Table VII.A.1.a(2000) Percent of number of private-sector establishments by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	6, 256, 044	65.1%	15.1%	19.9%	33.1%	53.1%	13. 9%
New England:							
Massachusetts	160, 628	60.6%	18.5%	20.9%	20.0%	66. 8%	13.2%
New Hampshire	34, 072	66. 8%	13.9%	19. 2%	24.6%	61.1%	14.4%
Connecti cut	83, 596	61.1%	20.0%	18. 9%	22.2%	63. 7%	14.0%
Middle Atlantic:	00,000	01.1/0	20.0%	10.0%	22.27	00. 1/0	11.0%
New York	422, 460	65.2%	17.2%	17.5%	29.6%	58.8%	11.7%
New Jersey	204, 255	70.0%	13.4%	16.5%	26.4%	57.4%	16. 2%
Pennsyl vani a	270, 970	61.3%	16. 2%	22.5%	33.5%	52.2%	10. 2%
East North Central:	210, 310	01. 5%	10. 2/0	22. 5%	55. 5%	52.2/0	14. 5/0
	251, 549	64.9%	14.3%	20.8%	34.9%	48.2%	16.9%
Ohi o	,						
Indi ana	130, 379	61.4%	14.2%	24.4%	38.3%	50.5%	11.2%
Illinois	275, 581	66. 2%	13.2%	20.6%	30. 3%	56.1%	13.6%
Mi chi gan	205, 093	61.5%	17.5%	21.1%	30.0%	58.2%	11.8%
Wisconsin	130, 614	55.7%	17.6%	26.7%	38.1%	50.8%	11.1%
West North Central:			4.0.00				4.0.00
Minnesota	128, 765	57.1%	16.8%	26. 2%	29.4%	60.0%	10.6%
Iowa	79, 315	58.9%	14.5%	26.6%	40. 2%	46. 9%	13.0%
Missouri	128, 597	65.9%	15.0%	19.1%	37.2%	48.9%	13.9%
Nebraska	52, 712	61.8%	15.3%	22.9%	44.8%	44.2%	11.0%
Kansas	68, 374	61.6%	12.6%	25.8%	41.2%	47.6%	11.2%
North Dakota	23, 164	48.1%	14.6%	37.3%	56. 7%	35.2%	8.0%
South Dakota	24, 553	58.1%	17.1%	24.9%	46.8%	43.8%	9.3%
South Atlantic:							
Maryl and	115, 055	64.6%	12.9%	22.6%	27.4%	54.3%	18.4%
Vi rgi ni a	155, 182	63.2%	15.5%	21.3%	35.4%	48.9%	15.6%
West Virginia	35, 797	67.0%	14.3%	18.7%	49.7%	34.8%	15.5%
North Carolina	179, 893	68.9%	14.0%	17.1%	32.5%	48.7%	18.8%
South Carolina	83, 129	71.9%	12.8%	15.3%	36.6%	47.4%	16.0%
Georgi a	174, 998	66. 6%	12.0%	21.4%	32. 3%	51.7%	16.0%
Florida	359, 503	72.8%	13.9%	13. 3%	32.9%	52.7%	14.4%
East South Central:	000,000		101 010	101 0/0	021 070		
Kentucky	78, 927	60. 5%	14.4%	25.1%	47.4%	39.1%	13. 5%
Tennessee	114.642	66. 9%	16.6%	16.5%	32.8%	45.0%	22.2%
Al abama	86, 395	74.6%	10. 0%	13. 2%	40. 2%	47.3%	12.5%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	55, 309	69. 3%	14.0%	16. 7%	40. 2%	44.3%	12. 3%
West South Central:	55, 505	03. 3%	14.0/0	10. 7/0	44. 5/0	44. 5%	11. 4/0
	57 905	65.7%	15 20/	19.0%	4.4 49/	37.2%	18.5%
Arkansas Loui si ana	57, 385	69. 3%	15.3% 14.9%	19.0% 15.8%	44. 4% 39. 3%	45. 2%	18. 5%
	92, 563 79, 199						
0kl ahoma	78, 130	65. 6%	13.3%	21.1%	43.1%	40.0%	16.9%
Texas	412, 368	69.7%	15.2%	15.1%	36. 3%	47.8%	15.9%
Mountai n:		70.0%	10 10	10.0%		04 49	10.00
Colorado	113, 014	73.2%	13.1%	13.8%	22.5%	61.4%	16. 2%
New Mexico	37, 220	62.6%	18.1%	19.3%	39.8%	47.9%	12.3%
Arizona	98, 193	69.7%	14.3%	15.9%	31.1%	56.3%	12.6%
Utah	46, 351	55.2%	16.4%	28.4%	33.0%	50.7%	16.3%
Pacific:							
Washington	145, 740	57.3%	19.0%	23.7%	30.4%	61.0%	8.6%
Oregon	87, 819	60. 2%	17.3%	22.4%	32.7%	57.6%	9.7%
Cal i forni a	687, 979	64.6%	14.0%	21.3%	28.9%	58.5%	12.6%
carronna	285, 777	62.3%	14. 7%	23.0%	37.8%	51.4%	10. 9%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

Percents may not add to 100% because of rounding.

** The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

Table VII.A.1.a(2000) Standard error for percent of number of private-sector establishments by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	35, 234	0. 45%	0.30%	0.35%	0.34%	0. 42%	0.24%
New Engl and:							
Massachusetts	6, 345	2.52%	1.63%	1.45%	1.67%	3.47%	2.94%
New Hampshire	2, 023	2. 44%	1. 58%	1. 48%	2.41%	2. 15%	1.24%
Connecti cut	3, 695	2. 57%	2.35%	1. 61%	1. 90%	2. 64%	1. 62%
Middle Atlantic:	0,000	2.01%	2.00%	1.01/0	1.00%	2. 04%	1. 02/0
New York	8, 891	1.75%	0.94%	1.68%	1.56%	1.89%	1.42%
New Jersey	8, 178	1. 99%	1.63%	1. 99%	2. 72%	4. 16%	2.91%
Pennsyl vani a	8, 178	2. 23%	1. 69%	1. 55%	1.27%	4. 10% 2. 42%	1.82%
East North Central:	0, 000	2. 23/0	1.05/0	1. 50%	1.27/0	2. 42/0	1.02/0
Ohio	6, 218	2.42%	1.70%	1.58%	3. 22%	3. 20%	1.71%
I ndi ana	4, 923	2. 16%	1.41%	1.93%	1.19%	1. 13%	1.18%
Illinois	6, 850	1.71%	1.57%	1. 52%	1.65%	1. 77%	1.41%
Mi chi gan	6, 777	2. 78%	2.29%	1.83%	2.61%	2. 70%	1.27%
Wisconsin	3, 957	1.63%	1.47%	1.84%	1.26%	1.55%	0.85%
West North Central:							
Minnesota	5, 822	3.06%	1.74%	2.18%	2.84%	3. 18%	1.62%
Iowa	3, 800	1.88%	1.50%	1.21%	2.50%	1.81%	2.06%
Mi ssouri	4, 103	2.22%	1.35%	2.15%	1.91%	1.97%	1.73%
Nebraska	1, 963	2.18%	1.74%	1. 92%	2.88%	2.54%	1.65%
Kansas	1, 161	1.75%	1.09%	2.04%	2.95%	2.21%	1.90%
North Dakota	2, 265	2.89%	1.61%	3. 37%	2.16%	2.85%	1.26%
South Dakota	1, 545	3. 30%	1.69%	2.40%	2.92%	2.19%	1.27%
South Atlantic:							
Maryl and	5, 764	2.04%	0.79%	1.69%	1.49%	1.92%	1.88%
Vi rgi ni a	5,668	2.24%	1.48%	2.67%	2.50%	1.58%	1.91%
West Virginia	1, 151	1.56%	1.41%	2.08%	2.41%	2.19%	2.29%
North Carolina	5, 188	3. 12%	2.53%	2.39%	2.32%	2.39%	2.76%
South Carolina	3, 085	3. 24%	1.82%	2.04%	2.33%	2.82%	1.75%
Georgi a	7, 107	2.47%	1. 98%	0. 98%	2.37%	2. 14%	2. 48%
Florida	7, 797	2. 47%	1. 45%	1. 44%	2.83%	2. 19%	0. 99%
East South Central:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		11 10/0			21 20/0	010070
Kentucky	3, 141	2.90%	1.75%	2.55%	3.09%	2.68%	1.24%
Tennessee	5, 862	1. 49%	1. 33%	1.65%	1.31%	2. 26%	2.53%
Al abama	2, 367	2. 49%	1. 73%	1. 77%	2.80%	3. 16%	1.51%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2, 307	2. 43%	2. 37%	1. 72%	2.52%	2. 97%	1.83%
West South Central:	2, 341	2.42/0	2.37/0	1. 72/0	2. J2/0	2. 97/0	1.03/0
Arkansas	2, 230	1.74%	1.56%	1. 12%	1.93%	1. 39%	2.37%
	2, 230 3, 048	1. 74% 3. 11%	2. 19%	1. 12%	1. 93% 2. 10%	3. 05%	2. 37% 1. 54%
Loui si ana							
0kl ahoma Taura a	2, 318	3.01%	1.60%	2.81%	2.72%	2. 22%	1.95%
Texas	10, 261	1.56%	1.08%	1.40%	1.62%	1. 73%	1.21%
Mountain:	0.004	0.00%	0.05%	0.4.4%	0.00%	0.04%	4 0.0%
Colorado	3, 001	3. 39%	2.05%	2.14%	2.00%	2.34%	1.82%
New Mexico	2, 490	3. 21%	4.07%	2. 23%	2.99%	2. 53%	1.83%
Arizona	4, 089	3. 05%	2.05%	2.47%	2.49%	3.09%	2.20%
Utah	2, 934	2.86%	1.79%	3. 35%	3. 56%	3.40%	1.94%
Pacific:							
Washington	6, 273	2.86%	1.87%	3. 30%	2.77%	2.74%	1.30%
Oregon	3, 670	3. 56%	3.82%	1.65%	3. 36%	2.97%	1.06%
Cal i forni a	15, 119	1.86%	1.26%	1.00%	0.88%	1.44%	0. 90%
States not shown separately	6, 731	1.76%	1.54%	2.30%	2.48%	1. 79%	1.46%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

** The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.