Table VII.D. 4(2000) Percent of private-sector employees enrolled in a health insurance plan that have family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	53. 8%	55.0%	48. 2%	43. 7%	39. 1%	53.6%	59. 4%
New England:							
Massachusetts	53. 4%	54.6%	51. 7%	33. 7%	37. 5%	53. 6%	56. 3%
New Hampshire	56. 1%	59. 2%	47. 4%	37. 4%	35. 3%	57. 5%	59. 4%
Connecticut	53. 9%	55. <b>4</b> %	47. 1%	42. 6%	33. 0%	52. 3%	59. 9%
Mi ddl e Atlantic:	00. 070	00. 4%	17. 1/0	42. 0/0	33. 3%	<i>52. 6</i> 70	00.070
New York	52. 7%	54.4%	41.0%	36. 9%	43. 3%	52. 1%	57. 5%
New Jersev	56. 6%	58. 7%	39. 1%	46. 4%	31. 4%	57. 7%	62. 4%
	56. 6% 54. 3%	58. 7% 55. 6%	39. 1% 49. 1%	46. 4% 39. 2%		57. 7% 53. 4%	58. 4%
Pennsyl vani a	34. 3%	33. 6%	49. 1%	39. 270	45. 0%	33. 4%	36. 4%
East North Central:	<b>70.0</b> 0/	00 70	40. 70/	40.00/	0.0 70	04 70/	01 00/
Ohi o	58. 8%	60. 7%	48. 7%	42.6%	36. 7%	61. 7%	61. 6%
I ndi ana	<b>57. 8</b> %	59. 5%	49. 7%	50.0%	35. 8%	<b>57. 9</b> %	<b>65</b> . 5%
Illinois	<b>56</b> . 1%	<b>57. 6</b> %	42.4%	41.8%	35. 9%	57. 7%	<b>59. 6</b> %
Mi chi gan	60.6%	62.6%	51.5%	39. 3%	42. 3%	61.8%	63. 3%
Wi sconsi n	61. 2%	60. 9%	66. 1%	<b>52</b> . <b>7</b> %	59. 7%	61. 9%	60. 8%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	<b>55. 6</b> %	57. 0%	48. 9%	50.6%	47. 6%	54. 2%	61. 5%
Iowa	<b>55.8%</b>	57. 9%	42. 7%	38. 0%	39. 4%	58. 1%	60. 8%
Mi ssouri	50. 9%	51. 4%	46. 7%	46. 1%	36. 9%	50. 8%	55. 1%
Nebraska	57. 9%	59. 5%	54. 9%	36. 8%	43. 1%	56. 9%	63. 2%
Kansas	63. 0%	64. 5%	52. 6%	48. 5%	40. 2%	55. 4%	76. 7%
North Dakota	54. 1%	54.6%	51. 6%	55. 0%	53. 0%	53. 9%	54. 9%
South Dakota	<b>55. 7</b> %	59. 3%	40. 9%	44. 2%	43. 5%	54. 9%	<b>64</b> . <b>0</b> %
South Atlantic:			40.00			40.00	
Maryl and	51.8%	<b>52</b> . <b>7</b> %	49. 0%	42. 9%	24. 5%	48. 3%	<b>59. 9</b> %
Vi rgi ni a	<b>50.0%</b>	51.0%	45. 9%	43.6%	40. 8%	49. 6%	<b>54.</b> 9%
West Virginia	<b>58. 0</b> %	59. 7%	43.6%	40.0%	40. 8%	<b>62</b> . 9%	60. 2%
North Carolina	49.0%	49. 3%	45. 3%	50. 1%	36. 9%	46. 5%	<b>57. 4</b> %
South Carolina	<b>53. 6</b> %	55. 5%	36. 3%	38. 3%	33. 2%	55. 6%	<b>59. 9</b> %
Georgi a	54.0%	55. 9%	46. 7%	23. 7%	31. 3%	55.6%	57. 5%
Fl ori da	47. 2%	47. 8%	47.7%	32. 3%	33. 6%	46. 7%	53. 9%
East South Central:							
Kentucky	<b>55.</b> 4%	55. 5%	57.0%	45. 8%	36. 8%	<b>53. 4</b> %	62.6%
Tennessee	56. 8%	<b>57. 4</b> %	<b>52. 4</b> %	54. 0%	47. 8%	52. 2%	66. 4%
Al abama	56. 9%	57. 9%	43. 8%	39. 4%	40. 1%	57. 9%	65. 9%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	58. 2%	58. 3%	59. 9%	39. 1%	38. 0%	62. 0%	63. 6%
• •	36. 2%	36. 3%	39. 9%	39. 170	36. 0%	02.0%	03.0%
West South Central:	FF F0/	F.O. 00/	4.4 770/	45 40/	4.4 70/	FF 40/	00 00/
Arkansas	55. 5%	56. 8%	44. 7%	45. 4%	44. 7%	55. 4%	62. 0%
Loui si ana	53. 9%	54. 7%	50. 8%	33. 9%	46. 2%	56. 1%	55. 0%
0kl ahoma	53. 9%	54. 1%	<b>52.</b> 3%	49. 8%	38. 7%	<b>54. 4</b> %	58. 8%
Texas	51. 5%	51.9%	47.0%	51. 2%	34. 0%	<b>50</b> . <b>7</b> %	<b>58</b> . 1%
Mountai n:							
Col orado	53. 5%	53. 9%	53. 4%	41.9%	26. 7%	54. 3%	<b>58</b> . 3%
New Mexico	50.0%	52. 3%	37. 9%	41.6%	35. 0%	52. 5%	53. 9%
Ari zona	50. 8%	50. 1%	53. 5%	42. 7%	38. 2%	46. 3%	60. 6%
Utah	62. 2%	62. 2%	60. 6%	65. 2%	60. 6%	65. 6%	58. 3%
Paci fi c:	52. Z/0		33. 370	55. 270	33. 3/0	33. 3.0	33. 3/0
Washi ngton	50. 9%	54. 1%	34. 4%	34.4%	30. 4%	51. 2%	60. 6%
Oregon	47. 9%	48. 8%	44. 7%	39. 1%	36. 2%	46. 4%	56. 8%
California	52. 0%	52. 6%	47. 9%	49. 5%	41. 9%	50. 2%	59. 2%
States not shown separately	52. 4%	53. 4%	46. 8%	41. 3%	37. 3%	55.0%	54. 0%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

Table VII.D. 4(2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector employees enrolled in a health insurance plan that have family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	0. 36%	0. 39%	0. 94%	1. 08%	0. 51%	0. 46%	0. 48%
New England:							
Massachusetts	1. 30%	1. 63%	1. 92%	3. 88%	6. 01%	1. 77%	3. 03%
New Hampshire	1. 75%	2. 24%	2. 99%	4. 41%	2. 87%	2. 16%	3. 05%
Connecticut	1. 57%	1. 74%	7. 86%	6. 49%	6. 18%	2. 39%	1. 93%
Middle Atlantic:							_, _,
New York	1. 30%	1. 44%	3. 79%	4. 69%	4. 36%	1. 48%	1. 92%
New Jersev	1. 48%	1. 72%	4. 72%	8. 17%	2. 85%	2. 23%	3. 68%
Pennsyl vani a	1. 20%	1. 29%	3. 35%	5. 22%	3. 69%	1. 31%	2. 16%
East North Central:	1. 20/0	1. 20%	0. 00%	<b>3.</b> <i>≥≥</i> /0	3. 00%	1. 31%	≈. 10/0
Ohi o	1. 38%	1. 55%	5. 17%	4. 78%	3. 20%	1. 41%	1. 83%
I ndi ana	1. 86%	2. 24%	6. 29%	6. 64%	2. 26%	2. 29%	3. 77%
Illinois	2. 37%	2. 24% 2. 45%	3. 16%	3. 90%	3. 23%	2. 29% 2. 36%	3. 71%
	2. 37% 1. 98%	2. 45% 1. 90%	5. 10% 5. 03%	3. 90% 4. 02%	3. 66%	2. 30% 1. 85%	3. 71%
Mi chi gan							
Wi sconsi n	1. 24%	1. 14%	3. 71%	5. 26%	4. 38%	1. 35%	2. 38%
West North Central:	4 740/	4 000/	0.040/	~ 0.40/	<b>7</b> 000	0.45%	0.00%
Mi nnesota	1. 71%	1. 68%	2. 84%	5. 94%	5. 26%	2. 45%	3. 62%
Iowa	2. 02%	2. 29%	4. 48%	6. 81%	3. 27%	2. 31%	3. 71%
Mi ssouri	2. 66%	2. 83%	4. 49%	5. 60%	3. 03%	2. 77%	3. 56%
Nebraska	2. 46%	2. 62%	5. 46%	4. 12%	2. 67%	2. 23%	3. 79%
Kansas	2. 76%	3. 08%	6. 10%	8. 84%	3. 45%	1. 58%	5. 00%
North Dakota	2. 69%	3. 09%	3. 89%	6. 62%	4. 19%	1. 90%	5. 82%
South Dakota	2. 59%	2. 67%	4. 01%	4. 92%	3. 68%	3. 46%	4. 86%
South Atlantic:							
Maryl and	2. 19%	2. 31%	9. 10%	6. 03%	3. 52%	2. 96%	5. 17%
Vi rgi ni a	1.57%	1. 63%	4. 27%	7. 95%	4. 17%	1. 42%	2. 15%
West Virginia	2.06%	1. 90%	6. 47%	6. 85%	5. 24%	2. 18%	4. 36%
North Carolina	2. 19%	2. 18%	8. 02%	9. 77%	3. 85%	3. 29%	3. 19%
South Carolina	2. 28%	2. 29%	3. 69%	7. 03%	4. 22%	2. 50%	2. 31%
Georgi a	1.61%	1. 89%	6. 31%	4. 16%	4. 17%	3. 23%	3. 50%
Fl ori da	1. 34%	1. 35%	4. 21%	7. 77%	5. 03%	1. 60%	3. 35%
East South Central:	2.0270	1.00%	11 2 10		0.00%	1, 00%	0.00%
Kentucky	1. 64%	1. 97%	4. 63%	6. 77%	3. 26%	1. 77%	1. 63%
Tennessee	1. 75%	2. 06%	3. 17%	8. 08%	6. 20%	1. 75%	3. 43%
Al abama	1. 73%	2. 04%	5. 74%	6. 66%	5. 14%	2. 40%	7. 26%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	2. 60%	2. 74%	8. 24%	7. 71%	2. 49%	3. 74%	2. 98%
West South Central:	۵. 00%	2. 14%	0. 24%	7. 71%	2. 49%	3. 74%	2. 90%
Arkansas	1. 31%	1. 34%	2. 65%	4. 33%	2. 30%	2. 70%	1. 94%
Loui si ana	1. 81%	1. 97%	5. 57%	10. 12%	4. 97%	2. 29%	3. 50%
0kl ahoma	2. 00%	1. 97%	7. 33%	5. 87%	2. 83%	3. 54%	3. 94%
Texas	1. 36%	1. 34%	5. 26%	7. 29%	3. 13%	1. 63%	1. 66%
Mountai n:	4 = 00/	4 070	0 770	0.04%	4 00%	0. 40%	0.050
Col orado	1. 50%	1. 95%	3. 77%	8. 21%	4. 92%	3. 43%	3. 65%
New Mexico	1. 96%	1. 83%	8. 64%	7. 90%	4. 98%	3. 24%	3. 27%
Ari zona	2. 46%	2. 75%	4. 21%	7. 14%	6. 24%	2. 51%	5. 15%
Utah	0. 79%	1. 00%	4. 21%	8. 81%	5.41%	1. 89%	3. 24%
Paci fi c:							
Washi ngton	2.07%	2. 34%	5. 11%	5. 56%	7. 68%	3. 10%	9. 32%
0regon	1. 38%	1. 79%	7. 42%	6. 60%	5. 14%	2. 37%	6. 53%
Cal i forni a	0. 98%	1. 06%	3. 35%	3. 45%	2. 89%	1. 14%	1. 72%
States not shown separately	1.70%	1. 89%	3. 11%	5. 88%	3. 20%	1. 68%	2. 25%
- "							

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.