Table V. A. 2. c. (3) (2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	5. 4%	8. 9%	4. 1%	4. 5%	6. 4%	5. 1%
New England:						
Massachusetts	3. 9% *	14.1% *	3. 0% *	****	4. 7% *	6. 3% *
New Hampshire	4.0% *	14. 5% *	5. 0% *	1.5% *	2.6% *	6. 9% *
Connecti cut	3. 4%	0. 5% *	3. 4% *	3. 8% *	4.4% *	3. 5% *
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	4. 7%	2. 1% *	5. 3% *	4.4% *	7. 7% *	2. 2% *
New Jersev	3. 5%	7. 7% *	****	3. 4% *	3. 6% *	3. 4% *
Pennsyl vani a	13. 0%	16. 9% *	3. 0% *	11. 0% *	18. 7%	13. 2% *
East North Central:	10.00	10.0%	3. 3.4	11.0%	10	10. 20
Ohi o	7. 0%	6. 7% *	5. 9% *	5. 9%	6. 1%	10. 7% *
I ndi ana	4. 6%	3. 1% *	0. 5% *	5. 6%	4. 9% *	5. 2% *
Illinois	6. 3%	8. 9% *	4. 6% *	5. 2% *	4. 5% *	9. 6%
Mi chi gan	14. 8%	21. 0%	20. 5% *	11.6%	20. 5% *	6. 5% *
Wi sconsi n	5. 8%	9. 7%	2. 2% *	7. 2%	5. 3% *	0. 7% *
West North Central:	J. 0/0	3. 1/0	2. 2/0	7. 2/0	3. 3/4	0. 770
Minnesota	9. 2%	18. 1%	****	13. 6%	2.4% *	5. 2% *
I owa	6. 0%	5. 7% *	0.3% *	5. 3% *	4. 8% *	10. 9% *
Mi ssouri	8. 0%	33. 7% *	5. 0% *	3. 6% *	6. 3% *	5. 2% *
Nebraska	4. 6%	21. 7% *	0. 2% *	1. 7% *	****	2. 1% *
Kansas	8. 5%	11.6% *	4. 1% *	8. 1%	5. 3% *	10. 9% *
North Dakota	17. 3%	51. 3%	15. 7% *	13. 4%	9. 2% *	4. 2% *
South Dakota	17. 3% 12. 9%	25. 4% *	6. 5% *	13. 4% 12. 3%	12. 4% *	8. 2% *
South Atlantic:	12. 9%	23. 4%	0. 5%	12. 3%	12.4%	O. 270
Maryl and	4. 2%	12.0% *	9. 3% *	1. 5% *	4.8% *	4.1% *
Wai yi and Vi rgi ni a	5. 7% *	****	4. 8% *	5. 9% *	3. 3% *	10. 7% *
Virginia West Virginia	6. 5%	8.0% *	4. 8% * 2. 3% *	2. 5% *	3. 3% * 12. 4% *	10. 7% * 11. 7% *
.,	3. 9%	3. 9% *	2. 3% * 5. 2% *	2. 5% *	6.0% *	5. 4% *
North Carolina South Carolina	3. 4% *	3. 9% ··· ****	1. 5% *	2. 5% * 1. 9% *	7. 6% *	3. 4% * 4. 6% *
	3. 4% *	****	5. 9% *	0.6% *	9.6% *	2. 7% *
Georgi a Fl ori da	3. 9% ** 3. 1%	4.6% *	3. 9% ··· ****	1. 9% *	2. 9% *	2. 7% * 5. 6% *
	3. 1%	4.0%		1. 9%	2.9%	3. 0%
East South Central:	6. 7%	12.7% *	A C0/ *	4 90/	3. 8% *	11. 9% *
Kentucky			4. 6% *	4. 8%		
Tennessee	4. 7%	11. 3% * ****	5. 1% *	4. 2% *	6. 3% *	2. 1% * 7. 7% *
Al abama	4. 7%	****	0. 9 % * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	3. 5% *	6. 1% * ****	
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2.0% *	***	****	3. 6% *	***	2. 1% *
West South Central:	0 50	0.70/ *	0 10/ *	0.00/ *	7 00/ #	0.00/ *
Arkansas	3. 5%	3. 7 % * ****	0. 1% * ****	3. 6% *	5. 6% *	2. 2% *
Loui si ana	3. 5% *			3. 9% *	3. 0% *	4. 1% *
0kl ahoma	4. 2% *	9. 1% *	3. 0 % * ****	3. 1% * 1. 4% *	7. 4% *	2. 4% *
Texas	2. 3% *	5. 0% *	****	1. 4% *	3. 0% *	3. 5% *
Mountai n:	4 00/ it	****	****	0.00/	4 00/ 1/	4 00/ 15
Colorado	1. 6% *		****	2. 0% *	1. 8% *	1. 9% *
New Mexico	4. 3%	0.8% * ****		7. 2% *	1. 7% *	3. 2% * ****
Ari zona	6. 3% *		8. 5% *	1. 9% *	21. 9% *	
Utah	4. 3%	9. 2% *	12. 5% *	1. 3% *	7. 5% *	3. 1% *
Pacific:		40				
Washi ngton	6. 0%	10. 0% *	6. 7% *	7. 3% *	4. 4% *	2. 9% *
0regon	4. 3%	5. 3% *	6. 1% *	4. 4% *	1. 3% *	5. 8% *
California	2. 5% *	5. 0% *	3. 0% *	2. 4% *	3. 4% *	0. 7% *
States not shown separately	6. 7%	9. 3% *	5. 0% *	5. 2%	7. 9%	7.4% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.
***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table V.A.2.c.(3)(2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Retail,

		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	0. 16%	1.06%	0. 50%	0. 35%	0. 47%	0. 52%
New England:	0. 10/0	1. 00%	0.00%	0. 00%	3. 17.4	0.02%
Massachusetts	1.34% *	11. 25% *	2. 87% *	****	2. 31% *	3. 70% *
New Hampshire	1. 42% *	5. 59% *	3. 17% *	0. 93% *	2. 18% *	3. 42% *
•						
Connecticut	0. 93%	0. 52% *	2. 12% *	1.44% *	1. 83% *	1. 55% *
Middle Atlantic:	4 000	Q QQQ/ str	0 000 t	4 00% #	0 00% di	4 0 40/ 11:
New York	1. 30%	3. 22% *	6. 30% *	1. 98% *	2. 93% *	1. 34% *
New Jersey	0. 82%	10. 03% *	****	1. 87% *	1. 72% *	3. 10% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 78%	9. 58% *	1. 28% *	3. 36% *	5. 20%	5. 10% *
East North Central:						
0hi o	1. 76%	3. 64% *	2. 55% *	1. 70%	1. 74%	5. 04% *
I ndi ana	1. 01%	2. 83% *	0. 29% *	1. 57%	3. 22% *	3. 13% *
Illinois	1. 10%	4. 22% *	2. 55% *	1. 69% *	1. 72% *	2. 55%
Mi chi gan	1. 19%	5. 91%	6. 81% *	2. 42%	6. 25% *	5. 22% *
Wi sconsi n	1. 15%	2.37%	2. 09% *	1. 90%	2. 80% *	0. 39% *
West North Central:						
Minnesota	1. 43%	5. 36%	****	3. 67%	2. 90% *	2. 52% *
I owa	1. 58%	2.94% *	0. 55% *	2. 46% *	3. 47% *	3. 95% *
Mi ssouri	2. 03%	11. 62% *	3. 09% *	2. 38% *	2. 74% *	3. 50% *
Nebraska	1. 34%	8. 51% *	0. 15% *	1. 23% *	****	1. 56% *
	1. 59%	3. 69% *	2. 97% *	2. 10%	2. 57% *	3. 72% *
Kansas						
North Dakota	2. 81%	9. 98%	10. 18% *	2. 60%	6. 44% *	2. 56% *
South Dakota	2. 58%	8. 70% *	5. 51% *	2. 49%	5. 65 % *	7. 15% *
South Atlantic:						
Maryl and	0. 68%	5. 11% *	4. 08% *	0. 82% *	2. 88% *	2.84% *
Vi rgi ni a	1. 73% *	****	3. 54% *	2. 87% *	1. 75% *	5. 55% *
West Virginia	1. 43%	6. 46% *	2.37% *	1. 43% *	4. 17% *	5. 06% *
North Carolina	0. 85%	2. 97% *	3. 09% *	1. 28% *	3. 54% *	4. 33% *
South Carolina	1.14% *	****	0.87% *	1. 35% *	5. 34% *	3. 26% *
Georgi a	1. 37% *	****	8. 15% *	0. 74% *	3. 91% *	1.85% *
Fl ori da	0. 68%	2. 72% *	****	1. 27% *	1.61% *	2. 23% *
East South Central:						
Kentucky	0. 98%	10. 39% *	5. 21% *	1. 33%	2. 45% *	4. 25% *
Tennessee	1. 29%	5. 23% *	2. 85% *	1. 84% *	4. 44% *	1. 62% *
Al abama	0. 91%	****	1. 16% *	1. 68% *	2. 64% *	2. 92% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	1. 23% *	****	****	1. 93% *	****	2. 23% *
West South Central:	1. 23/0			1. 93%		2. 23/0
	0.75%	4 OE0/ *	0 190/ *	1 970/ *	2 00% *	1 100/ *
Arkansas	0. 75%	4. 25% * ****	0. 13% * ****	1. 37% *	3. 00% *	1. 18% *
Loui și ana	1. 25% *			1. 70% *	4. 24% *	2. 62% *
0kl ahoma	1. 72% *	5. 25% *	2. 98% *	2. 51% *	3. 50% *	2. 60% *
Texas	0.82% *	8. 04% *	****	0. 73% *	2. 91% *	2. 15% *
Mountain:						
Col orado	0. 53% *	****	****	1. 14% *	2. 23% *	2. 53% *
New Mexico	1. 08%	0. 59% *	****	2. 24% *	0. 95% *	2. 61% *
Ari zona	2.61% *	****	4. 50% *	1. 43% *	7. 45% *	****
Utah	0. 99%	4. 83% *	8. 33% *	1. 30% *	6. 73% *	1. 79% *
Pacific:						
Washi ngton	1. 45%	4. 96% *	3. 23% *	3. 90% *	2. 24% *	1.85% *
Oregon	1. 03%	5. 45% *	5. 54% *	1. 62% *	2. 05% *	2. 37% *
Cal i forni a	0. 79% *	2. 78% *	1. 70% *	0. 90% *	2. 33% *	0. 58% *
States not shown separately	0. 88%	2. 91% *	3. 36% *	1. 23%	2. 10%	4. 09% *
seaces not shown separatery	0. 00/0	£. J1/0	J. 30/0	1. 25/0	ω. 1U/0	·1. UU/U

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.