Table V.A.2. c. (2) (2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer a mixed-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

contribution from the employee	for family cover	rage by industry groupings and	lu state: United states	Retail,	re shown separatery	()
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturing	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	16. 2%	25.7%	16.3%	12. 2%	19.8%	15.9%
New Engl and:	10. 2/0		10.0/0	12. 2/0	101 0/0	10. 0/0
Massachusetts	10.1%	13.6% *	5.6% *	7.2% *	15.6% *	8.2% *
New Hampshire	12.7%	20.4% *	2.2% *	11.9%	16.0%	10.6% *
Connecti cut	18.4%	22.4% *	7.1% *	15.8% *	16.9%	26. 2%
Middle Atlantic:	10. 1/0	22. 1/0		10.0%	10.0%	20.20
New York	18.3%	33. 3%	7.4% *	13.3%	19.9%	22.7%
New Jersev	24.6%	39.1%	25.9% *	23. 2%	20.4%	27.6% *
Pennsyl vani a	13.9%	19.4% *	13.4%	10.5%	18.8%	13.5%
East North Central:	10.0%	10. 1/0	10. 1/0	10. 0%	10.0%	10. 0%
Ohi o	18.7%	34. 5%	25.4%	13.0%	27.4%	13.9%
Indi ana	17.0%	35. 9% *	23. 8% *	7.5% *	20.0% *	13. 3%
Illinois	20. 7%	54.6%	19.9% *	14.2%	25.0%	17.3%
Mi chi gan	22.8%	41.8%	19.7% *	14. 2%	18.3% *	29.5%
Wi sconsi n	17.3%	41. 8% 24. 1%	20.8%	14. 1%	18. 2%	15. 7%
	17. 3%	24.1%	20. 8%	14.1%	18. 2%	13. 7%
West North Central:	12.0%	18.4% *	8.4% *	8.9%	7.8% *	20.6%
Minnesota						
Iowa	17.1%	31. 2%	5.9% *	16.9%	18.1% *	12.9% *
Missouri	20.8%	39.6%	21.8%	15.0%	26.0%	17.9%
Nebraska	19.4%	28.5%	20. 2% *	17.9%	14.6% *	18.8%
Kansas	18.2%	32.9%	8.5% *	15.4%	9.9% *	21.8%
North Dakota	14.0%	6. 3% *	11.2% *	18.5%	21.1% *	8.9% *
South Dakota	12.8%	24.2% *	14.7% *	8.9% *	16.5% *	10.8% *
South Atlantic:						
Maryl and	16.2%	20. 5%	* * * * *	12.1%	31.7%	8.5% *
Vi rgi ni a	14.0%	12.2% *	24.8% *	11.4%	20.9% *	10.3% *
West Virginia	15.0%	10. 3% *	38. 3% *	11.9%	22.7% *	11.4% *
North Carolina	16.9%	29. 9% *	20.9% *	13.8% *	24.7%	8.8% *
South Carolina	18.7%	19.1% *	7.0% *	18.2%	31.9%	13.6% *
Georgi a	14.9%	39.5% *	10.5% *	13.2% *	19.0%	10.1% *
Fl ori da	16.4%	24.6% *	30.5% *	12.7%	17.8%	16.2%
East South Central:						
Kentucky	12.2%	19.4% *	16.8% *	13. 2%	6.7% *	9.0% *
Tennessee	8.7%	5.2% *	15.5% *	5.1% *	11.0% *	12.0% *
Alabama	12.4%	19.9% *	16.6% *	6.5% *	22.9%	10. 7% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	11.4%	16.1% *	13.8% *	13.9%	12.2% *	6.9% *
West South Central:						
Arkansas	15.2%	14.2% *	24.1%	12.5%	19.6%	14.9% *
Loui si ana	19. 2%	25.6% *	22.2% *	13. 2%	19.5% *	26.8% *
Okl ahoma	20. 3%	11.7% *	25. 3% *	16.4%	21.6%	25.7% *
Texas	15.0%	11.0% *	9.2% *	10. 3%	28.4%	15.0%
Mountai n:	10. 0%	11.0%	0. 2/0	10.0%	20. 1/0	10. 0/0
Col orado	12.6%	20. 7% *	10.8% *	7.3% *	18.6%	12.5% *
New Mexi co	8. 2%	20. 3%	****	11.2%	7.4% *	1.6% *
Ari zona	8. 2% 10. 7%	20. 3% 23. 5% *	10.0% *	10. 9% *	7.9% *	8.8 % *
Utah	14.6%	23. 3%	22. 1% *	8. 3% *	18.8% *	15.0% *
	14.0%	28.1%	22. 1% ···	8. 3%	18.8%	15.0%
Pacific:	10 0%			► 40/ ±	01 70/	14 00/ 4
Washington	16.3%	22.9%	28.2% *	9.4% *	21.7%	14.0% *
Oregon	14.3%	23.6%	17.9% *	11.5%	18.7% *	10.5% *
California	13.9%	15.3% *	15.5%	7.7%	18.9%	17.9%
States not shown separately	14.8%	26. 1%	12.7% *	12.6%	16.3%	12.0%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix. *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details. ***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell. Table V. A. 2. c. (2) (2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer a mixed-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

		rumit, coverage b, rhauber, g	and search	Retail,	(10 beaces are sh	Shown Separately,
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	0. 36%	1.32%	1.39%	0.57%	0.61%	0. 75%
New England:						
Massachusetts	1.99%	6.62% *	8.86% *	2.39% *	6.36% *	3.39% *
New Hampshire	1.53%	6.81% *	3.64% *	2. 32%	4.47%	7.07% *
Connecti cut	1.89%	8.75% *	3.20% *	5.20% *	4.32%	6.29%
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	1.98%	9.81%	6.80% *	2.73%	3.59%	4.41%
New Jersey	2.64%	11.39%	10.09% *	3. 28%	5.47%	9.95% *
Pennsyl vani a	1.97%	6.93% *	3.84%	2.73%	2.58%	3. 52%
East North Central:						
0hi o	2.57%	9. 32%	7.09%	1.88%	6.04%	2.59%
I ndi ana	2.73%	13.58% *	8.27% *	2.69% *	6.44% *	6.31% *
Illinois	1.77%	9.04%	6.21% *	2. 58%	3. 34%	2. 22%
Mi chi gan	2.20%	11.08%	7.63% *	4. 16%	6. 02% *	6. 50%
Wi sconsi n	2.59%	4.98%	4. 32%	3. 21%	2.66%	3. 72%
West North Central:			1.02.0	0.21/	21.00%	
Minnesota	2.37%	8.49% *	4.60% *	2.26%	5.07% *	5.33%
Iowa	3. 12%	6. 42%	7.19% *	4. 80%	7.50% *	4.39% *
Missouri	2.69%	11.00%	6. 35%	3. 78%	5. 71%	3. 89%
Nebraska	2.62%	6. 69%	9.91% *	4. 83%	5.59% *	4.87%
Kansas	2. 40%	7. 92%	3.86% *	2. 68%	3.44% *	4.57%
North Dakota	1.96%	6. 15% *	6. 43% *	4. 33%	6.90% *	3.60% *
South Dakota	2. 25%	8. 41% *	5. 20% *	3. 10% *	7.34% *	4.39% *
South Atlantic:	<i>ω. ω</i> σ /0	0. 41/0	5. 20%	5. 10%	7. 54%	4. 55%
Maryl and	2.58%	5.81%	* * * * *	2.59%	8.07%	6.78% *
Vi rgi ni a	1. 92%	10.44% *	11.15% *	2. 54%	6. 31% *	4.16% *
West Virginia	1. 79%	4.01% *	13. 51% *	3. 15%	6. 82% *	4.16% *
North Carolina	2. 34%	9.40% *	9. 31% *	4. 50% *	4. 95%	4. 20% *
South Carolina	2.00%	9.94% *	4.04% *	4. 30%	8 . 45%	4. 20% 5. 52% *
Georgi a	2. 14%	13. 10% *	6.39% *	4.64% *	5.00%	6. 52% *
Florida	1. 45%	7.71% *	12.66% *	2. 97%	3. 64%	4. 38%
East South Central:	1.45/0	7.71/0	12.00%	2. 37/0	5. 04%	4. 30/0
Kentucky	1.35%	7.18% *	8.02% *	2.71%	2.22% *	4.42% *
Tennessee	2. 09%	3.67% *	6. 39% *	2. 71% 4. 31% *	3. 55% *	4. 42% 10. 39% *
Al abama	2. 87%	9.74% *	8. 64% *	4. 31% *	5. 79%	3. 78% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 87% 1. 74%	5. 74% * 7. 14% *	6. 04% 4. 92% *	3. 84%	5.79% 6.39% *	3. 82% *
West South Central:	1.74%	7.14%	4. 92%	5. 84%	0.39%	3. 62%
	2.20%	5.87% *	6.41%	2.54%	3. 54%	5.13% *
Arkansas Loui si ana	2.20% 3.87%	5.87% * 10.75% *	6.41% 8.50% *		3. 54% 7. 10% *	5. 13% * 8. 43% *
		10.75% * 6.62% *	8.50% ↑ 7.95% *	3. 74%		8.43% ↑ 9.06% *
0kl ahoma Taura a	2. 20%			4. 78%	6. 13% c. 17%	
Texas	2.42%	7.09% *	4.22% *	2.41%	6.17%	4. 37%
Mountai n:	0 1 0 %			0 510 *	0 10%	
Colorado	2.10%	10.02% *	5.61% * ****	2.51% *	3. 49%	4.94% *
New Mexico	1.90%	5.83%		2.26%	4.00% *	2.74% *
Arizona	1.87%	8. 72% *	4.56% *	4.66% *	4.68% *	5.64% *
Utah	1.78%	11.13% *	10.03% *	3. 43% *	5.79% *	5.93% *
Pacific:	0.070					
Washington	2.65%	6. 75%	8.51% *	4.00% *	6. 40%	8.94% *
Oregon	2.17%	6.88%	6. 72% *	1.41%	5.63% *	5.44% *
California	1.06%	7.55% *	3. 33%	1.41%	1.85%	3. 30%
States not shown separately	0.87%	5.46%	4.80% *	1.66%	3.74%	2.78%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

 $\ensuremath{^*\text{Figure}}$ does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details. ***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.