Table V.A.2. f(2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that required a waiting period before new employees were eligible for health insurance by industry groupings^{**} and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

erigible for hearth filsurance	by induscity grou	Retail,					
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal		
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturing	and unknown	servi ces	All others	
United States	73.6%	69. 5%	81.9%	76. 2%	67.8%	74.0%	
New England:							
Massachusetts	54.8%	60. 5%	69.4%	62.2%	40.4%	53.5%	
New Hampshire	75.6%	74.3%	80.6%	77.4%	67.1%	82.3%	
Connecti cut	76.5%	77.4%	78.3%	76. 9%	81.2%	70.4%	
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	69.7%	60. 9%	95.1%	71.8%	64.2%	70.1%	
New Jersey	69.7%	54.2%	75.5%	70. 7%	72.5%	67.5%	
Pennsyl vani a	67.0%	63.9%	73.2%	71.7%	51.7%	72.9%	
East North Central:							
0hi o	76.2%	80. 3%	86.5%	80. 8%	66.7%	71.6%	
I ndi ana	78.7%	67.4%	85.7%	79. 5%	75.5%	83. 7%	
Illinois	69.4%	51.0%	79.0%	74.8%	58.9%	73. 5%	
Mi chi gan	71.9%	78.2%	75.3%	66. 5%	67.9%	88.1%	
Wi sconsi n	73. 2%	55.4%	89.4%	76. 1%	76.6%	71.7%	
West North Central:							
Minnesota	76.9%	62.5%	97. 5%	73. 5%	84.7%	76.0%	
Iowa	72.2%	52.0%	94.4%	75.5%	75.4%	64.9%	
Missouri	76. 3%	69. 3%	81.9%	82. 9%	69.8%	70.8%	
Nebraska	70. 4%	53.8%	60. 7%	79.4%	66. 7%	73.6%	
Kansas	69.9%	68. 7%	89. 7%	70. 2%	83.0%	52.7%	
North Dakota	53.0%	31. 5%	65.8%	59.0%	57.2%	55. 2%	
South Dakota	66. 5%	41.5%	93.0%	65. 7%	78.6%	69. 9%	
South Atlantic:	0010/0					001 0/0	
Maryl and	72.0%	69.0%	98. 5%	70.8%	63.8%	80.1%	
Vi rgi ni a	74. 5%	79. 3%	75. 7%	79. 2%	59.9%	77.6%	
West Virginia	72.3%	70. 9%	89.1%	71.6%	72.6%	70. 3%	
North Carolina	78.2%	76.1%	84.0%	79.3%	82.8%	70.4%	
South Carolina	73. 5%	77. 3%	90. 4%	74.5%	67. 8%	70.4%	
Georgi a	76.9%	96.6%	89.2%	80.1%	63.0%	80. 4%	
Florida	70. 3%	76. 7%	93. 3%	74. 2%	74.3%	71.9%	
East South Central:			00.0%	11. 2/0	1.0%	11.0%	
Kentucky	73.3%	76. 5%	59.4%	73. 1%	72.9%	77.5%	
Tennessee	80.1%	75. 9%	76. 9%	82.4%	84.9%	73.4%	
Al abama	69.8%	70.4%	81.3%	74.9%	64. 9%	61.9%	
Mi ssi ssi ppi	66. 4%	75.1%	84.5%	65.5%	76.6%	57.8%	
West South Central:	00. 4/0	75.1%	04. 5%	05. 5%	70.0%	57. 0/0	
Arkansas	76.1%	73. 2%	85.2%	77.1%	68.6%	79.3%	
Loui si ana	70.1%	77.7%	66. 0%	77.1%	69. 9%	64. 0 %	
Okl ahoma	76.4%	66. 5%	84. 7%	76.8%	73.6%	77.3%	
Texas	80.0%	79.9%	77.5%	84.4%	74.9%	77.4%	
Mountai n:	00. 0/0	75.5%	11.5%	01. 1/0	74.5%	77. 4/0	
Col orado	73.4%	80.1%	66. 7%	84. 3%	60.4%	66.8%	
New Mexi co	80.9%	78.6%	69. 2%	82.9%	71.8%	87.4%	
Ari zona	79. 0%	78.0%	74. 5%	76. 7%	81.7%	81. 7%	
Utah	80.3%	86. 7%	88.4%	85.1%	75. 2%	71. 1%	
Pacific:	00. 3%	00. /%	00. 470	65.1%	13. 270	/ 1. 170	
	75 90/	78. 2%	82.5%	80.0%	G1 0 %	70 94/	
Washi ngton	75.2% 79.9%	78. 2% 87. 3%	82. 5% 93. 4%	80. 6% 80. 7%	61. 9% 77. 2%	79. 2% 73. 7%	
Oregon Colifornio							
California States not shown separately	77.4% 73.0%	71.4% 63.4%	84.0% 78.4%	81. 7% 71. 2%	68. 2% 70. 2%	81. 3% 83. 4%	
States not snown separately	73.0%	03.4%	70.4%	11.2%	10.2%	0 3. 4%	

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

Table V.A.2. f(2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that required a waiting period before new employees were eligible for health insurance by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

employees were eligible for hea	if the this urance b	y muustry groupings and star	te: United States, 20	Retail,		
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturing	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	0. 59%	1. 33%	1.82%	0. 77%	1.34%	1.06%
New England:	0. 59%	1. 55%	1.82/0	0.77/8	1. 34%	1.00%
24	2.63%	11.96%	7.90%	5.59%	6.49%	6. 36%
Massachusetts	2. 63% 2. 61%	10.44%	7.90% 7.25%	3. 58%	0. 49% 3. 82%	
New Hampshi re Connecti cut	2. 61% 2. 12%	10.44%	7.23% 6.95%	3. 58% 2. 52%	3. 82% 7. 71%	6.02% 5.49%
Middle Atlantic:	2.12%	11.03%	0. 95%	2. 32%	7.71%	5.49%
New York	1.70%	4.52%	1.62%	3. 39%	2.99%	3. 97%
New Jersey	3. 39%	13. 46%	9.63%	4. 20%	2. 99% 4. 94%	3. 97% 8. 19%
Pennsyl vani a	3. 39% 2. 36%	10. 50%	9. 03% 8. 85%	4. 20% 3. 57%	4. 94% 3. 73%	8. 73%
East North Central:	2. 30/0	10. 50%	8.85%	3. 57/0	3.73%	0. 7 3 /0
Ohio	2.41%	10.25%	4.01%	4.37%	6.73%	7. 98%
I ndi ana	2. 41%	8. 50%	4. 01%	4. 37% 5. 26%	0.73% 7.41%	7. 58% 5. 17%
Illinois	2. 14% 2. 59%	8. 50% 11. 86%	4. 29% 5. 51%	4.88 %	7.41% 5.90%	4. 32%
	4. 12%	6.84%	5. 51 % 7. 92%	4. 33%	6.84 %	4. 32 <i>%</i> 6. 39%
Mi chi gan Wi acongi n	4. 12% 2. 04%	6.64 %	7.92% 4.07%	4. 33% 4. 29%	0.84% 3.74%	6. 39% 7. 64%
Wisconsin West North Control	2.04/0	0.04%	4.07/8	4. 25%	3. 74%	7.04/0
West North Central:	2.41%	10.65%	0.98%	4.10%	4.97%	6.46%
Minnesota	2. 68%			4. 10% 3. 84%		
Iowa Missouri	2. 68% 3. 26%	8.51% 10.18%	3. 87% 5. 35%	3. 84% 3. 45%	6.53% 6.99%	9.96% 3.76%
Nebraska	4. 12% 3. 49%	9. 19% 9. 86%	12.15% 6.08%	5. 11% 5. 53%	11.69% 7.13%	7.14%
Kansas Narth Delata						4. 74%
North Dakota	4.07%	9.29%	12.61%	4.78%	6.77%	4.95%
South Dakota	3. 43%	8.83%	5.96%	4.08%	5.63%	6. 42%
South Atlantic:	9 010/	9.01%	10 40%	F 33%	5 07%	9 400/
Maryl and	2.81%	8.01%	10.40%	5. 33%	5.97%	8.40%
Virginia Wasta Visatisia	2.12%	13. 52%	8.09%	1.92%	6.14%	8.46%
West Virginia	4.17%	13.17%	7.31%	6. 53%	7.71%	5.88%
North Carolina	2.08%	10.98%	7.89%	3. 66%	6. 20%	5. 42%
South Carolina	3.77%	15. 22%	5.92%	5. 25%	9.23%	8.87%
Georgi a	3. 62%	17.78%	9. 23%	5.43%	8.50%	8. 73%
Florida	2.36%	7.12%	8. 39%	2.59%	4.82%	6.87%
East South Central:	0 7 40/	11 500	9.01%	9.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Kentucky	3.74%	11.56%	8.01%	2.96%	6. 38%	6. 08%
Tennessee	2.38%	9. 27%	6.91%	5. 56%	4.51%	7.59%
Alabama	3. 21%	7.56%	5. 39%	4.13%	4.99%	6. 27%
Mississippi	4.24%	14. 31%	4.90%	7. 20%	8.42%	8. 76%
West South Central:	1 50%	0.00%	5 0.0%	0.50%	0. 70%	0 510
Arkansas	1.56%	8.06%	5. 20%	3. 53%	3.76%	3. 51%
Loui si ana	2.77%	11.95%	11. 92%	4.69%	7.30%	8. 22%
0kl ahoma	3. 21%	13. 57%	8.80%	6. 36%	5.97%	5.94%
Texas	2.53%	8.58%	5.61%	3. 05%	3.63%	3.60%
Mountai n:	0.00%	7 0.0%	10 170	0	0.07%	~
Colorado	2.03%	7.03%	10. 47%	2.73%	6. 37%	5. 41%
New Mexico	2. 55%	7.54%	13.11%	4. 31%	8.72%	6. 20%
Arizona	4.15%	7.57%	13. 23%	6.46%	6.90%	6.86%
Utah	3. 33%	10. 58%	8.66%	4.01%	7.81%	6.95%
Pacific:						
Washington	3. 99%	5.76%	8. 32%	4. 40%	8.13%	6.01%
Oregon	3.06%	8.78%	3. 36%	4.81%	6. 79%	6.51%
California	1.49%	6. 23%	4.35%	2.79%	3.99%	4.61%
States not shown separately	2.09%	7.75%	8.97%	3. 90%	4.26%	3.44%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.