Table VII.A.2.c.(1)(2000) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

are shown separatery)		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	8. 7%	9. 1%	7. 2%	7.8%	7. 8%	11.1%	2. 1%
New Engl and:							
Massachusetts	21. 9%	22.9%	15. 7% *	24 . 7% *	24. 2%	25.6%	5. 0%
New Hampshi re	15.0%	13. 6%	14.1% *	22.9% *	24. 1%	16. 4%	****
Connecticut	12. 9%	11. 9%	19. 1% *	8.5% *	7. 1% *	16. 6%	4. 2%
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	15. 5%	15. 7%	13.0%	19. 9%	13. 3%	18. 8%	3. 6%
New Jersey	9. 3%	11. 2%	2.3% *	3. 5% *	9.4% *	10. 8%	3. 2%
Pennsyl vani a	8. 9%	10. 4%	4.8% *	5.0% *	9. 7%	10. 8%	1. 6%
East North Central:							
Ohi o	9. 5%	10. 5%	4.9% *	8. 9% *	8.8% *	13.6%	1.0%
I ndi ana	7.0% *	9. 2% *	2.8% *	0. 7% *	9. 9% *	6. 7% *	2. 6%
Illinois	5. 0%	5. 4%	2. 5% *	5. 4% *	3. 1% *	7. 0%	0. 3%
Mi chi gan	7. 6%	8. 2%	9. 5% *	1. 0% *	4. 3% *	10. 0%	3. 1%
Wi sconsi n	8. 4%	10. 0%	5. 3% *	5. 6% *	7. 4%	11.0%	0. 0%
West North Central:	0. 470	10. 0%	0. 0/0	3. 3 / 0	7. 170	11. 0/0	0.070
Mi nnesota	6. 7%	8. 8%	1.5% *	4.1% *	2. 8% *	9. 3%	****
I owa	5. 7%	5. 4%	8. 4% *	4. 2% *	4. 4% *	8. 6%	****
Mi ssouri	4. 5%	4. 9%	0. 8% *	5. 2% *	3. 1% *	6. 0%	1. 3%
Nebraska	1. 8% *	2. 1% *	****	2. 0% *	1. 0% *	2. 0% *	2. 5%
Kansas	4. 9% *	4.0% *	10. 5% *	3. 7% *	4. 7% *	6. 1% *	2. 5% 0. 6%
North Dakota	3. 9%	3.6% *	3. 9% *	4.6% *	4. 9% *	3. 5% *	2. 4%
	3. 9% 3. 8% *	3. 0% * 4. 4% *	3. 9% * 2. 4% *	4. 6% * 3. 2% *	4. 9% * 2. 1% *	3. 2% *	2. 4% 9. 5%
South Dakota	3.8%	4.4%	Z. 4%	3. 2%	2. 1%	3. 2%	9. 5%
South Atlantic:	0.00/	5 00/ *	0.00/ *	10 70/ *	1.4 00/	6.0% *	0.00/
Maryl and	6. 9%	5. 3% *	8. 6% *	12. 5% *	14. 2%		3. 2%
Vi rgi ni a	5. 6%	5. 7% *	3. 1% * ****	8. 2% *	4. 7% *	8. 0% *	1. 6%
West Virginia	5. 0%	5. 6%		7. 3% *	5. 7% *	6. 8% *	1. 2%
North Carolina	4. 1% *	3. 2% *	****	15. 8% *	7. 0% *	3. 6% *	2. 7%
South Carolina	1.4% *	1.8% *	****	****	0. 9% *	2. 3% *	****
Georgi a	3. 1%	3. 7% *	****	2. 8% *	1. 7% *	4. 1% *	2. 2%
Fl ori da	8. 7%	9.0% *	9. 1% *	5. 2% *	9. 1%	11.5%	0. 1%
East South Central:							
Kentucky	3. 9% *	4. 2% *	2. 8% *	3. 9% *	5. 0% *	4.5% *	0. 9%
Tennessee	5.4%	5. 1% *	****	11.9% *	8. 5% *	6. 3% *	1. 8%
Al abama	3. 2% *	4.0% *	****	****	0.9% *	5. 7 % *	****
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2.8% *	3. 7% *	****	****	2. 2% *	3. 2% *	2. 7%
West South Central:							
Arkansas	3.9%	4. 2%	1.4% *	5.3% *	3. 8% *	6. 3%	****
Loui si ana	2. 7% *	2. 7% *	4.0% *	****	1. 7% *	3. 1% *	3. 3%
0kl ahoma	5.0% *	3. 9% *	8. 2% *	8.6% *	3. 5% *	8.8% *	0. 1%
Texas	2. 5% *	2. 3% *	2.8% *	3. 2% *	2.8% *	3.0% *	0. 9%
Mountain:							
Colorado	13. 4%	12. 9%	18. 9% *	9.0% *	17. 0% *	17.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	8. 9%	8. 6%	11.6% *	8.4% *	7. 8% *	11.9%	2. 1%
Ari zona	4. 9% *	3. 9% *	12. 0% *	1. 0% *	4. 6% *	5. 4% *	3. 2%
Utah	7. 3%	7. 3%	11. 8% *	3. 2% *	1. 1% *	10. 6%	7. 5%
Pacific:	1.070	7. 370	11.0%	0. ≥ /₀	1. 1/0	10.0%	7.0%
Washi ngton	8. 7%	8. 6%	10. 2% *	7.2% *	10. 4% *	9. 5%	0. 4%
Oregon	14. 3%	13. 9%	21. 8% *	7. 2%	9. 4% *	16. 0%	13. 4%
Cal i forni a	14. 0%	14. 9%	9. 1% *	14. 2%	13. 4%	16. 5%	4. 9%
States not shown separately	9. 9%	14. 9%	7. 9% *	5. 1% *	9. 4%	10. 5% 12. 5%	4. 9% 0. 5%
States not snown separatery	9. 970	11. 270	7. 970	3. 1% "	9.470	12. J%	U. 3%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.
***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table VII.A.2.c.(1)(2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

,	,	Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	0. 24%	0. 33%	0.85%	0. 97%	0. 32%	0. 42%	0. 41%
New Engl and:							
Massachusetts	3. 36%	4. 34%	5.51% *	8. 60% *	6. 01%	3. 74%	2. 96% *
New Hampshire	2.05%	2. 65%	4.35% *	7. 44% *	5. 90%	2. 68%	****
Connecticut	1. 35%	1. 42%	6. 31% *	3. 80% *	3. 60% *	2. 43%	1. 75% *
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	1. 50%	2. 07%	3. 54%	3. 76%	2. 76%	2. 18%	2. 96% *
New Jersey	1. 02%	1. 37%	1.18% *	2. 07% *	4. 62% *	1. 49%	2. 35% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 46%	2. 03%	3. 04% *	3. 61% *	1. 32%	2. 53%	0. 95% *
East North Central:							
Ohi o	2. 31%	2. 93%	2.55% *	3. 39% *	2. 71% *	3. 71%	0. 88% *
I ndi ana	2. 49% *	3. 38% *	2. 72% *	1. 06% *	4. 21% *	3. 34% *	3. 46% *
Illinois	1. 08%	1. 17%	1. 16% *	5. 79% *	1. 65% *	1. 72%	0. 27% *
Mi chi gan	1. 24%	1. 62%	9. 88% *	1. 49% *	2. 14% *	2. 14%	2. 16% *
Wi sconsi n	1. 59%	2. 03%	1. 86% *	2. 20% *	2. 10%	2. 01%	0. 03% *
West North Central:	1.00%	2. 00%	1. 00%	2. 20%	2. 10%	2. 01/4	0. 00%
Minnesota	1. 48%	2. 26%	0. 90% *	6. 12% *	1.42% *	1. 92%	****
I owa	0. 97%	1. 48%	3. 18% *	3. 29% *	2. 53% *	1. 82%	****
Mi ssouri	0. 96%	1. 25%	0. 52% *	3. 64% *	1. 66% *	1. 71%	0. 77% *
Nebraska	0. 62% *	0. 76% *	****	2. 94% *	1. 26% *	0. 90% *	3. 47% *
Kansas	1. 90% *	1. 27% *	4. 54% *	3. 70% *	3. 57% *	2. 20% *	1. 98% *
North Dakota	1. 02%	1. 49% *	3. 92% *	2. 62% *	2. 91% *	1. 52% *	3. 01% *
South Dakota	1. 67% *	2. 13% *	1. 60% *	2. 05% *	1. 48% *	1. 11% *	4. 83% *
South Atlantic:	1.07/0	2. 10/0	1.00%	2. 00%	1. 40%	1. 11/0	4. 00%
Maryl and	1. 83%	2. 83% *	5. 22% *	4. 73% *	3. 25%	2. 67% *	2. 15% *
Vi rgi ni a	1. 63%	2. 14% *	2. 43% *	3. 41% *	2. 03% *	3. 05% *	1. 65% *
West Virginia	0. 81%	1. 21%	****	3. 63% *	2. 10% *	2. 26% *	2. 06% *
North Carolina	1. 61% *	1. 22% *	****	7. 71% *	4. 05% *	1. 56% *	1. 19% *
South Carolina	0. 77% *	1. 02% *	****	****	1. 01% *	1. 55% *	****
Georgi a	0. 91%	1. 32% *	****	2. 61% *	1. 68% *	1. 53% *	2. 83% *
Flori da	2. 01%	2. 73% *	3. 95% *	5. 69% *	2. 20%	3. 39%	0. 06% *
East South Central:	£. 01/0	2. 73%	3. 33/0	3. 03%	2. 20%	3. 33%	0. 00/0
Kentucky	1. 72% *	1.81% *	1.69% *	2. 72% *	2.68% *	2. 27% *	0. 72% *
Tennessee	1. 17%	1. 65% *	****	4. 43% *	2. 91% *	2. 12% *	2. 33% *
Al abama	1. 05% *	1. 28% *	****	****	1. 39% *	1. 88% *	****
Mi ssi ssi ppi	0. 98% *	1. 32% *	****	****	1. 82% *	2. 15% *	1. 32% *
West South Central:	0. 36/0	1. 32/0			1. 82/0	۵. 13/0	1. 32/0
Arkansas	0. 63%	0. 92%	1. 29% *	2.41% *	1. 32% *	1. 28%	****
Loui si ana	1. 77% *	2. 61% *	2. 77% *	2. 1 1/0 ****	1. 48% *	2. 68% *	2. 27% *
Okl ahoma	1. 56% *	1. 40% *	6. 78% *	5. 54% *	2. 05% *	3. 46% *	0. 19% *
Texas	0. 80% *	0. 70% *	2. 09% *	2. 02% *	1. 66% *	0. 93% *	1. 80% *
Mountai n:	0. 80%	0. 70%	2.09%	2. 02%	1.00%	0. 93%	1. 80%
Col orado	0. 99%	1. 08%	9. 85% *	6. 01% *	7. 58% *	1. 89%	0. 05% *
New Mexico	1. 56%	1. 56%	9. 97% *	4. 10% *	2. 72% *	2. 06%	1. 79% *
	1. 72% *	2. 22% *	4. 75% *	2. 17% *	3. 35% *	2. 00% 3. 96% *	3. 43% *
Ari zona Utah	1. 72%	2. 22% ** 2. 18%	4. 75% * 5. 71% *	2. 17% * 5. 92% *		1. 80%	3. 43% * 3. 05% *
	1.44%	2. 10%	3. /1%	3. 92%	1. 71% *	1. 80%	3. 03%
Pacific:	1. 77%	2. 05%	4. 91% *	9 910/ *	10. 47% *	1 660/	0. 79% *
Washi ngton				3. 31% *		1. 66%	
Oregon	2. 57%	2. 59%	7. 40% *	4. 13% *	3. 94% *	3. 06%	4. 32% *
California	0. 85%	1. 04%	3. 73% *	3. 34%	1. 97%	1. 52%	2. 00% *
States not shown separately	1. 19%	1. 39%	3. 54% *	2. 57% *	1. 92%	2. 09%	1. 32% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

^{*}Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.