Table V. A. 2. c. (2) (2001) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer a mixed-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

1 0	3			Retail,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mi ni ng and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	15. 1%	23.8%	15. 5%	11. 7%	18. 5%	13. 8%
New Engl and:						
Mai ne	5. 6 %	2. 6% *	16. 5% *	5. 3% *	5. 6% *	4. 3% *
Rhode Island	13. 7%	30.6% *	24. 5% *	9. 8% *	11.5% *	10. 1% *
Vermont	11. 7%	14. 2% *	10. 9% *	11. 9%	12. 6% *	7. 9% *
Massachusetts	4. 1%	1. 1% *	****	1. 7% *	8. 7% *	5. 3% *
Connecti cut	14. 2%	15. 0% *	17. 0% *	11.1% *	16. 5%	16. 0% *
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	14. 9%	14.6% *	2. 5% *	15. 0%	17. 8%	13. 8%
New Jersey	17. 0%	29. 8% *	19. 9% *	11. 7%	17. 3%	22. 1%
Pennsyl vani a	19. 1%	32. 5% *	27. 5%	15. 3%	20. 8%	13.8% *
East North Central:						
Ohi o	16. 9%	22. 3%	19. 4%	11. 5%	23. 1%	16. 9%
I ndi ana	18. 5%	33. 1% *	21. 2%	18.0%	21.7%	8. 1% *
Illinois	18. 2%	32. 4% *	19. 5%	11. 8%	22. 2%	16. 8%
Mi chi gan	20. 7%	44. 0%	25. 9% *	14. 7%	17. 1% *	25. 2% *
Wi sconsi n	20. 1%	35. 6%	14. 3%	15. 4%	25. 0%	18. 8%
West North Central:	20. 170	33. 3/1	14. 5/0	10. 1/0	20.0%	10. 0/0
Mi nnesota	19. 7%	44.4%	7. 6% *	17. 7%	16. 4% *	10.0% *
I owa	20. 3%	28. 0% *	14. 8% *	19. 1% *	29. 1% *	10. 2% *
Mi ssouri	13. 8%	23. 8% *	0. 5% *	12. 1%	20. 3%	11. 1% *
South Atlantic:	13. 6/0	23. 6/0	0. 3%	12.1/0	20. 3%	11. 1/0
Del aware	14. 2%	20. 8% *	14.8% *	10.6% *	25. 8%	8.0% *
			14. 8% *		25. 8% 16. 7% *	24. 0% *
Maryl and	12. 6% 16. 3%	15. 3% * 38. 6% *	14. 9% ** *****	5. 9% * 13. 0%	18. 7%	
District of Columbia						19. 5%
Vi rgi ni a	9. 4%	8. 7% *	6. 2% *	6. 9%	15. 4%	8. 5% *
North Carolina	9. 0%	5. 8% *	8. 9% *	8. 9%	15. 3%	5. 4% *
South Carolina	13. 0%	6. 5% *	10. 9% *	12. 4%	18. 1% *	12. 6% *
Georgi a	11. 0%	26. 8%	22. 1% *	6. 7% *	10. 5% *	10. 8% *
Fl ori da	14. 5%	21. 9% *	11.4% *	8. 4%	16. 9%	21. 7%
East South Central:						
Kentucky	13. 5%	13. 5% *	5. 1% *	13. 6%	15. 7%	13. 0% *
Tennessee	14. 2%	21. 1% *	21. 4%	12. 9% *	16.0%	11.4% *
Al abama	14. 7%	18. 3% *	14. 2% *	10. 5% *	27. 1% *	9. 4% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	11. 7%	21. 1% *	16. 0% *	10. 7% *	13. 5% *	6. 2% *
West South Central:						
Arkansas	11. 9%	38.0% *	6. 9% *	12. 4% *	13. 0%	7. 3% *
Loui si ana	12. 2%	42.5% *	37. 4% *	5. 9% *	13. 7% *	8. 8% *
0kl ahoma	21. 7%	23. 1% *	27. 8% *	12. 0%	33. 9%	22.8% *
Texas	13. 3%	30. 1% *	14. 4%	12. 1%	19. 0%	6. 5%
Mountai n:						
I daho	12. 4%	8. 7% *	22. 2% *	9. 6% *	18. 8%	12. 1% *
Col orado	15. 3%	14. 4% *	24. 7% *	5. 5% *	28. 0%	15. 9% *
Ari zona	12.5%	22. 9% *	18. 2% *	9. 2% *	18. 8% *	4. 3% *
Utah	17.6%	28. 3% *	10. 2% *	15. 3%	22.5%	15. 1% *
Nevada	15.0%	19.6% *	38. 1% *	8.9% *	22.8%	11. 9% *
Paci fi c:						
Washi ngton	21. 5%	25.0% *	31.6%	23. 3%	21. 9%	14. 0%
Oregon	11. 7%	20. 5% *	19. 6% *	5. 5% *	13. 8% *	15. 4% *
Cal i forni a	15. 7%	29. 0%	12. 7%	12. 3%	15. 8%	16. 5% *
Al aska	14. 9%	38. 5% *	16. 5% *	18. 1%	9. 6% *	4. 7% *
Hawai i	18. 5%	26. 7%	6. 0% *	15. 0% *	25. 9%	14. 9%
States not shown separately	14. 5%	13. 8%	6. 7% *	12. 3%	26. 4%	10. 4%
scaces not shown separatery	14. 5%	13. 0%	U. 170	12.3%	LU. 470	1U. 470

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

^{*****} No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table V. A. 2. c. (2) (2001) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer a mixed-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

•	1 0		• 0	Retail,		•
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mi ni ng and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturing	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	0. 45%	1. 29%	1. 14%	0. 68%	1. 09%	0. 98%
New England:	0. 43/0	1. 25/0	1. 14/0	0. 00%	1. 03%	0. 36%
Mai ne	1. 56%	2. 50% *	10. 83% *	2. 82% *	2. 37% *	3. 79% *
Rhode Island	3. 11%	10. 92% *	10. 00% *	5. 38% *	3. 59% *	4. 31% *
	3. 11% 1. 70%	8. 13% *	6. 98% *	2. 66%	5. 05% *	
Vermont			****			3. 10% *
Massachusetts	0. 83%	0. 62% *		1. 26% *	3. 25% *	4. 20% *
Connecticut	1. 81%	7. 22% *	5. 63 % *	4. 17% *	3. 24%	9. 21% *
Middle Atlantic:	4 440/	~ 000/ 15	4 000	0.000	0.00%	0.000
New York	1. 41%	5. 60% *	1. 62% *	2. 69%	3. 26%	2. 93%
New Jersey	2. 03%	10. 90% *	7. 04% *	3. 40%	4. 67%	5. 88%
Pennsyl vani a	2. 05%	11. 43% *	5. 48%	2. 91%	4. 06%	4. 28% *
East North Central:						
0hi o	1. 28%	6. 63%	4. 36%	1. 53%	4. 96%	3. 56%
I ndi ana	2. 76%	9. 99% *	5. 68%	3. 68%	5. 77%	3. 10% *
Illinois	2. 61%	10. 80% *	5. 71%	2. 51%	6. 33%	4. 59%
Mi chi gan	2. 17%	6. 18%	8. 80% *	3. 41%	5. 43% *	8. 52% *
Wi sconsi n	1. 79%	5. 11%	4. 24%	2. 01%	5. 96%	4. 02%
West North Central:						
Mi nnesota	2. 24%	8. 08%	3. 24% *	2. 93%	5. 61% *	5. 82% *
Iowa	5. 14%	10. 90% *	8. 64% *	6. 30% *	9. 73% *	4. 88% *
Mi ssouri	2. 10%	7. 27% *	0. 55% *	3. 67% *	5. 75%	3. 98% *
South Atlantic:	2. 10%		3. 33.73	3. 3.70	0	0.00%
Del aware	2. 49%	6. 88% *	6. 50% *	3. 65% *	5. 87%	2. 92% *
Maryl and	3. 06%	6. 98% *	8. 38% *	2. 79% *	5. 19% *	9. 37% *
District of Columbia	1. 43%	13. 25% *	****	2. 71%	2. 38%	5. 67%
Vi rgi ni a	1. 14%	3. 87% *	7. 44% *	1. 69%	3. 17%	3. 83% *
North Carolina	1. 58%	5. 33% *	4. 81% *	2. 55%	4. 50%	3. 09% *
	2. 41%		7. 49% *		4. 50% 5. 53% *	4. 73% *
South Carolina		4. 37% *		3. 00%		
Georgi a	2. 37%	7. 80%	8. 57% *	3. 32% *	5. 01% *	4. 09% *
Florida	1. 84%	8. 77% *	6. 07% *	2. 01%	2. 92%	6. 29%
East South Central:	4 77.40/	4 4F0/ 1	4 050/ 1/	0.070/	4 550/	5 010/ v
Kentucky	1. 74%	4. 45% *	4. 85% *	2. 97%	4. 55%	5. 31% *
Tennessee	3. 40%	10. 72% *	6. 18%	4. 28% *	4. 32%	4. 73% *
Al abama	3. 13%	10. 73% *	10. 46% *	3. 23% *	8. 42% *	4. 00% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 62%	8. 49 % *	9. 25% *	3. 54% *	4. 97% *	4. 25% *
West South Central:						
Arkansas	2. 46%	11. 98% *	6. 27% *	4. 43% *	3. 40%	3. 22% *
Loui si ana	1. 86%	13. 09% *	12. 05% *	2. 72% *	4. 27% *	2. 89% *
0kl ahoma	2. 59%	13. 39% *	10. 63% *	2. 67%	5. 93%	7. 82% *
Texas	1. 38%	10. 62% *	3. 55%	2. 48%	3. 19%	1. 86%
Mountai n:						
I daho	1. 96%	4. 02% *	9. 09% *	3. 97% *	5. 15%	6. 20% *
Col orado	3. 16%	5. 38% *	10. 37% *	3. 81% *	5. 52%	5. 52% *
Ari zona	3. 56%	7. 77% *	9. 00% *	3. 74% *	8. 49% *	3. 29% *
Utah	3. 11%	12. 77% *	5. 12% *	3. 87%	6. 36%	4. 72% *
Nevada	2. 02%	10. 54% *	12. 19% *	4. 17% *	3. 53%	9. 07% *
Paci fi c:	2. 02/0	10.01/0	12. 10%	1. 1.70	3. 33 / ₀	0.077
Washi ngton	2. 01%	10. 78% *	7. 52%	2. 26%	6. 42%	4. 14%
Oregon	2. 36%	7. 11% *	6. 67% *	3. 56% *	6. 87% *	5. 15% *
Cal i forni a	2. 01%	2. 35%	3. 04%	2. 13%	1. 94%	5. 23% *
Al aska	2. 01% 2. 83%	2. 35% 12. 83% *		2. 13% 5. 27%	1. 94% 5. 91% *	3. 54% *
			6. 07% *			
Hawai i	3. 06%	7. 12%	5. 44% *	5. 18% *	4. 36%	4. 44%
States not shown separately	1. 85%	2. 46%	3. 88% *	2. 08%	5. 39%	2. 96%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

****** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.