Table VII.A.2.c. (3) (2001) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

are shem separatery)	Percent Full-Time Employees				Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50- 74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	5. 5%	5. 7%	5. 4%	4. 7%	4. 0%	6. 3%	4. 9%
New Engl and:							
Mai ne	3. 1%	3. 2%	2. 4% *	3. 2% *	3. 4% *	3. 5%	****
Rhode Island	9. 7%	10. 8%	10. 1% *	3. 9% *	4. 4% *	13. 6%	****
Vermont	6. 7%	5. 6% *	5. 3% *	11.3% *	7. 6% *	7. 7%	****
Massachusetts	4. 2%	3.8% *	2. 5% *	8. 9% *	1. 7% *	5. 6%	****
Connecticut	4. 1% *	3. 6% *	4.4% *	6.6% *	****	5. 4% *	0. 7%
Middle Atlantic:		5. 5.5	_, _,,				
New York	8.8%	9. 6%	8. 7% *	3. 9% *	2. 9% *	11.8%	2. 2%
New Jersey	3. 9%	4. 9%	2. 5% *	0. 0% *	****	2. 5% *	16. 8%
Pennsyl vani a	6. 7%	7. 3%	1. 8% *	9. 3% *	8. 3% *	6. 1%	6. 7%
East North Central:	0. 7/0	7. 3/0	1. 0/0	3. 3/0	<b>3.</b> 3/0	0. 1/0	0. 7/0
Ohi o	6. 6%	5. 4%	10. 9% *	9. 1% *	5. 5% *	8. 0%	3. 2%
I ndi ana	7. 5%	7. 5%	10. 9% *	3. 3% *	5. 3% *	9. 1%	3. 2% 4. 5%
Illinois	2. 6%	1. 7% *	3. 3% *	6. 2% *	3. 2% *	2. 7% *	1. 5%
Mi chi gan	12. 0%	12. 5%	10. 9% *	10. 9% *	7. 0% *	15. 1%	7. 9%
Wi sconsi n	4. 6%	3. 9%	8. 8%	3. 8% *	3. 9% *	5. 9%	0. 2%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	11. 9%	10. 5%	16. 5% *	12. 3%	18. 4%	10. 0%	8. 9%
Iowa	11.4%	12. 8%	<b>5. 2%</b> *	10. 9% *	12. 8% *	5. 9%	24. 3%
Mi ssouri	3. 1% *	2. 4% *	8. 6% *	1. 4% *	5. 8% *	3. 1% *	****
South Atlantic:							
Del aware	5. <b>6</b> % *	6. 4% *	5. 5% *	0. 7% *	0. 8% *	6. 4% *	6. 9%
Maryl and	4. 2% *	4. 9% *	1. 8% *	3. 3% *	****	6. 2% *	2.0%
District of Columbia	2. 9%	2. 8% *	3. 2% *	3. 6% *	0. 1% *	4. 0% *	0. 9%
Vi rgi ni a	5. 1%	4.8%	7. 3% *	3. 5% *	4. 2% *	7. 5%	0. 4%
North Carolina	6.6% *	6. 7% *	2. 6% *	11. 3% *	4.4% *	9. 7% *	1. 6%
South Carolina	3. 6% *	3. 6% *	4. 3% *	2. 7% *	****	6. 8% *	0. 0%
Georgi a	3. 0% *	2. 5% *	9. 0% *	****	1. 6% *	5. 0% *	****
Flori da	1. 5% *	1. 1% *	2. 3% *	3. 9% *	****	2. 0% *	1. 7%
East South Central:	1. 5/0	1. 1/0	۵. 3/0	3. 3/0		<b>∠.</b> 0/0	1. 7/0
Kentucky	6. 8% *	8. 2%	3. 9% *	4. 0% *	2.4% *	9. 1% *	8. 9%
Tennessee	3. 2% *	3. 3% *	****	5. 5% *	3. 1% *	1. 5% *	6. 7%
			10. 1% *	****	5. 8% *	9. 4% *	2. 7%
Al abama	6. 9%	7. 5%					
Mi ssi ssi ppi	<b>5. 6</b> % *	<b>6. 0</b> % *	3. 1% *	<b>6.</b> 0% *	2. 6% *	9. 6% *	2. 1%
West South Central:	0.00/	Q Q0/ 1/	4 F 40/ W	****	0.50/ 1/	0.00/ **	****
Arkansas	3. 9%	3. 3% *	15. 4% *	****	6. 7% *	2. 9% *	
Loui și ana	2. 9% *	3. 9% *	****		****	4. 9% *	1. 7%
0kl ahoma	1.6% *	1. 7% *	****	2. 1% *	1. 0% *	2. 5% *	****
Texas	1. 7% *	2. 1% *	1. 2% *	****	1. 1% *	2. 5% *	0. 6%
Mountain:							
I daho	9.6% *	11.3% *	11.6% *	****	5. <b>0</b> % *	14.6% *	1. 1%
Col orado	3. 9% *	5. 1% *	****	****	0. 4% *	5. 0% *	1. 7%
Ari zona	3.0% *	3. 7% *	****	****	0. 3% *	5. 3% *	****
Utah	4. 2% *	3. 1% *	7. 7% *	<b>5. 0</b> % *	3. 8% *	4. 9%	2. 1%
Nevada	2. 2% *	2. 2% *	2. 3% *	1. 3% *	3. 5% *	2. 3% *	****
Paci fi c:							
Washington	5. 3% *	5. 6% *	2. 6% *	6.6% *	2. 8% *	6. 9% *	****
Oregon	5. 4% *	6. 2% *	3. 9% *	2. 7% *	1. 9% *	6. 5% *	5. 3%
Cal i forni a	5. 5%	6. 6%	3. 3% *	****	1. 1% *	4. 1%	14. 5%
Al aska	7. 9%	7. 1%	15. 6% *	5. 6% *	6. 6% *	10. 0%	14. J/0 ****
Hawai i	10. 5%	7. 1% 12. 0%	7. 7% *	4. 2% *	5. 1% *	8. 3%	26. 7%
		12. 0% 10. 7%	7. 7% * 7. 4% *	4. 2% * 7. 9% *		8. 3% 9. <b>8</b> %	26. 7% 8. 1%
States not shown separately	9. 7%	10. 7%	7.4%	7. 9%	10. 2%	9. 8%	<b>5.</b> 1%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component

<sup>\*</sup>Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

\*\* The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

\*\*\*\*\*\* No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table VII. A. 2. c. (3) (2001) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

(42 States are shown separatery)		Percent	Full-Time Emplo	Percent Low-Wage Employees **			
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50- 74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	0. 31%	0. 40%	0. 42%	0. 50%	0. 43%	0. 35%	1. 22%
New Engl and:							
Mai ne	0. 71%	0. 93%	2. 08% *	4. 20% *	1. 73% *	0. 93%	****
Rhode Island	1. 57%	1. 65%	4. 13% *	<b>2. 69</b> % *	3. 02% *	2. 74%	****
Vermont	1. 17%	1. 99% *	3. 04% *	4. 94% *	3. 18% *	1. 58%	****
Massachusetts	0. 90%	1. 16% *	1. 71% *	4. 48% *	4. 68% *	1. 15%	****
Connecticut	1. 50% *	1. 35% *	3. 31% *	5. 05% *	****	1. 97% *	1. 09%
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	1. 48%	1. 41%	2. 66% *	2. 84% *	1. 56% *	1. 67%	1. 83%
New Jersey	1. 00%	1. 46%	1.44% *	0. 08% *	****	0. 90% *	6. 53%
Pennsyl vani a	0. 77%	0. 88%	2. 62% *	6. 28% *	3. 13% *	1. 10%	3. 22%
East North Central:							
Ohi o	1. 20%	1. 01%	5. 11% *	3. 87% *	2. 07% *	1. 46%	2. 27%
Indi ana	1. 33%	1. 47%	6. 64% *	2. 42% *	2. 57% *	2. 39%	3. 11%
Illinois	0. 54%	0. 66% *	3. 15% *	1. 98% *	2. 15% *	0. 93% *	0. 91%
Mi chi gan	1. 81%	1. 41%	5. 02% *	3. 91% *	2. 81% *	2. 25%	3. 37%
Wi sconsi n	0. 77%	0. 62%	2. 47%	1. 77% *	1. 87% *	0. 85%	0. 11%
West North Central:	0	0.02.0	A. 11.70	2	1.0.70	0.00%	0.11.0
Mi nnesota	1. 15%	2. 50%	7. 38% *	3. 29%	2. 30%	2. 08%	7. 60%
Iowa	2. 31%	3. 23%	6. 50% *	7. 08% *	4. 61% *	1. 23%	10. 61%
Mi ssouri	1. 10% *	0. 97% *	5. 29% *	1. 84% *	2. 45% *	1. 83% *	****
South Atlantic:	1. 10/0	0. 37 %	J. 20/0	1. 04/0	£. 43/0	1. 00%	
Del aware	2. 75% *	2. 75% *	8. 85% *	0. 51% *	1. 05% *	2. 28% *	5. 41%
Maryl and	2. 73% * 1. 53% *	1. 84% *	2. 57% *	8. 62% *	****	2. 13% *	1. 21%
District of Columbia	0. 86%	1. 11% *	2. 17% *	1. 95% *	0. 17% *	1. 26% *	0. 76%
	0. 90%	1. 11%	3. 64% *	2. 13% *	2. 95% *	1. 53%	0. 76% 0. 42%
Virginia North Carolina	0. 90% 2. 14% *		3. 64% * 4. 55% *	2. 13% * 4. 02% *	2. 95% * 1. 90% *	1. 55% 3. 42% *	0. 42% 4. 89%
	2. 14% * 1. 20% *	2. 30% * 1. 38% *		4. 02% * 1. 97% *	1. 90% **	2. 36% *	4. 89% 0. 04%
South Carolina			2. 97% * 3. 77% *	1. 97% *****			U. U4% ****
Georgi a	1. 06% *	0. 91% *	3. 11/0		1. 33% * ****	1. 73% *	
Florida	0. 54% *	0. 59% *	4. 60% *	1. 63% *	* * * * *	0. 92% *	1. 23%
East South Central:	0 0 70 1	0.040	4 000/ 1/1	0 100 ti	4 000/ 15	0 000v it	- 400
Kentucky	2. 07% *	2. 24%	4. 28% *	2. 16% *	1. 30% *	3. 09% *	5. 42%
Tennessee	0. 99% *	1. 23% *	****	4. 82% *	1. 89% *	0. 92% *	3. 58%
Al abama	1. 48%	1. 72%	6. 41% *	****	2. 26% *	3. 62% *	1. 69%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	1. 73% *	1. 96% *	7. 23% *	2. 62% *	1. 35% *	3. 48% *	1. 25%
West South Central:							
Arkansas	0. 88%	1. 02% *	10. 13% *	****	2. 07% *	1. 54% *	****
Loui si ana	1. 10% *	1. 29% *	****	****	****	2. 25% *	1. 90%
0kl ahoma	0. 66% *	0. 96% *	****	1. 56% *	0. 80% *	1. 37% *	****
Texas	0. 64% *	0. 88% *	1. 29% *	****	0. 66% *	1. 14% *	0. 69%
Mountain:							
I daho	2. 97% *	4. 02% *	6. 11% *	****	3. 25% *	4. 79% *	1. 60%
Col orado	1. 67% *	2. 13% *	****	****	0. 48% *	2. 27% *	1. 55%
Ari zona	1. 30% *	1. 57% *	****	****	0. 41% *	2. 60% *	****
Utah	1. 53% *	1. 19% *	3. 66% *	10. 14% *	2. 82% *	1. 40%	2. 29%
Nevada	1. 16% *	1. 19% *	5. 71% *	0. 70% *	2. 66% *	1. 19% *	****
Pacific:							
Washi ngton	2. 03% *	2. 46% *	2. 47% *	5. 45% *	1. 61% *	2. 72% *	****
0regon	1. 94% *	2. 26% *	2. 33% *	1. 41% *	1. 41% *	2. 05% *	7. 66%
Cal i forni a	1. 30%	1. 76%	1. 93% *	****	1. 18% *	0. 72%	5. 91%
Al aska	1. 66%	1. 89%	5. 55% *	2. 87% *	3. 32% *	2. 31%	****
Hawai i	2. 10%	3. 16%	2. 79% *	1. 80% *	1. 80% *	1. 20%	9. 19%
States not shown separately	2. 16%	2. 71%	2. 82% *	4. 60% *	2. 13%	2. 31%	4. 84%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

\*\* The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

\*\*\*\*\*\* No estimate available. No reported values in cell.