Table VII.A.2.c. (1) (2001) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

are shown separatery)		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50- 74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	9. 3%	9. 6%	9. 0%	7. 8%	8. 2%	10. 9%	5. 0%
New Engl and:							
Maine	18. 8%	21. 5%	11. 2% *	12. 9% *	10. 5% *	24. 9%	2. 6%
Rhode Island	9. 9%	7. 7%	14. 2% *	14. 7% *	13. 1% *	11.0%	2. 8%
Vermont	10. 4%	11. 2%	8. 1% *	9. 6% *	10. 9% *	12. 3%	****
Massachusetts	18. 7%	20. 8%	17. 2% *	10. 4% *	17. 7% *	21. 8%	5. 4%
Connecticut	9.0%	7. 2% *	9. 2% *	18. 8%	15. 4% *	9. 7%	****
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	15.6%	15. 1%	18. 9%	13. 8% *	19. 7%	15. 2%	6. 7%
New Jersey	13. 5%	15. 5%	10.4% *	6. 1% *	15.8% *	13. 2%	12. 3%
Pennsyl vani a	11. 5%	10. 9%	14.6% *	10. 9% *	8. 4% *	13. 1%	10. 0%
East North Central:	11.0%	10.0%	11.0%	10.0%	O. 170	10. 1/0	10.0%
Ohi o	8. 9%	9. 7%	2. 2% *	10.6% *	8. 2% *	9. 3%	8. 4%
Indi ana	5. 0%	5. 0 % *	8. 2% *	2. 3% *	4. 3% *	6. 3%	0. 6%
Illinois	4. 6% *	4. 6% *	****	8. 9% *	5. 0% *	5. 8% *	0. 3%
Mi chi gan	8. 6%	8. 2%	17. 7%	2. 4% *	6. 0% *	11. 2%	1. 9%
Wi sconsi n	8. 6%	9. 0%	2. 6% *	12. 5% *	8. 5% *	8. 6%	8. 2%
West North Central:	~	G 60/ II	- 40, ii	G ==0/t.	9 90 7 ti	10.10	0.004
Mi nnesota	7. 4%	8. 9% *	5. 1% *	3. 7% *	3. 6% *	10. 1%	0. 3%
Iowa	6. 4 % *	8. 5% *	2. 3% *	****	2. 2% *	4. 6% *	16. 2%
Mi ssouri	6. 5%	7. 3%	3. 5% *	3. 8% *	3. 9% *	8. 5% *	3. 1%
South Atlantic:							
Del aware	13. 2%	15. 2%	4. 2% *	13. 9% *	10. 8% *	16. 3%	6. 7%
Maryl and	6. 7%	6. 6% *	8.4% *	4. 9% *	7. 6% *	7. 7% *	2.0%
District of Columbia	8.8%	9. 1%	3. 6% *	14.1% *	8. 6% *	10. 7%	2. 0%
Vi rgi ni a	6. 3%	5. 3%	9. 7% *	7. 0% *	7. 1% *	8. 6%	****
North Carolina	3. 2% *	3. 9% *	****	2. 7% *	2. 4% *	4.9% *	****
South Carolina	4. 8% *	5. 9% *	4. 3% *	****	2. 3% *	7. 3%	2. 0%
Georgi a	4. 2%	4. 9%	5. 1% *	****	2. 5% *	6. 9%	****
Florida	8. 5%	9. 3%	7. 5% *	3. 1% *	11. 6% *	9. 7%	1. 7%
East South Central:	0. 0%	0.0%	7. 0/0	3. 170	11.0%	3. 1 %	1. 7.0
Kentucky	4. 8% *	5. 0% *	1.8% *	7. 9% *	2. 8% *	6. 7% *	3. 1%
Tennessee	4. 4%	4. 0% *	6. 7% *	4. 5% *	4. 6% *	6. 3%	0. 1%
Al abama	4. 2%	4. 5% *	6. 3% *	0. 7% *	5. 1% *	3. 9% *	3. 3%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	3. 0% *	4. 2% *	****	****	1. 2% *	5. 1% *	1. 5%
	3. 0%	4. 270			1. 270	3. 1%	1. 5%
West South Central:	5. 8% *	5. 7% *	1. 2% *	9. 4% *	Z 00/ *	5. 8% *	F 00/
Arkansas				9. 4% * ****	5. 9% *		5. 6%
Loui si ana	7. 0%	** 1/0	11. 3% *		7. 5% *	8. 9%	1. 6%
0kl ahoma	4. 7%	4. 2% *	5. 8% *	6. 5% *	6. 1% *	4. 8% *	1. 8%
Texas	2. 9% *	2. 7% *	4. 8% *	2. 6% *	2. 5% *	4. 1%	0. 9%
Mountai n:							
I daho	3. 0% *	3. 9% *	****	2. 4% *	3. 7% *	3. 8 % *	****
Col orado	13. 3%	12. 2%	20. 9% *	11.1% *	11.5% *	16. 7%	****
Ari zona	6. 1%	5. 1% *	5. 5% *	16. 8% *	10. 3%	6. 7% *	0. 9%
Utah	10.8%	9. 2%	20. 5%	6. 4% *	7. 0% *	14. 6%	2. 5%
Nevada	6.8%	6. 5% *	11. 2% *	2. 9% *	4. 3% *	9. 2%	2. 3%
Paci fi c:							
Washi ngton	8. 7%	10. 0%	9.8% *	****	4. 9% *	11. 2%	0. 5%
Oregon	10. 5%	10. 2%	11. 4% *	10. 9% *	8. 0% *	13. 6%	0. 2%
Cal i forni a	15. 8%	16. 4%	9.6% *	18. 8%	12. 9%	16. 4%	16. 0%
Al aska	1. 2% *	1. 7% *	0. 4% *	10. 0% ****	12. 9% ****	1. 8% *	0. 2%
Hawai i	19. 1%	21. 8%	12. 9%	10. 4% *	14. 9%	17. 3%	31. 8%
States not shown separately	7. 5%	8. 4%	5. 3% *	6. 4 % *	5. 1% *	8. 8%	8. 6%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

** The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

****** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table VII.A.2.c.(1)(2001) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

(42 States are shown separatery)		Percent	Full-Time Empl	ovees	Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50- 74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	0. 20%	0. 41%	1. 07%	0. 60%	0. 51%	0. 33%	1. 25%
New Engl and:							
Mai ne	4.84%	5. 55%	3. 69% *	4. 44% *	4. 38% *	5. 41%	10. 30%
Rhode Island	1. 53%	1. 59%	8. 42% *	7. 57% *	4. 72% *	2. 44%	1. 39%
Vermont	2. 14%	1. 91%	6. 25% *	3. 69% *	4. 48% *	2. 51%	****
Massachusetts	2. 75%	2. 04%	6. 11% *	9. 54% *	8. 94% *	2. 66%	10. 25%
Connecticut	1. 68%	2. 18% *	7. 09% *	5. 35%	10. 94% *	2. 46%	****
Middle Atlantic:	11 00 /0	2, 10,0		0.00%	10.01%	2. 10.0	
New York	1. 05%	1. 36%	2. 18%	9. 91% *	2. 21%	1. 38%	2. 62%
New Jersey	1. 64%	2. 21%	8. 04% *	2. 52% *	6. 35% *	2. 86%	6. 23%
Pennsyl vani a	1. 77%	1. 73%	6. 79% *	3. 40% *	3. 25% *	2. 43%	4. 05%
East North Central:	1. ///0	1. 73%	0. 73/0	3. 40/0	3. 25%	£. 43/0	4. 03/0
Ohi o	1. 48%	2. 07%	1. 89% *	4. 02% *	3. 53% *	2. 53%	3. 48%
					2. 62% *		
Indi ana	1. 33%	1. 67% *	4. 30% * ****	1. 81% *		1. 37%	1. 18%
Illinois	1. 57% *	1. 47% *		4. 94% *	4. 19% *	1. 74% *	0. 28%
Mi chi gan	1. 68%	1. 29%	4. 75%	2. 53% *	2. 56% *	2. 16%	2. 04%
Wi sconsi n	1. 71%	1. 88%	1. 68% *	4. 79% *	3. 27% *	2. 11%	5. 29%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	2. 04%	2. 78% *	2. 71% *	3. 23% *	2. 35% *	2. 89%	0. 36%
Iowa	1. 98% *	2. 70% *	1. 62% *	****	1. 69% *	1. 40% *	9. 16%
Mi ssouri	1. 37%	1. 54%	3. 49% *	2. 92% *	3. 13% *	2. 59% *	1. 44%
South Atlantic:							
Del aware	1. 91%	2. 46%	2. 67% *	6. 93% *	7. 66% *	2. 89%	5. 43%
Maryl and	1. 70%	2. 59% *	3. 69% *	3. 42% *	4. 36% *	2. 50% *	1. 21%
District of Columbia	0. 86%	1. 29%	6. 82% *	8. 96% *	4. 23% *	1. 55%	1. 62%
Vi rgi ni a	1. 77%	1. 24%	4. 05% *	3. 82% *	3. 50% *	2. 20%	****
North Carolina	1. 31% *	1. 40% *	****	1. 67% *	1. 21% *	2. 11% *	****
South Carolina	1. 59% *	1. 77% *	8. 31% *	****	2. 30% *	2. 04%	1. 63%
Georgi a	1. 00%	1. 43%	2. 62% *	****	1. 68% *	1. 58%	****
	1. 30%	1. 31%	5. 28% *	1. 91% *	3. 78% *	1. 67%	0. 89%
Florida	1. 30%	1. 31%	3. 28% T	1. 91%	3. 78%	1. 67%	0. 89%
East South Central:	1 500/ +	1 00% *	4 710/ +	A A A O / +	1 000/ *	0.070/ *	0 400/
Kentucky	1. 56% *	1. 82% *	4. 51% *	4. 44% *	1. 66% *	3. 07% *	2. 42%
Tennessee	0. 71%	1. 30% *	4. 26% *	2. 79% *	2. 97% *	1. 68%	0. 07%
Al abama	1. 20%	1. 53% *	3. 61% *	0. 88% *	2. 40% *	2. 13% *	2. 66%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	1. 03% *	1. 45% *	****	****	1. 98% *	2. 10% *	2. 52%
West South Central:							
Arkansas	1. 88% *	2. 48% *	1. 15% *	4. 76% *	2. 08% *	2. 64% *	4. 77%
Loui si ana	1. 48%	2. 37% *	7. 41% *	****	4. 48% *	2. 09%	1. 65%
0kl ahoma	1. 37%	1. 48% *	3. 66% *	4. 98% *	3. 31% *	2. 31% *	1. 41%
Texas	1. 11% *	0. 92% *	3. 55% *	1. 22% *	1. 42% *	1. 17%	1. 25%
Mountain:							
I daho	1. 36% *	1. 87% *	****	2. 90% *	2. 16% *	2. 48% *	****
Col orado	1. 62%	2. 32%	7. 10% *	3. 95% *	4. 37% *	2. 45%	****
Ari zona	1. 67%	1. 59% *	10. 21% *	5. 52% *	2. 75%	2. 43% *	1. 02%
Utah	1. 38%	1. 86%	3. 97%	2. 22% *	3. 48% *	2. 67%	3. 05%
Nevada	1. 84%	2. 16% *	3. 37% *	1. 59% *	1. 91% *	2. 63%	2. 16%
Paci fi c:	1.04/0	۵. 10/0	J. J/0	1. 33/0	1. 31/0	۵. 03/0	۵. 10%
	9 9 9 9 9 7	9 60%	O FEW *	****	2 040/ *	2 100/	0.070/
Washi ngton	2. 33%	2. 60%	8. 55% *		3. 04% *	3. 18%	0. 37%
0regon	1. 68%	2. 47%	4. 66% *	6. 26% *	3. 63% *	3. 58%	0. 12%
Cal i forni a	1. 77%	2. 45%	3. 00% *	3. 47%	1. 79%	1. 48%	5. 75%
Al aska	0. 57% *	0. 79% *	1. 07% *	****	****	0. 90% *	0. 45%
Hawai i	2. 05%	2. 97%	3. 16%	3. 79% *	3. 99%	1. 44%	8. 10%
States not shown separately	1. 51%	2. 02%	2. 16% *	2. 05% *	1. 97% *	2. 17%	5. 55%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component *Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

^{**} The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

***** No estimate available. No reported values in cell.