Table VII.B.2(2000) Percent of private-sector employees in establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	89. 4%	93. 0%	85. 8%	74.1%	74. 2%	91. 5%	97. 2%
New England:							
Massachusetts	94. 1%	97. 0%	91. 3%	80. 3%	82. 1%	95. 4%	96. 7%
New Hampshi re	92. 6%	95. 0%	92. 9%	83. 5%	77. 6%	94. 5%	97. 6%
Connecti cut	93. 3%	95. 6%	85. 9%	90. 2%	87. 9%	92. 5%	98. 0%
Middle Atlantic:	33. 3/0	93.0%	65. 5/0	30. ≈/0	87. 9/0	32. J/0	36. 0/0
	91. 5%	0.4 10/	87. 9%	75 00/	0.1 70/	93. 4%	05 10
New York		94. 1%		75. 8%	81. 7%		95. 1%
New Jersey	90. 1%	93. 4%	86. 6%	74. 5%	68. 7%	94. 7%	97. 8%
Pennsyl vani a	92. 4%	96. 2%	88. 2%	75. 8%	78. 2%	94. 5%	98. 3%
East North Central:							
0hi o	92. 3%	96. 5%	86. 0%	76 . 9%	80. 1%	92. 5%	98. 9%
I ndi ana	89. 9%	93. 8%	88. 2%	78. 8%	75. 2%	91. 5%	99. 5%
Illinois	91. 7%	94. 7%	90. 3%	72. 2%	77. 4%	94. 2%	97. 1%
Mi chi gan	91. 1%	95. 9%	78. 7%	78. 7%	76. 9%	91. 4%	98. 7%
Wi sconsi n	90. 2%	95. 1%	92. 4%	72.0%	77. 2%	93. 1%	98. 3%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	90. 5%	95. 6%	86. 2%	77. 9%	75. 8%	93. 2%	97. 2%
I owa	87. 4%	93. 4%	81. 5%	67. 3%	77. 2%	88. 7%	97. 9%
Mi ssouri	89. 6%	93. 6%	81. 4%	69. 4%	75. 6 %	91. 4%	96. 6%
Nebraska	84. 4%	90. 7%	81. 6%	56. 6%	64. 0%	86. 3%	96. 0%
Kansas	89. 9%	95. 2%	89. 6%	65. 0%	70. 5%	92. 6%	98. 0%
							98. 0% 97. 1%
North Dakota	80. 6%	90. 7%	84. 2%	52. 4%	63. 0%	87. 8%	
South Dakota	79. 2%	87. 3%	80. 8%	55. 7%	60. 2%	83. 0%	96. 9%
South Atlantic:	00 40/	00.0%	04 70	22 28/	mo	22 28	00.00
Maryl and	89. 4%	93. 9%	91. 5%	69. 0%	70. 5%	90. 8%	96. 6%
Vi rgi ni a	86. 4%	87. 7%	91.8%	76 . 3%	75. 9%	84. 5%	98. 5%
West Virginia	87. 0%	90. 4%	82. 1%	70. 9%	68. 6%	90. 6%	99. 0%
North Carolina	90. 8%	94. 5%	84. 3%	75. 1%	79. 9%	92.9%	96. 4%
South Carolina	88. 3%	92. 2%	82.0%	69. 3%	74. 9%	89. 9%	97. 4%
Georgi a	89. 1%	90. 8%	83. 8%	85. 4%	72. 6%	89. 5%	97. 0%
Fl ori da	89. 0%	89. 1%	92. 2%	82.5%	79. 4%	88. 4%	96. 9%
East South Central:							
Kentucky	90. 6%	94. 1%	94. 7%	70. 6%	72. 4%	92.9%	99. 9%
Tennessee	89. 9%	92.9%	77. 3%	86.8%	75. 5%	91.9%	97.6%
Al abama	89. 8%	92. 5%	88. 0%	61. 4%	77. 5%	91. 9%	99. 0%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	83. 6%	88. 6%	72. 3%	52. 4 %	65. 8%	86. 3%	97. 6%
West South Central:	03. 0/0	00.0%	7 £. 5/0	<i>32.</i> 4/0	03. 6/6	80. 3/0	37. 0/0
Arkansas	85. 8%	90. 6%	78. 2%	67. 4%	71.0%	88. 3%	96. 4%
	84. 7%	89. 5%	78. 2% 79. 9%		71. 0% 71. 1%	86. 5%	96. 4% 96. 8 %
Loui si ana				57. 5%			
0kl ahoma	83. 0%	89. 4%	63. 3%	57. 1%	63. 8%	86. 8%	95. 7%
Texas	84. 3%	88. 1%	75.8%	66. 6%	63. 8%	88. 0%	95. 5%
Mountain:							
Col orado	91.4%	94. 3%	90.0%	67. 5%	79. 6%	90. 5%	98. 8%
New Mexico	82. 2%	86.6%	82. 1%	68. 1%	65. 0%	82.4%	97. 6%
Ari zona	88. 2%	90. 5%	92. 7%	59. 3%	69. 9%	89. 5%	97. 9%
Utah	87. 7%	95. 2%	73. 2%	72.8%	65. 4%	90. 9%	98. 1%
Pacific:							
Washi ngton	88. 5%	94. 7%	80.6%	69. 5%	67. 2%	94. 1%	97. 8%
0regon	87. 8%	92.6%	79. 4%	68. 8%	70. 5%	90. 8%	96. 8%
Cal i forni a	88. 5%	92. 4%	84. 5%	74. 1%	72. 2%	91. 2%	96. 3%
States not shown separately	88. 9%	94. 1%	81. 7%	68. 5%	74. 2%	92. 4%	96. 8%
separately	20.0.0	3 2. 2.v	32	33. 3.0		52. 1/3	00.00

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component.

Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

** The definition of law wage and every charged in 2000. These date are not component to prior year's actimates. See Technical Appendix.

^{**} The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

Table VII.B.2(2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector employees in establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	0. 33%	0. 27%	0. 75%	0. 75%	0. 73%	0. 28%	0. 22%
New England:							
Massachusetts	0. 62%	0. 51%	4. 37%	2. 87%	5. 64%	0. 50%	1. 29%
New Hampshire	0. 96%	1. 13%	3. 96%	4. 93%	3. 40%	1. 49%	2. 22%
Connecticut	0. 82%	0. 82%	5. 96%	4. 18%	3. 12%	1. 16%	0. 55%
Middle Atlantic:	0. 0≈/0	0. 02 / 0	0. 00%	4. 10/0	J. 12/0	1. 10/0	0. 00%
New York	0. 90%	0. 97%	3. 26%	5. 69%	2.67%	1. 04%	2. 57%
New Jersev	1. 90%	1. 42%	3. 25%	9. 01%	6. 66%	1. 04%	1. 03%
	0. 62%	0. 57%	6. 03%	3. 68%	2. 21%	0. 55%	0. 79%
Pennsyl vani a	0. 62%	0. 37%	0. 03%	3. 06%	2. 21%	0. 33%	0. 79%
East North Central:	1 010/	0. 70%	0 450/	0.400/	0.00%	1 00%	0. 0.40/
Ohi o	1. 01%	0. 76%	2. 45%	2. 49%	2. 96%	1. 06%	0. 84%
Indi ana	1. 02%	0. 87%	6. 09%	5. 57%	3. 13%	0. 61%	0. 27%
Illinois	1. 35%	1. 33%	2. 23%	5. 37%	2.75%	1. 18%	1. 32%
Mi chi gan	1. 24%	0. 74%	6. 47%	6. 66%	4. 58%	1. 40%	0. 67%
Wi sconsi n	1. 19%	0. 72%	1. 93%	5. 03%	4. 55%	0. 41%	0. 58%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	1. 16%	0. 49%	4. 36%	2. 52%	6. 11%	0. 95%	0. 68%
I owa	1. 16%	1. 09%	4. 96%	7. 50%	3. 40%	1. 89%	0. 62%
Mi ssouri	0. 96%	0. 95%	3. 58%	3. 52%	2. 94%	2. 10%	1. 19%
Nebraska	1.87%	2. 14%	5. 36%	5. 96%	3. 09%	2. 50%	2.71%
Kansas	1. 88%	1. 13%	2. 91%	7. 68%	3. 59%	1. 01%	2. 07%
North Dakota	2. 36%	2. 10%	3. 71%	5. 26%	5. 25%	1. 95%	0. 77%
South Dakota	1. 43%	1. 37%	3. 63%	4. 64%	4. 13%	2. 57%	1. 78%
South Atlantic:	1. 10%	1.07%	0. 00%	1. 0 1/0	1. 10%	2. 07 %	1. 70%
Maryl and	1. 42%	1. 08%	5. 14%	4. 93%	5. 60%	0. 95%	1. 05%
Vi rgi ni a	2. 37%	4. 29%	3. 97%	7. 08%	4. 38%	4. 73%	1. 31%
	2. 37% 1. 77%	4. 29% 1. 71%	5. 67%	7. 08% 3. 00%	4. 36% 2. 93%	4. 73% 1. 14%	0. 79%
West Virginia							
North Carolina	1. 46%	1. 18%	5. 32%	5. 22%	3. 12%	1. 31%	1. 56%
South Carolina	1. 49%	1. 23%	2. 44%	9. 68%	3. 45%	1. 70%	1. 35%
Georgi a	2. 09%	2. 16%	10. 68%	8. 40%	5. 47%	1. 98%	3. 09%
Fl ori da	1.71%	1. 37%	5. 70%	7. 00%	3. 33%	3. 33%	0. 63%
East South Central:							
Kentucky	1. 91%	1. 30%	5. 30%	7. 44%	4. 07%	0. 95%	0. 11%
Tennessee	1. 03%	1. 30%	7. 01%	10. 83%	6. 17%	1. 24%	1. 35%
Al abama	1. 45%	1. 47%	9. 62%	7. 21%	3. 11%	1. 30%	8. 06%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 63%	1. 71%	7. 53%	8. 96%	7. 17%	2. 11%	1. 60%
West South Central:							
Arkansas	1. 12%	0. 92%	3. 01%	5. 78%	4. 02%	1. 30%	1. 22%
Loui si ana	1. 31%	1. 49%	7. 59%	8. 55%	3. 71%	3. 03%	2. 69%
0kl ahoma	1. 91%	1. 77%	9. 32%	8. 19%	3. 95%	1. 89%	2. 56%
Texas	1. 39%	1. 18%	3. 67%	4. 20%	4. 52%	0. 90%	1. 17%
Mountai n:				27.12.0		21 22.5	
Col orado	1. 70%	1. 89%	3. 31%	4. 81%	6. 71%	1. 74%	0. 53%
New Mexico	2. 90%	1. 48%	12. 33%	8. 94%	3. 18%	2. 99%	1. 13%
Ari zona	2. 53%	1. 81%	3. 94%	8. 70%	6. 54%	2. 34%	0. 60%
Utah	2. 74%	0. 97%	6. 85%	7. 54%	6. 45%	1. 17%	1. 34%
	2. /4%	0. 97%	0.83%	7. 34%	0. 45%	1. 17%	1. 34%
Pacific:	1 0.40/	1 00%	F F00'	F 0.10/	9 50%	1 700/	1 0 40/
Washi ngton	1. 94%	1. 99%	5. 50%	5. 21%	8. 59%	1. 56%	1. 24%
0regon	1. 15%	1. 14%	7. 85%	5. 01%	5. 52%	1. 21%	1. 37%
California	0. 73%	0. 53%	4. 93%	4. 61%	2. 17%	0. 96%	0. 90%
States not shown separately	0. 62%	0. 56%	3. 95%	4. 30%	3. 70%	0. 98%	1. 66%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

^{**} The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.