Table VI.B.1. a(1999) Percent of number of private-sector employees by ownership type and age of firm and State: United States, 1999 (40 States are shown separately)

		Ownership Type				Age of Firm		
		For profit	For profit	JF-		Less than	5 or more	
Division and State	Total	Incorporated	<b>Uni ncorporated</b>	Nonprofi t	Unknown	5 years	years	Unknown
United States	111, 072, 185	73. 5%	9.4%	13. 3%	3.8%	7.2%	66. 2%	26.6%
New Engl and:								
Maine	470, 313	<b>68.8</b> %	9.1%	18.8%	3.3% *	6.6%	69. 3%	24.1%
Massachusetts	2, 973, 347	70.2%	5.0%	17.3%	7.5% *	7.4%	61.9%	30.7%
Connecti cut	1, 578, 949	70. 5%	7.2%	16.2%	6.2% *	4.9%	73.6%	21.5%
Rhode Island	396, 444	71.9%	6.4%	20.8%	0.8% *	4.6%	74.1%	21.3%
Vermont	245, 459	60.3%	10. 3%	28.4%	0.9% *	6.1%	80. 7%	13.1%
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	7, 309, 236	73.8%	7.2%	16.5%	2.5%	6.4%	75.5%	18.1%
New Jersey	3, 532, 347	76.4%	6.6%	15.2%	1.8% *	7.2%	65.1%	27.7%
Pennsyl vani a	5, 149, 815	63.1%	8.8%	23.8%	4.3% *	4.3%	65.4%	30. 3%
East North Central:	-, -,							
Ohi o	4, 673, 491	74.7%	9.8%	13.2%	2.4%	4.9%	67.8%	27.3%
I ndi ana	2, 516, 404	78.7%	6. 9%	12.3%	2.1% *	7.3%	69.8%	22.9%
Illinois	5, 398, 601	74.9%	8.4%	12.4%	4.3% *	5.4%	62.1%	32.5%
Mi chi gan	4, 114, 688	75.5%	9. 5%	12.7%	2.3% *	5.9%	75.7%	18.5%
Wi sconsi n	2, 500, 691	72.9%	7. 7%	16.6%	2.8%	11.7%	69.7%	18.6%
West North Central:	2,000,001	121 0/0		201 0/0				101 010
Minnesota	2, 487, 643	70. 3%	11.4%	13.2%	5.0% *	9. 7%	63. 5%	26.8%
Iowa	1, 278, 007	70.1%	8.0%	16. 8%	5.1% *	6. 3%	60. 9%	32.8%
Missouri	2, 354, 919	<b>69.4%</b>	10. 3%	16. 7%	3.6% *	5. 2%	70. 2%	24.5%
Nebraska	743, 928	<b>69.</b> 1%	16. 1%	9.4%	5.5% *	7.1%	56.7%	36.1%
Kansas	1, 068, 138	77.2%	9.0%	10.3%	3. 6%	10.3%	71.4%	18.3%
South Atlantic:	1, 000, 100	11.2%	5. 6%	10. 5/0	5. 0/0	10. 5/0	/1. 4/0	10. 5/0
Maryl and	2, 054, 443	73. 7%	6.0%	18.1%	2.2% *	7.7%	66. 9%	25.5%
Vi rgi ni a	2, 034, 443	79.5%	8. 7%	7.6%	<b>4.</b> 2% *	6.3%	66. 7%	23. 3%
North Carolina	3, 238, 458	<b>78.</b> 9%	8. 5%	9.8%	2.8% *	8.0%	63. 5%	28.5%
South Carolina	1, 497, 583	76. 9%	6. 4%	10.6%	<b>6.</b> 1% *	8.0%	59.6%	32.4%
Georgi a	3, 387, 942	76. 7%	0. 4% 7. 1%	10. 0%	5. 9% *	7.5%	58.6%	33.9%
Florida	5, 897, 004	79.3%	6. 3%	10. 2%	3. 5%	6. 8%	<b>69.</b> 3%	24. 0%
East South Central:	5, 657, 004	75.5%	0. 5/0	10. 5/0	5. 5%	0. 0/0	03. 5/0	24.0/0
Kentucky	1, 457, 287	80. 9%	6.8%	9.1%	3.1% *	6.4%	64.9%	28.7%
Tennessee	2, 265, 533	75.0%	8. 6%	13. 4%	3. 0%	8.2%	64. 6%	23. 7% 27. 1%
Al abama	1, 571, 755	75.6%	8.0%	9.8%	3. 0% 4. 7% *	6. 6%	62. 3%	31.1%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	881, 466	75. 2%	8. 3%	11. 7%	4.9% *	6. 0%	66. 6%	27.4%
West South Central:	001, 400	13. 2/0	0. 3/0	11. 7/0	4. 5/0	0. 0/0	00.0%	27.40
Arkansas	946, 166	77.9%	8. 3%	11.6%	2.3%	7.1%	64.0%	28.9%
Loui si ana	1, 457, 154	65.7%	13. 2%	18.6%	2. 5%	9. 1%	59. 5%	31.4%
Okl ahoma	1, 092, 246	76. 4%	8.4%	11.8%	3.4% *	5. 1% 7. 3%	<b>69.</b> 4%	23. 3%
Texas	7, 629, 355	70. 4%	11.4%	10. 2%	<b>7.6%</b>	6. 2%	61. 3%	23. 3% 32. 4%
Mountain:	7, 029, 333	70. 5%	11.4/0	10. 2/0	7.0/0	0. 2/0	01. 3/0	52.4/0
Col orado	1, 825, 947	78.0%	11.3%	8.5%	2.2% *	7.4%	65.2%	27.4%
Ari zona	1, 778, 457	78.0% 79.6%	7.1%	8. 5%	<b>4.</b> 9% *	7.4% 9.1%	60. 1%	27.4% 30.7%
Nevada	1, 778, 457 864, 113	68. 7%	7.1% 24.8%	<b>6</b> . 5% <b>4</b> . 2%	4. 9% 2. 3% *	<b>26. 8%</b>	53. 9%	30. 7% 19. 4%
Montana	293, 110	63. 7%	24. 8% 13. 0%	4. 2% 18. 5%	2. 3% · 4. 9% *	13. 9%	53. 9% 71. 0%	15. 2%
Pacific:	295, 110	03.7%	15.0%	10. 5%	4. 9%	15. 9%	/1.0%	15. 2%
	9 909 156	72.5%	10.0%	12.9%	4.6% *	9 50/	66 5%	25.1%
Washi ngton	2, 203, 156		10.0%		4.6% * 1.4% *	8.5% 5.6%	66.5%	23. 1% 23. 2%
Oregon Colifornio	1, 319, 765	75.7%	11.2%	11.7%		5.6%	71.2%	23. 2% 24. 6%
Cal i forni a Homoi i	12, 679, 499	71.8%	13.9%	10.9%	3.4%	8.6%	66. 9%	24.6% 27.2%
Hawaii Statag pat shown concretely	463, 299	72.9%	6. 2%	18.3%	2.6%	5.4%	67. 3%	
States not shown separately	4, 761, 405	67.9%	10. 7%	18.1%	3.3% *	7.8%	61.4%	30.8%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix. \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision. Table VI.B.1. a(1999) Standard error for percent of number of private-sector employees by ownership type and age of firm and State: United States, 1999 (40 States are shown separately)

		Ownership Type				Age of Firm		
		For profit For profit				Less than 5 or more		
Division and State	Total	Incorporated	Uni ncorporated	Nonprofi t	Unknown	5 years	years	Unknown
United States	1, 592, 602	0. 58%	0. 23%	0. 44%	0. 27%	0. 33%	0. 69%	0. 80%
New Engl and:								
Mai ne	35, 369	4. 41%	1.17%	3.65%	1.14% *	1.51%	2.76%	2.94%
Massachusetts	111, 990	3. 23%	0.64%	2.38%	3.45% *	2.01%	3.66%	3.10%
Connecti cut	119, 517	4. 77%	1.40%	2. 20%	2.84% *	1.37%	2.66%	2.31%
Rhode Island	42,642	2.96%	1.24%	3.67%	0.43% *	0.86%	2.81%	3. 26%
Vermont	17, 882	4. 52%	1.90%	4.94%	0. 30% *	1.81%	2.79%	2. 38%
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	401, 775	1. 56%	0.85%	0.94%	0. 73%	0.87%	2.71%	2.39%
New Jersey	224, 634	3. 53%	1. 11%	2. 60%	1. 10% *	1.66%	3. 74%	3. 79%
Pennsyl vani a	256, 188	2. 28%	0.89%	3. 00%	2. 16% *	0. 79%	2. 86%	3. 01%
East North Central:	200, 100	2. 20/0	0.00%	0.00%	2.10/0	0. 70%	2.00%	0.01%
Ohi o	204.677	2.79%	2. 20%	2.49%	0.64%	0.89%	2.66%	2.08%
I ndi ana	170, 075	4. 35%	1.60%	2. 71%	0. 73% *	1. 41%	3. 19%	3. 06%
Illinois	207, 401	2. 24%	0. 70%	1. 37%	0.75% * 1.75% *	0. 77%	2. 86%	2. 95%
Mi chi gan	184, 757	2. 97%	1. 26%	1. 94%	1. 06% *	0. 93%	2. 15%	2. 55%
Wi sconsi n	97, 468	3. 38%	1. 24%	2. 84%	0. 78%	2. 98%	2. 15% 3. 79%	2. 33% 3. 01%
West North Central:	57,400	3. 38/0	1. 24/0	2. 84/0	0. 70/0	2. 30/0	3. 75%	5. 01/0
Minnesota	139, 101	3. 33%	1.84%	1.84%	1.76% *	1.82%	3. 22%	2.93%
	88, 307	3. 33% 2. 24%		1. 84% 2. 93%			3. 22% 2. 69%	
Iowa			1.50%		1.65% *	1. 42%		2.15%
Missouri	<b>99</b> , <b>283</b>	2. 28%	2. 42%	2.82%	1.72% *	1. 38%	2.51%	2. 22%
Nebraska	62, 107	3. 23%	4.07%	1.50%	1.89% *	1.54%	4. 54%	4. 18%
Kansas	92, 105	1.76%	1.61%	1.43%	0.83%	1.80%	3. 70%	3. 70%
South Atlantic:	115 050	2.24%	0.05%	0.04%	1 100/ *	1 100	0.10%	0 70%
Maryland	115, 253	3.84%	0. 95%	3. 34%	1. 12% *	1. 12%	2. 19%	2. 70%
Virginia	208, 464	2.69%	2.57%	1.00%	1.74% *	1.43%	4. 73%	3. 70%
North Carolina	187, 592	1. 39%	1.61%	1.31%	1.34% *	1.66%	2.44%	2.96%
South Carolina	94, 491	3. 46%	1. 43%	1.88%	2.03% *	0. 99%	3. 50%	3. 44%
Georgia	136, 051	2.46%	1. 39%	1.73%	1.81% *	0. 98%	2.63%	2.96%
Fl ori da	360, 103	3. 03%	1. 59%	3.00%	0.89%	0.89%	3.88%	4.00%
East South Central:								
Kentucky	88, 964	2.00%	1.00%	1.53%	0.97% *	1.50%	3. 40%	3. 76%
Tennessee	79, 230	2.89%	0. 88%	2.10%	0. 78%	2.16%	2.92%	2.57%
Al abama	110, 401	3. 00%	1. 26%	2.92%	1.70% *	0. 97%	3. 59%	3.88%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	61, 944	3. 67%	1.19%	2.98%	1.60% *	1.09%	3. 18%	3.67%
West South Central:								
Arkansas	32, 631	0. 81%	1.09%	1.07%	0. 50%	0. 99%	2.87%	3. 32%
Loui si ana	81, 854	4.14%	1.06%	4. 49%	1.30% *	1.91%	4. 50%	5.10%
0kl ahoma	68, 417	1.94%	0. 75%	1.36%	1.17% *	1.91%	3. 20%	2.52%
Texas	213, 806	2. 56%	1.37%	1.38%	1.84%	0. 78%	1.96%	1.69%
Mountai n:								
Col orado	84, 151	1.91%	2.27%	1. 50%	0.75% *	0. 72%	3. 08%	3. 05%
Ari zona	160, 974	3. 10%	1.26%	1.86%	1.59% *	1.44%	2.88%	3. 22%
Nevada	144, 593	6. 98%	7. 28%	1.12%	0.86% *	7.57%	6. 51%	4. 02%
Montana	24, 908	3. 03%	1.21%	3. 33%	1.95% *	3.83%	5.27%	2.42%
Pacific:	,							
Washington	105, 426	4.39%	1. 16%	3. 81%	2.60% *	1. 38%	2.90%	3.07%
Oregon	84, 353	3. 61%	2. 26%	2. 88%	0.65% *	1. 16%	3. 21%	3. 30%
Cal i forni a	420, 855	1. 18%	0. 92%	0. 56%	0. 43%	1.17%	2. 12%	1. 76%
Hawai i	20, 778	4. 07%	0. 64%	3. 94%	0. 45%	0.81%	3. 96%	4. 49%
States not shown separately	273, 504	2. 56%	0. 82%	2. 46%	0.75% 1.07% *	1. 97%	3. 03%	3. 90%
searces not snown separatery	~70, 00 <del>1</del>	2.00/0	0. 02/0	W. TU/0	1.07/0	1. 01/0	0.00/0	0.00/0

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix. \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.