Table VII.B. 4.b. (1) (2001) Percent of private-sector part-time employees eligible for health insurance at establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50- 74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	28. 4%	39. 7%	31. 8%	22. 5%	20. 2%	36. 9%	29. 3%
New Engl and:							
Maine	18. 1%	39. 4%	27.0%	9. 7%	9. 9%	31.8%	9. 8%
Rhode Island	26. 5%	45. 9%	48. 0%	14. 5% *	13. 0% *	42. 7%	40.0%
Vermont	48. 7%	49. 7%	42.2%	49. 9%	51. 9%	40. 6%	35. 8%
Massachusetts	36. 6%	46. 4%	49.6%	22.0%	12. 6%	47. 7%	25.0%
Connecti cut	30. 1%	51. 9%	21. 7%	20. 9% *	18. 2% *	27.8%	47.6%
Middle Atlantic:		321313					
New York	34.6%	57. 2%	32. 2%	24.6%	20. 1%	54. 1%	27. 6%
New Jersey	19. 9%	29. 3%	13. 3% *	18. 9%	17. 2% *	28. 7%	9. 1%
Pennsyl vani a	30. 6%	49. 6%	40. 9%	15. 6% *	21. 5%	40. 7%	34. 3%
East North Central:	<b>30. 0</b> /0	10. 0/0	10.070	10. 0/0	21.0%	10. 770	01.0%
Ohi o	24.0%	44. 4%	22. 3%	15.6% *	11.0% *	43.9%	28. 4%
Indi ana	29. 7%	29. 1%	27. 1%	30. 7%	18. 0% *	37. 2%	35. 7%
	28. 7%	41. 2%	39. 6%	21. 0% *	27. 5% *	38. 0%	19. 0%
Illinois							
Mi chi gan	30. 1%	42.6%	30. 7%	₩O. 0/0	34. 3%	28. 8%	18. 0%
Wi sconsi n	24. 9%	18. 1% *	39. 7%	20. 8%	13. 3%	38. 3%	23. 7%
West North Central:	22 22	40.00	4 <b>~</b> 00/ ii	07.00	4= 00 1	24 20	07 00
Mi nnesota	26. 0%	40.6%	17. 8% *	27. 3%	17. 3% *	31.9%	25. 3%
Iowa	21. 5%	43. 2%	17. 5% *	<b>16.</b> 6% *	15. 1% *	27. 1%	24. 7%
Mi ssouri	41. 7%	40. 9%	64. 1%	21. 9%	18. 5% *	46. 7%	<b>56. 4</b> %
South Atlantic:							
Del aware	23.6%	29. 9%	27.0%	16. 9%	15. 6%	31. 1%	24. 4%
Maryl and	29. 1%	44. 4%	27. 5%	<b>25. 0</b> % *	19. 4% *	38. 2%	40.8%
District of Columbia	33. 7%	27. 5%	41.7%	33. 6%	21. 1% *	<b>53. 7</b> %	9. 2%
Vi rgi ni a	22.4%	34. 6%	17. 1% *	20. 5% *	13. 5% *	41. 5%	13. 4%
North Carolina	17. 3% *	34.8%	12.0% *	12.5% *	20. 3% *	27. 5%	3. 8%
South Carolina	38. 9%	33. 8%	19.6%	42.7%	14.0% *	19. 7%	58. 3%
Georgi a	13. 6% *	42.4%	16. 9% *	5. 0% *	7. 7%	30. 0%	6. 2%
Florida	36. 5%	22.8%	34. 8%	45. 8%	27. 7%	31.0%	49. 1%
East South Central:	00.070	22.070	01.0%	10. 0/0	2	31. 3/4	10. 170
Kentucky	34.8%	35.0%	22. 1% *	40. 5%	20. 3%	58. 6%	38. 2%
Tennessee	17. 4%	28. 2% *	12. 1% *	16. 1% *	8. 3% *	30. 5%	6. 3%
Al abama	35. 0%	25. 9%	35. 4%	36. 6%	47. 3%	25. 0% *	4. 6%
	14. 5% *	12. 9% *	31. 5% *	6. 0% *	11. 4% *	6. 8% *	29. 2%
Mississippi	14. 3%	12. 9%	31.3%	0. 0%	11. 4%	0. 8%	29. 2%
West South Central:	O 40/ ¥	95 00/	10 00/ *	0 00/ *	O 10/ ¥	90 6%	1 00/
Arkansas	8. 4% *	25. 0%	19. 9% *	2. 6% *	8. 1% *	29. 6%	1. 0%
Loui si ana	14. 5%	24. 9%	17. 5%	8. 5% *	22. 9%	16. 5% *	3. 0%
0kl ahoma	30. 1%	48. 7%	24. 1% *	20. 1% *	24. 3%	44. 3%	18. 7%
Texas	28. 3%	46. 4%	28. 7%	24.0% *	17. 4% *	41.4%	31. 9%
Mountain:							
I daho	25. 2%	33. 1%	23. 3% *	24. 3% *	28. 8%	32. 6%	10. 8%
Col orado	25. 9%	21.4% *	19. 3% *	31.6%	9. 4% *	41. 1%	18. 5%
Ari zona	21. 1%	<b>27. 4</b> %	25. 8%	12. 9% *	13. 4% *	28. 7%	23. 3%
Utah	21. 7%	44. 0%	20. 3% *	14. 8% *	22. 5% *	27. 8%	15.0%
Nevada	23.0%	12. 3% *	46. 3%	11. 7% *	14. 2% *	15. 6% *	43. 5%
Paci fi c:							
Washi ngton	38. 6%	49. 2%	41. 9%	33. 8%	22. 9%	37. 8%	62. 1%
Oregon	33. 5%	38. 6%	41.6%	30. 3%	37. 4%	30. 7%	29. 0%
Cal i forni a	27. 9%	41. 8%	34. 8%	16. 0%	16. 5%	35. 3%	28. 4%
Al aska	14. 2%	24. 9%	16. 5% *	8. 8%	6. 9% *	31. 1%	6. 0%
Hawai i	42. 5%	55. 5%	48. 7%	32. 3% *	38. 4%	51. 1% 55. 2%	35. 2%
States not shown separately	28. 5%	46. 9%	39. 0%	16. 5% *	24. 0%	25. 0%	44. 7%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

\*\* The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.

Table VII.B.4.b.(1)(2001) Standard error for percent of private-sector part-time employees eligible for health insurance at establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

<b>J</b> 1 1		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
n							
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50- 74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	1. 19%	1. 86%	2. 15%	2. 01%	1. 28%	1. 33%	3. 53%
New Engl and:							
Maine	2. 49%	6. 38%	7. 41%	2. 39%	2. 41%	6. 46%	12. 11% *
Rhode Island	4. 94%	8. 40%	5. 67%	6. 06% *	7. 19% *	5. 59%	14. 29% *
Vermont	6. 82%	7. 09%	11. 20%	12. 31%	12. 65%	5. 81%	13. 72% *
Massachusetts	5. 43%	6. 52%	8. 70%	4. 65%	3. 62%	6. 65%	9. 56% *
Connecti cut	5. 89%	9. 12%	5. 50%	8. 35% *	8. 67% *	4. 44%	12. 99%
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	4. 18%	4. 05%	5. 01%	3. 63%	4. 27%	5. 51%	5. 99%
New Jersey	3. 00%	5. 58%	4. 97% *	<b>5. 50</b> %	<b>5. 69</b> % *	4. 86%	6. 73% *
Pennsyl vani a	4. 83%	5. 57%	8. 12%	4. 79% *	5. 28%	4. 56%	10. 42% *
East North Central:							
0hi o	4. 97%	7. 75%	5. 59%	9. 39% *	4. 78% *	7. 06%	11. 13% *
I ndi ana	5. 03%	6. 05%	6. 63%	8. 92%	6. 47% *	7. 45%	8. 66%
Illinois	4. 48%	7. 08%	5. 17%	6. 45% *	9. 55% *	6. 15%	7. 62% *
Mi chi gan	5. 67%	6. 41%	8. 45%	7. 81% *	8. 40%	7. 44%	14. 35% *
Wi sconsi n	3. 43%	9. 18% *	7. 64%	5. 11%	3. 88%	7. 69%	11. 25% *
West North Central:							
Minnesota	2. 43%	6. 62%	6. 99% *	6. 75%	5. 62% *	4. 01%	7. 98% *
Iowa	4. 21%	6. 69%	6. 60% *	9. 83% *	9. 25% *	4. 74%	10. 10% *
Mi ssouri	7. 21%	7. 80%	17. 29%	6. 15%	7. 58% *	6. 95%	17. 26% *
South Atlantic:	7. 21/0	7. 00%	17. 20/0	0. 10%	7. 55%	0. 00%	17. 20/0
Del aware	3. 27%	7. 88%	7. 69%	3. 52%	4. 09%	5. 88%	13. 02% *
Maryl and	3. 92%	6. 63%	5. <b>81</b> %	7. 67% *	6. 27% *	6. 47%	8. 41%
District of Columbia	6. 54%	7. 38%	7. 75%	9. 74%	9. 54% *	6. 87%	9. 99% *
	5. 38%	7. 36% 5. 76%	7. 73% 5. 28% *	9. 74% 8. 62% *	9. 67% *	5. 71%	6. 50% *
Vi rgi ni a	5. 59% *		6. 06% *	6. 81% *	9. 05% *	5. 71% 7. 93%	1. 96% *
North Carolina		8. 60%					
South Carolina	7. 64%	7. 48%	5. 33%	12. 37%	4. 96% *	4. 22%	15. 24%
Georgi a	4. 75% *	6. 73%	9. 55% *	2. 87% *	2. 12%	7. 83%	5. 86% *
Florida	6. 11%	5. 37%	10. 43%	8. 15%	5. 84%	6. 61%	12. 68%
East South Central:	0.000	~ ~ ~ ~	0 070/ 1	0.00%	~ 440/	0.400/	40 700
Kentucky	6. 63%	5. 24%	9. 65% *	9. 88%	5. 41%	9. 40%	10. 78%
Tennessee	4. 87%	8. 81% *	6. 50% *	8. 12% *	3. 27% *	8. 18%	2. 20% *
Al abama	7. 80%	3. 94%	10. 31%	10. 02%	9. 55%	7. 69% *	10. 73% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	5. 19% *	10. 02% *	11. 09% *	<b>6.</b> 50% *	<b>6.</b> 38% *	12. 00% *	10. 25% *
West South Central:							
Arkansas	4. 33% *	5. 01%	9. 61% *	10. 49% *	3. 98% *	8. 86%	10. 98% *
Loui si ana	4. 34%	6. 09%	5. 05%	7. 21% *	6. 85%	8. 60% *	12. 08% *
0kl ahoma	5. 71%	10. 20%	10. 59% *	10. 85% *	6. 33%	10. 65%	5. 95% *
Texas	6. 28%	8. 57%	6. 49%	8. 32% *	<b>6.</b> 90% *	6. 84%	8. 78%
Mountai n:							
I daho	4. 74%	6. 28%	7. 18% *	7. 63% *	7. 71%	5. 52%	9. 51% *
Col orado	6. 29%	6. 81% *	8. 02% *	8. 83%	10. 73% *	7. 23%	11. 23% *
Ari zona	3. 67%	7. 84%	6. 95%	5. 81% *	5. 15% *	6. 85%	12. 31% *
Utah	5. 46%	7. 43%	6. 11% *	11. 27% *	9. 11% *	6. 56%	6. 74% *
Nevada	4. 75%	4. 01% *	10. 20%	7. 10% *	6. 81% *	4. 73% *	12. 24%
Paci fi c:	27.7070	20 0270	10. 20.0	***************************************	0.01%	11.10.0	12.2.2
Washi ngton	4. 67%	6. 84%	11. 76%	5. 95%	6. 45%	5. 76%	14. 08%
Oregon	4. 20%	8. 92%	8. 01%	6. 67%	8. 37%	7. 47%	12. 52% *
Cal i forni a	3. 84%	4. 10%	6. 04%	3. 68%	4. 30%	5. 67%	8. 44%
Al aska	3. 57%	4. 10% 6. 53%	5. 00% *	2. 36%	4. 54% *	4. 31%	10. 45% *
Hawai i	5. 81%	6. 55% 7. 62%	8. 54%	2. 30% 10. 19% *	6. 22%	4. 31% 6. 37%	10. 45% **
	5. 23%	7. 62% 5. 74%	6. 46%	7. 00% *	6. 22% 6. 56%	2. 94%	11. 67%
States not shown separately	J. &3%	J. /470	0. 40%	7.00%	0. 30%	L. 3470	11.07%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends. 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to IC data prior to 2000. See Technical Appendix for details.