Table V.A.2.c(2005) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer at least one health insurance plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2005

that required no contrib	ution from the e	employee for family	coverage by indust	try groupings** and	State: United States	, 2005
Division and State	Total	Agri, fish., forestry and construction	Mining and manufacturing	Retail, other services and unknown	Professional services	All other
United States	22.8%	40.7%	21.5%	19.9%	25.1%	18.6%
New England:						
Connecticut	28.4%	51.3%	32.6%	22.7%	25.7%	28.2%
Maine	20.2%	43.7%	24.5%*	20.1%	11.5%	17.3%
Massachusetts	24.4%	45.9%	6.2% *	21.6%	27.5%	22.2%
New Hampshire	21.0%	40.5%	18.0%*	15.8%	30.7%	9.9%*
Rhode Island Vermont	24.6% 25.2%	42.3% * 33.9%	19.4% 14.0% *	25.1% 24.1%	21.4%* 29.8%	24.1%* 19.7%
	25.270	00.070	14.070	24.170	23.070	13.770
Middle Atlantic:	00.00/	55.00/	04.00/ *	00.40/	44.00/	0.4.50/
New Jersey	32.2%	55.8%	34.9% *	23.1%	41.0%	24.5%
New York Pennsylvania	29.5% 27.1%	46.9% 48.2%	27.6% * 24.2%	26.1% 24.7%	26.1% 31.0%	33.0% 19.3%*
•	27.170	10.270	21.270	21.170	01.070	10.070
East North Central:	0.4.40/	00.40/	00.00/ *	00.40/	40.50/	45 50/ +
Illinois	24.4%	62.4%	26.0%*	22.1%	19.5%	15.5%*
Indiana Michigan	16.2% 33.2%	30.6% * 66.5%	18.6% * 38.5%	13.6% 28.0%	17.2% 28.5%	11.6% 28.0%
Michigan Ohio	33.2% 21.2%	51.4%	22.0%	28.0% 17.6%	28.5% 17.2%	28.0%
Wisconsin	20.3%	42.0%	6.3%*	23.6%	13.1%*	14.2%*
VVISCOTISITI	20.3%	42.0%	0.3%	23.0%	13.176	14.2%
West North Central:	00.00/	00.00/ +	07.00/ +		00 =0/	40 =0/+
lowa	20.9%	23.2% *	27.2%*	23.8%	22.7%	13.5%*
Kansas	25.8%	36.9%	47.3%	23.2%	27.4%	19.8%
Minnesota	22.8%	55.4%	4.7% *	22.7%	20.0%	10.8%*
Missouri	19.1%	43.3%	0.7% *	17.9%	9.9%*	17.4%*
Nebraska	18.9%	34.0%	9.8%*	17.2%	16.1%*	15.8%*
North Dakota	25.4%	60.9%	17.8%*	25.2%	12.5% *	18.9%*
South Dakota	26.6%	44.9%	19.3% *	26.4%	19.7%*	20.1%
South Atlantic:						
Delaware	20.6%	23.0% *	8.8%*	22.7%*	25.6%*	14.5%*
District of Columbia	26.1%		87.9%*	16.7%	38.1%	21.1%*
Florida	20.2%	19.0% *	21.2%*	14.5%	28.7%	19.1%
Georgia	20.6%	50.8%	25.8%*	25.0%	13.8%*	13.3%*
Maryland	23.9%	37.9%	36.2% *	23.8%	21.4%	19.3%*
North Carolina South Carolina	18.1% 17.8%	22.7% * 25.0% *	1.5% * 0.2% *	18.0% 18.7%	23.6% 30.6%	14.9% 6.5%*
Virginia	18.2%	21.6%*	14.0%*	17.0%	21.9%*	15.8%*
West Virginia	15.3%	52.3%	19.0%*	8.5%*	13.8%	19.6%
· ·	10.070	02.070	13.070	0.570	10.070	13.070
East South Central:	04.00/	05.70/ *	40.00/ *	47.70/	00.40/ *	45.00/ *
Alabama	21.3%	35.7%*	16.6% *	17.7%	33.1%*	15.8%*
Kentucky	21.2%	30.1%*	25.1%*	22.8%	13.3%	20.5%
Mississippi Tennessee	16.8% 13.7%	0.5% * 29.0% *	17.1% * 4.4% *	15.8% 14.9%	16.1% <i>*</i> 11.3% <i>*</i>	20.4% 12.4%*
i ennessee	13.7 /6	29.076	4.470	14.570	11.376	12.4/0
West South Central:	44.00/	00.00/ +		0.00/ +	4.4 =0.4	= 00/ +
Arkansas	11.3%	39.0% *	8.1%*	6.2% *	14.7%	7.2%*
Louisiana	16.8%	40.0%	15.5% *	14.4%	16.4%	12.7%*
Oklahoma Texas	16.7% 18.6%	26.9% * 27.6%	22.3% * 12.8% *	16.0% 12.6%	22.2% 33.3%	9.6% <i>*</i> 9.9%
	10.070	27.070	12.070	12.070	00.070	0.070
Mountain:	47.00/	20.40/ *	40.40/ *	00.00/	40.00/ *	0.50/ *
Arizona	17.6%	30.1%*	19.1%*	20.2%	16.9%*	8.5%*
Colorado	18.9%	49.3%	46.2%	7.2%	26.6%	15.0%
Idaho Montana	15.2% 27.9%	26.6% *	27.6%*	12.3%	15.9% *	10.8%*
		70.6%	39.2%*	26.1%	20.5%*	12.9%*
Nevada New Mexico	15.7% 15.5%	19.5% * 31.2% *	39.2% * 23.4% *	15.5% 11.8%	10.4% <i>*</i> 18.6%	15.0% <i>*</i> 10.2% <i>*</i>
Utah		31.2% 36.4%		11.6%	29.9%	10.2%
Wyoming	18.4% 19.3%	36.0%	10.0% * 17.5% *	17.3%*	23.9%*	10.9%*
-		22.2,0				
Pacific: Alaska	21.4%	53.4%	30.4%*	18.6%	23.7%	12.1%*
California	25.3%	38.6%	26.7%	23.3%	26.3%	22.3%
Hawaii	24.3%	31.8%	15.4%*	21.2%	29.6%	23.5%
Oregon	20.8%	41.1%	18.5%*	14.4%	27.6%	12.6%*
Washington	21.2%	24.0%*	31.2%*	14.4%	25.1%	23.6%*
	/0	_1.070	J1.2/0	. 1. 170		_3.070

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2005 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component.

Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

^{*} Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} Definitions of industry groups and low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix.

Table V.A.2.c(2005) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer at least one health insurance plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by industry groupings** and State: United States, 2005

2005						
Division and State	Total	Agri, fish., forestry and construction	Mining and manufacturing	Retail, other services and unknown	Professional services	All other
United States	0.27%	1.61%	1.85%	0.73%	0.80%	0.85%
New England:						
Connecticut	2.48%	11.23%	9.65%	4.49%	3.44%	2.82%
Maine	2.32%	9.96%	13.25% *	3.69%	3.14%	3.65%
Massachusetts	3.05%	11.51%	3.63%*	4.95%	7.04%	4.90%
New Hampshire	2.78%	9.54%	9.13%*	2.95%	4.69%	3.87%*
Rhode Island	2.31%	14.01%*	5.75%	4.32%	6.44%*	8.37%*
Vermont	1.73%	6.96%	5.56% *	5.73%	5.38%	5.71%
Middle Atlantic:						
New Jersey	3.05%	10.70%	11.38%*	4.70%	6.58%	3.32%
New York	2.67%	10.54%	8.37%*	5.32%	4.83%	3.57%
Pennsylvania	2.63%	10.57%	6.57%	5.18%	4.98%	7.03%*
East North Central:						
Illinois	3.09%	10.07%	8.20%*	5.69%	2.42%	4.91%*
Indiana	2.35%	11.36% *	8.28%*	3.80%	4.50%	2.77%
Michigan	2.86%	12.77%	6.67%	4.17%	5.97%	5.60%
Ohio	2.48%	12.62%	6.36%	2.52%	2.79%	5.24%
Wisconsin	2.91%	11.00%	3.65%*	4.67%	5.40%*	4.31%*
WISCOTISITI	2.91%	11.00%	3.03%	4.07 %	5.40%	4.3176
West North Central:	1.84%	8.70%*	8.85% *	4.67%	6.31%	4.13%*
lowa						
Kansas	1.57%	9.91%	12.79%	5.33%	7.73%	4.01%
Minnesota	2.89%	10.91%	2.88%*	4.36%	4.98%	6.77%*
Missouri	2.97%	11.59%	0.72%*	4.65%	4.96%*	5.54%*
Nebraska	2.53%	7.42%	4.84% *	3.60%	6.14%*	5.93%*
North Dakota	3.40%	9.77%	10.02% *	4.68%	5.19%*	7.21%*
South Dakota	2.58%	7.54%	9.66%*	6.14%	6.80%*	3.01%
South Atlantic:						
Delaware	5.09%	10.90% *	4.92% *	7.39% *	8.19%*	5.08%*
District of Columbia	3.12%		27.81% *	3.89%	6.78%	8.29% *
Florida	2.58%	6.99% *	7.60% *	3.46%	4.92%	4.85%
Georgia	4.30%	15.17%	12.70% *	7.02%	5.44%*	4.94%*
Maryland	3.31%	10.73%	13.23% *	4.86%	5.01%	6.52%*
North Carolina	1.94%	10.97% *	0.58% *	2.29%	4.06%	3.98%
South Carolina	2.12%	8.19% *	0.42% *	3.76%	7.15%	3.26%*
Virginia	2.66%	12.45% *	6.95% *	4.10%	6.57%*	5.83%*
West Virginia	2.30%	15.46%	5.79%*	3.32% *	4.07%	5.57%
East South Central:						
Alabama	3.22%	11.24%*	8.18%*	2.33%	10.66%*	6.44%*
Kentucky	1.79%	13.84%*	8.93% *	4.94%	3.63%	3.44%
Mississippi	2.60%	0.25%*	6.38%*	3.29%	5.37%*	4.49%
Tennessee	3.29%	13.87% *	2.84%*	4.21%	3.59%*	10.04%*
	0.2070	10.07 70	2.0170	1.2170	0.0070	10.0170
West South Central: Arkansas	1.76%	13.28%*	3.95%*	4.82%*	3.78%	4.69%*
Louisiana	1.78%	11.98%	8.88%*	3.97%	3.04%	6.41%*
Oklahoma						
Texas	2.10% 1.08%	15.28% * 7.68%	7.69% * 4.42% *	3.87% 2.42%	4.88% 4.54%	3.95% * 2.72%
Mauntainu						
Mountain:	0.040/	40.000/ *	44 500/ *	E 400/	E 000/ *	5.24%*
Arizona	2.31%	10.36% *	11.50% *	5.48%	5.23%*	
Colorado	1.72%	11.27%	12.86%	1.93%	5.02%	4.31%
Idaho	2.69%	10.31% *	12.22%*	3.33%	7.89%*	4.21%*
Montana	2.60%	10.87%	12.92%*	4.85%	8.90%*	4.24%*
Nevada	3.25%	12.74%*	13.66% *	4.65%	3.58%*	8.59%*
New Mexico	1.49%	12.83% *	10.36% *	3.04%	4.68%	3.63%*
Utah	3.32%	9.53%	5.94% *	4.07% *	5.65%	5.09%*
Wyoming	2.91%	8.72%	8.82% *	5.18%*	10.37%*	4.87%*
Pacific:						
Alaska	3.06%	12.08%	17.52%*	3.52%	4.72%	4.56%*
California	1.07%	5.90%	7.70%	2.03%	1.30%	2.72%
Hawaii	3.14%	9.17%	7.98%*	3.72%	5.53%	4.60%
Oregon	1.73%	10.17%	5.99%*	3.09%	3.83%	4.14%*
Washington	2.20%	7.46% *	10.12%*	3.27%	5.29%	7.21%*
~						

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2005 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component.

Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

^{*} Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

[.] Data suppressed due to high standard errors or no reported values in cell.

^{**} Definitions of industry groups and low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix.