 5% *	13. 4% *	17. 5%	14.0% *	10. 5% *
Connecticut	12. 9%	14. 1% *	16. 9% *	12. 5%	12. 1% *	12. 1% *
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	15. 5%	21.4% *	9. 0% *	19. 9%	14. 5%	6. 8% *
New Jersey	9. 3%	5. 2% *	19. 1% *	11.0%	10. 3% *	3. 7% *
Pennsyl vani a	8. 9%	16. 9% *	12. 7% *	7. 2%	8. 9% *	7. 2% *
East North Central:	0. 070	10.0%	12. 770		3. 3/4	7. 270
Ohi o	9. 5%	15. 3% *	16. 1% *	6. 7%	16. 1% *	4.1% *
I ndi ana	7. 0% *	16. 3% *	3. 4% *	6. 3% *	9. 7% *	1. 4% *
Illinois	5. 0 %	3. 7% *	6. 5% *	5. 4% *	0. 9% *	8. 2%
Mi chi gan	7. 6%	16. 4% *	14. 3% *	4. 7% *	9. 0% *	2. 1% *
Wi sconsi n	8. 4%	19. 4%	3. 8% *	5. 1% *	11.0% *	5. 5% *
West North Central:	0. 4/0	19. 4%	3. 6/0	3. 1/0	11.0%	J. J/0
Minnesota	6. 7%	10. 9% *	6. 2% *	4. 3% *	5.0% *	11.0% *
I owa	5. 7%	5. 6% *	****	5. 0% *	6. 6% *	8. 5% *
Mi ssouri	3. 7% 4. 5%	9.0% *	1.8% *	5. 7%	3.5% *	1. 1% *
Nebraska	1. 8% *	****	0. 1% *	2. 9% *	1. 7% *	1. 6% *
Nebraska Kansas	4. 9% *	6. 5% *	6. 5% *	2. 9% * 6. 2% *	1. 7% * 2. 1% *	2. 5% *
	3. 9%	9.0% *	****	3. 5% *		
North Dakota			****		3. 7% *	1.5% *
South Dakota	3.8% *	10. 7% *		1. 7% *	1. 9% *	6.0% *
South Atlantic: Maryland	6. 9%	10. 5% *	****	9. 1% *	3. 9% *	4. 9% *
				3. 3% *		
Virginia	5. 6% 5. 0%	12. 7% * ****	8. 2% * ****	3. 3% * 6. 1% *	8. 8% * 0. 9% *	4. 2% *
West Virginia	5. 0% 4. 1% *			2. 7% *	10. 4% *	9. 7% *
North Carolina	4. 1% * 1. 4% *	5. 6% * 9. 5% *	5. 0% * 5. 3% *	2. /% ~ ****	3. 1% *	1.1% * ****
South Carolina		9. 3% ··· ****			3. 1% * ****	
Georgi a	3. 1%		15. 4% *	1. 4% *		6.0% *
Florida	8. 7%	8.4% *	15.0% *	7. 4%	7. 4% *	10. 8% *
East South Central:	2 00/ *	O 00/ *	0 90/ *	4 40/ *	4 770/ *	F C0/ *
Kentucky	3. 9% *	0.8% *	0. 2% *	4. 4% *	4. 7% *	5. 6% *
Tennessee	5. 4%	16. 8% *	1. 2% * ****	4. 7% *	1. 3% *	8.6% *
Al abama	3. 2% *	1. 6% *		3. 8% *	2. 1% *	4. 5% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2.8% *	13. 5% *	6. 6 % *	0. 8% *	2.6% *	2. 7% *
West South Central:	0.00/	4 00/ *	F 00/ *	0 40/ *	4 00/ *	F 770/ 4
Arkansas	3. 9%	4. 9% * ****	5. 8% *	2. 4% *	4. 8% *	5. 7 % *
Loui si ana	2. 7% *		8. 3% *	2. 8% *	6. 0% *	
0kl ahoma	5. 0% *	0. 4% *	5. 2% *	4. 0% *	7. 7% *	5. 0% *
Texas	2. 5% *	1. 1% *	6. 5% *	2. 1% *	2.8% *	2.0% *
Mountai n:	10.49/	0.0 00/ 1/	0.40/	4.0 00/ 15	4.4.40/ 11:	4.4 00/ 15
Colorado	13. 4%	20. 6% *	6. 1% *	13. 0% *	14.4% *	11. 8% *
New Mexico	8. 9%	8. 1% *	**** ****	7. 1% *	14. 0%	9. 1% *
Ari zona	4. 9% *	2. 6% *		5. 4% *	6. 3% *	4. 2% *
Utah	7. 3%	6. 9% *	10. 5% *	2. 7% *	11.4%	11. 3% *
Pacific:						
Washington	8. 7%	14. 5% *	1. 2% *	7. 9% *	8. 9% *	7. 1% *
0regon	14. 3%	8. 4% *	16. 7% *	17. 2%	23. 5%	3. 4% *
California	14. 0%	26. 1%	13. 9%	12. 2%	17. 0%	9. 3%
States not shown separately	9. 9%	20. 0%	6. 3% *	9. 4%	11.6%	4. 8% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.
***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table V. A. 2. c. (1) (2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Retail,

sepai atery)		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States New England:	0. 24%	1. 28%	1. 04%	0. 44%	0. 94%	0. 84%
Massachusetts	3. 36%	12. 08% *	5. 21% *	2. 95%	8. 04%	6. 49%
New Hampshi re	2. 05%	6. 87% *	4. 62% *	3. 36%	4. 58% *	5. 80% *
Connecti cut	1. 35%	6. 98% *	7. 54% *	2. 99%	5. 97% *	4.87% *
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	1. 50%	6. 56% *	4. 77% *	2. 56%	2. 05%	2. 43% *
New Jersey	1. 02%	2. 46% *	8. 22% *	2. 70%	4. 31% *	2. 27% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 46%	7. 03% *	4.51% *	0. 85%	3. 69% *	2. 86% *
East North Central:						
0hi o	2. 31%	11.06% *	5. 06% *	1. 87%	7. 43% *	2. 07% *
I ndi ana	2. 49% *	7. 86% *	1.41% *	2. 04% *	5. 10% *	1. 25% *
Illinois	1. 08%	2.89% *	2. 99% *	1. 85% *	1. 00% *	2. 09%
Mi chi gan	1. 24%	6. 19% *	5. 35% *	1. 51% *	3. 23% *	1. 52% *
Wisconsin	1. 59%	4. 15%	2. 07% *	1. 98% *	3. 87% *	4. 28% *
West North Central:						
Mi nnesota	1. 48%	7. 57% *	4. 07% *	1. 58% *	3. 04% *	4. 72% *
Iowa	0. 97%	4. 88% *	****	2. 75% *	3. 09% *	5. 71% *
Mi ssouri	0. 96%	4.31 % * *****	2. 19% *	1. 67%	2. 82% *	1. 20% *
Nebraska	0. 62% *		0. 08% *	1. 49% *	1. 27% *	1. 09% *
Kansas	1. 90% *	3. 63% *	5. 56% * ****	4. 46% *	2. 66% *	1. 71% *
North Dakota	1. 02%	10. 08% *	****	1. 44% *	2. 36% *	1. 36% *
South Dakota	1.67% *	5. 27% *	***	0. 94% *	4. 22% *	4. 31% *
South Atlantic:	1 000/	5. 86% *	****	9 010/ *	2.77% *	3. 15% *
Maryl and Vi rgi ni a	1. 83% 1. 63%	5. 25% *	4. 76% *	3. 01% * 1. 40% *	2. 77% * 3. 07% *	3. 15% * 3. 40% *
West Virginia	0. 81%	****	****	1. 88% *	1. 12% *	5. 21% *
North Carolina	1.61% *	5. 26% *	3. 18% *	1. 25% *	4. 78% *	1. 36% *
South Carolina	0. 77% *	6. 21% *	4. 84% *	****	2. 17% *	****
Georgi a	0. 77%	****	9. 20% *	0. 95% *	****	3. 11% *
Flori da	2. 01%	5. 68% *	6. 47% *	1. 76%	3. 53% *	3. 97% *
East South Central:	2.01/0	J. 00/0	0. 41/0	1. 70%	3. 33%	0. 0170
Kentucky	1. 72% *	0.74% *	0. 13% *	1. 52% *	8. 97% *	5. 11% *
Tennessee	1. 17%	6. 31% *	1. 19% *	1. 45% *	1. 38% *	4. 93% *
Al abama	1. 05% *	1. 87% *	****	2. 00% *	2. 28% *	2. 99% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	0. 98% *	4. 98% *	6. 26% *	0. 71% *	2. 35% *	3. 32% *
West South Central:						
Arkansas	0. 63%	3. 24% *	4.89% *	1. 04% *	2. 45% *	2. 74% *
Loui si ana	1.77% *	****	3. 43% *	1. 83% *	3. 51% *	****
0kl ahoma	1. 56% *	0. 28% *	5. 68% *	3. 00% *	3. 88% *	3. 03% *
Texas	0.80% *	1.80% *	4. 98% *	1. 37% *	1.41% *	1.00% *
Mountain:						
Colorado	0. 99%	8. 55% *	2. 27% *	4. 16% *	7. 28% *	4. 62% *
New Mexico	1. 56%	7. 61% *	****	2. 13% *	4. 07%	7. 39% *
Ari zona	1. 72% *	4. 58% *	****	2. 26% *	5. 50% *	3. 11% *
Utah	1. 44%	3. 55% *	4. 26% *	1. 47% *	3. 33%	5. 41% *
Pacific:						_
Washington	1.77%	5. 36% *	1.40% *	3. 17% *	4. 23% *	3. 69% *
0regon	2. 57%	5. 78% *	6. 10% *	4. 86%	4. 68%	2. 35% *
Cal i forni a	0. 85%	6. 25%	3. 50%	1. 60%	2. 04%	2. 61%
States not shown separately	1. 19%	4. 74%	3. 32% *	1. 88%	3. 31%	2. 18% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.