Table V.E.4.a(2001) Percent of private-sector employees enrolled in a health insurance plan that take employee-plus-one coverage that required no employee contribution by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

United States New England: Mai ne Rhode Island Vermont Massachusetts Connecticut	12. 7% 2. 9% * 14. 2% 10. 5% 5. 2% *	27. 6% 10. 0% * 64. 8%	11. 9%	10. 1%	14.3%	10 00/
Maine Rhode Island Vermont Massachusetts	14. 2% 10. 5%		4 40		14.5/0	10. 9%
Maine Rhode Island Vermont Massachusetts	14. 2% 10. 5%		4 400 0			
Rhode Island Vermont Massachusetts	14. 2% 10. 5%		1. 1% *	5. 4% *	3. 3% *	0. 2% *
Vermont Massachusetts	10. 5%		26. 3% *	7. 4% *	10. 8%	21.6% *
Massachusetts		44. 7%	2. 6% *	5. 4% *	14. 7% *	6. 4% *
		****	4. 0% *	5. 0% *	4. 9% *	7. 1% *
Connect1 cut					4. 9%	
Middle Atlantic:	7. 5%	12. 7% *	2. 0% *	6. 1% *	19. 2% *	8.0% *
New York	17. 8%	47. 5% *	17.0% *	21.6% *	33. 1%	8. 2% *
New Jersey	13. 9%	44. 3% *	3. 6% *	7. 5%	21. 4% *	13. 2% *
Pennsyl vani a	19. 3%	36. 7% *	7. 3% *	13. 1% *	22. 9% *	30. 0%
	19. 3%	30. 7%	7. 3%	13. 1%	22. 9%	30.0%
East North Central:	10.00/	22 20/ 1/	1.0 00% vis	10 40/ 1/	10.00/ #	0. 00/ 1/
Ohi o	13. 3%	38. 3% *	16. 9% *	12. 4% *	18. 6% *	6. 8% *
I ndi ana	8. 3% *	59. 3%	4. 8% *	12. 5% *	9. 8% *	4. 4% *
Illinois	7. 0%	40. 7%	5. 1% *	7. 7% *	4. 2%	6. 4% *
Mi chi gan	24. 2%	65. 6%	35. 1%	13. 0% *	26. 2% *	12. 4% *
Wi sconsi n	16. 7% *	26 . 7% *	12. 2% *	9. 9% *	39. 9%	6. 1% *
West North Central:						
Mi nnesota	6. 3%	10. 6% *	10. 9% *	7. 2% *	4. 3% *	1. 6% *
I owa	16.6%	65. 7%	23. 2% *	5. 6% *	17. 8% *	5. 5% *
Mi ssouri	8. 4%	29.0% *	9. 5% *	5. 9% *	14.0% *	0.6% *
South Atlantic:	O. 1/0	20.0%	3. 3.0	3. 3.4	11.0%	3. 3.0
Del aware	9. 0% *	13.0% *	1.6% *	9. 1% *	17.5% *	5. 3% *
Maryl and	21. 5%	21. 2% *	26. 8% *	11. 3% *	22. 7% *	30. 5% *
District of Columbia	10. 8%	18. 1% *	****	12. 1% *	15. 3% *	4. 1% *
			4. 8% *	1. 6% *		
Vi rgi ni a	5. 2%	4. 9% *			4. 5% *	12. 0% *
North Carolina	5. 7% *	27. 9% *	2. 0% *	5. 1% *	8. 1% *	0. 7% *
South Carolina	3. 5% *	9. 5% *	1. 0% *	6. 1% *	6. 7% *	1. 1% *
Georgi a	6. 0 % *	26. 9% *	9. 4% *	8. 6% *	2. 6% *	0. 6% *
Fl ori da	6. 6%	8. 0% *	8. 2% *	3. 8% *	4. 3% *	9. 8% *
East South Central:						
Kentucky	10.6%	18. 4% *	12. 2% *	4. 7% *	13. 8% *	9. 1% *
Tennessee	6.0% *	24. 7% *	3. 6% *	15. 6% *	7. 5% *	2. 4% *
Al abama	6. 7% *	15. 3% *	39. 1% *	1. 6% *	1. 8% *	0. 8% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	3. 2% *	3. 2% *	0. 2% *	2. 8% *	8. 4% *	5. 9% *
West South Central:						
Arkansas	6.0% *	15. 4% *	5. 0% *	9. 3% *	19. 2%	0.4% *
Loui si ana	6. 3%	14. 4% *	16. 3% *	4. 3% *	3. 6% *	7. 0% *
Okl ahoma	15. 5%	34. 4% *	18. 5% *	2. 2% *	33. 6%	4. 4% *
Texas	11. 7%	18. 1% *	6. 1% *	11. 0% *	26. 1%	1. 7% *
Mountai n:	11. 7/0	16. 1/0	0. 1/0	11.0%	20. 1/0	1. 7/0
	10. 2% *	****	15 00/ *	3.4% *	31.0% *	11. 5% *
Idaho			15. 3% *			
Col orado	15. 4%	35. 1% *	12.6% *	15. 9%	9. 6% *	17. 4% *
Ari zona	6. 8% *	4. 4% *	9. 6% *	8. 4% *	7. 4% *	2. 0% *
Utah	5. 3%	26 . 1% *	5. 4 % *	4.4% *	3. 1% *	4. 5% *
Nevada	10. 1%	19. 2% *	9. 2% *	4. 3% *	10. 4% *	23. 2% *
Paci fi c:						
Washi ngton	15. 2%	21. 3% *	21. 8% *	12.0% *	10. 9% *	16. 0% *
0regon 0	20.6%	64. 6%	25. 4% *	9. 7% *	20. 4% *	9. 6% *
Cal i forni a	19. 9%	33. 6%	23. 9%	13. 6%	13. 4% *	25.0% *
Alaska	14. 4%	15. 1% *	16. 9% *	19. 0% *	10. 4% *	10. 7% *
Hawai i	37. 8%	58. 0%	45. 0% *	36. 4% *	29. 3%	39. 7%
States not shown separately	7. 7%	15. 0% *	2. 8% *	11. 5% *	10. 3%	6. 5% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

****** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table V.E.4.a(2001) Standard error for percent of private-sector employees enrolled in a health insurance plan that take employee-plus-one coverage that required no employee contribution by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

1 1 3	3 3 1 3		,	Retail,	3,	
		Agri, fish., forestry	Mining and	other services	Professi onal	
Division and State	Total	and construction	manufacturi ng	and unknown	servi ces	All others
United States	0. 55%	3. 35%	0. 94%	0. 87%	1. 07%	1. 83%
New England:						
Mai ne	0. 90% *	5. 13% *	1. 23% *	5. 06% *	1. 72% *	0. 18% *
Rhode Island	3. 37%	17. 73%	10. 99% *	8. 91% *	2. 77%	9. 64% *
Vermont	2. 02%	11. 74%	5. 13% *	5. 26% *	6. 65% *	8. 37% *
Massachusetts	2. 89% *	****	7. 10% *	7. 96% *	2. 21% *	6. 87% *
Connecti cut	1. 61%	7. 36% *	2. 84% *	3. 40% *	7. 56% *	3. 79% *
Mi ddle Atlantic:	1. 01/0	7. 30%	≈. 04/0	3. 40%	7. 30%	3. 73%
New York	3. 13%	16. 35% *	9. 68% *	6. 57% *	6. 86%	3. 43% *
New Jersey	3. 15% 3. 85%	15. 63% *	6. 24% *	2. 13%	7. 39% *	5. 64% *
	3. 85% 4. 02%			2. 13% 4. 36% *		
Pennsyl vani a	4. 02%	15. 54% *	2. 86% *	4. 30%	7. 34% *	7. 70%
East North Central:	0. 70%	11 00%	0.00% *	7 010/ 1	0. 700%	0. 470/ 1/
Ohi o	2. 70%	11. 68% *	6. 68% *	7. 21% *	9. 73% *	2. 47% *
Indi ana	2. 99% *	14. 74%	3. 42% *	8. 45% *	4. 47% *	6. 77% *
Illinois	1. 59%	12. 17%	3. 07% *	3. 49% *	1. 22%	6. 88% *
Mi chi gan	3. 87%	17. 38%	8. 61%	4. 45% *	8. 87% *	8. 94% *
Wi sconsi n	5. 04% *	15. 68% *	5. 44% *	8. 15% *	9. 73%	10. 33% *
West North Central:						
Mi nnesota	1. 80%	3. 33% *	6. 00 % *	5. 05% *	1. 84% *	2. 19% *
Iowa	3. 31%	17. 89%	8. 06% *	2. 48% *	10. 61% *	8. 90% *
Mi ssouri	2. 12%	9. 75% *	4. 48% *	3. 56% *	8. 78% *	0. 74% *
South Atlantic:						
Del aware	2. 89% *	12. 37% *	0. 63% *	10. 08% *	6. 51% *	4. 54% *
Maryl and	5. 05%	10. 18% *	9. 73% *	5. 09% *	8. 40% *	12. 47% *
District of Columbia	2. 92%	6. 77% *	****	6. 22% *	5. 81% *	6. 65% *
Vi rgi ni a	1. 20%	10. 27% *	8. 70% *	0. 79% *	2. 03% *	7. 99% *
North Carolina	2. 11% *	10. 94% *	2. 12% *	2. 12% *	3. 85% *	4. 01% *
South Carolina	1. 35% *	5. 21% *	0. 61% *	3. 33% *	3. 74% *	2. 68% *
Georgi a	1. 84% *	9. 69% *	6. 94% *	6. 02% *	1. 32% *	0. 50% *
Flori da	1. 79%	3. 38% *	7. 00% *	1. 55% *	2. 14% *	3. 01% *
East South Central:	1. 73/0	3. 36/0	7.00%	1. 33/0	2. 14/0	3. 01/0
	2. 25%	13. 29% *	4. 58% *	4. 61% *	7. 54% *	F 660/ *
Kentucky						5. 66% *
Tennessee	2. 48% *	10. 81% *	1. 91% *	5. 24% *	3. 72% *	1. 76% *
Al abama	3. 98% *	5. 22% *	13. 21% *	2. 60% *	8. 78% *	2. 56% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 13% *	1. 24% *	1. 73% *	1. 48% *	7. 58% *	10. 09% *
West South Central:						
Arkansas	3. 21% *	10. 16% *	4. 67% *	10. 03% *	5. 72%	0. 47% *
Loui si ana	1. 59%	13. 09% *	14. 46% *	1. 49% *	5. 03% *	4. 46% *
0kl ahoma	4. 57%	13. 36% *	6. 16% *	2. 22% *	7. 35%	5. 28% *
Texas	3. 11%	10. 69% *	3. 42% *	4. 67% *	7. 77%	1. 02% *
Mountai n:						
I daho	3. 16% *	****	8. 39% *	2. 00% *	13. 55% *	6. 71% *
Col orado	3. 26%	11. 20% *	3. 81% *	3. 89%	4. 11% *	6. 33% *
Ari zona	5. 20% *	7. 97% *	8. 86% *	4. 04% *	4. 09% *	3. 40% *
Utah	0. 90%	15. 03% *	2. 35% *	5. 85% *	7. 49% *	11. 33% *
Nevada	2. 86%	8. 56% *	10. 16% *	2. 27% *	6. 98% *	9. 76% *
Pacific:	2.00%	3. 33.	10. 10%	2.2.0	0. 00.0	0
Washi ngton	1. 98%	12. 39% *	10. 13% *	6. 65% *	5. 77% *	5. 26% *
Oregon	4. 82%	16. 44%	8. 80% *	7. 11% *	7. 15% *	6. 39% *
Cal i forni a	4. 82% 3. 39%	6. 58%	6. 23%	3. 38%	4. 41% *	8. 67% *
Al aska	3. 86%				4. 41% * 6. 22% *	4. 42% *
		10. 91% *	10. 10% *	8. 87% * 11 22% *		
Hawai i	6. 61%	10. 37%	14. 25% *	11. 22/0	7. 80%	11. 80%
States not shown separately	1. 04%	10. 74% *	1. 41% *	4. 28% *	2. 93%	2. 67% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of industry groupings changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

****** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.